Scuola di Scienze Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Fisica

Science of complex systems and future-scaffolding skills: a pilot study with secondary school students

Relatore: Prof.ssa Olivia Levrini

Correlatori:

Dott.ssa Giulia Tasquier Dott.ssa Laura Branchetti Presentata da: Eleonora Barelli

Anno Accademico 2016/2017

Index

Abstract	5
Sommario	7
Introduction	9
Chapter 1 Theoretical framework	13
1.1 The I SEE Erasmus+ Project	13
1.2 What physics for future-oriented education?	15
1.3 What science of complex systems for secondary school students?	22
1.4 From scientific knowledge to scientific skills	23
1.5 From scientific skills to scientific citizenship	26
1.6 Futures studies for citizenship skills	28
1.7 From the theoretical framework to the definition of future-scaffolding skills	34
Chapter 2 Description of the activities	37
2.1 Activities A	
2.1.1 The Lotka-Volterra predator-prey simulation	38
2.1.2 The feedback TED-Ed lesson	42
2.1.3 The Schelling's segregation model simulation	43
2.1.4 The 'Game of life' simulation	43
2.2 Activities B	44
2.2.1 Activity on the IPCC report	45
2.2.2 The 'Biodiesel story'	46
2.3 Activities C	48
2.3.1 An urban problem for the town of Irene	49
2.4 Learning outcomes of the activities	51
Chapter 3 The pilot study: context, methods and data analysis	
3 1 Context methods and research questions	55
3.2 Scientific knowledge: data analysis and results	
3.2.1 Initial state of students' knowledge	
3.2.2 Students' knowledge about complex systems science: how it evolved and	
changed throughout the activities	63
3.2.3 Discussion of the results	69
3.3 Scientific skills: data analysis and results	69
3.3.1 The biofuel activity	70
3.3.2 The town of Irene activity	71

3.3.3 Discussion of the results	73
3.4 Transversal skills: data analysis and results	73
3.4.1 The activity on the synthesis of the IPCC report	74
3.4.2 The biofuel activity	81
3.4.3 The town of Irene activity	84
3.5 Overall discussion of the results	92
Conclusions	95
Acknowledgments	99
Ringraziamenti	103
References	107
Annexes	113
Annex A1 - Non-linearity of complex systems: the Lotka-Volterra model (com	plete
version)	113
Annex A2 - Non linearity of complex systems: the Lotka-Volterra model (tutor	nal
	122
Annex A3 - Non-linearity of complex systems and sensitivity to initial condition	ns: the
logistic map	142
Annex A5 Self organization in complex systems: the world of ants	142
Anney A6 - Synthesis of the fifth IPCC report: the global warming issue	145
Annex A7 - Man of global warming	147
Annex A8 - Use and Production of Bio Fuels: the "Biodiesel story"	152
Annex A9 - Cause-effect map of the "Biodiesel story"	157
Annex A10 - Feedback loops for the "Biodiesel story"	158
Annex A11 - Cause-effect map of the "Biodiesel story" with the feedback area	S
highlighted	159
Annex A12 - The Fishback Game: rules of the game	160
Annex A13 - The Fishback Game: printable material	162
Annex A14 - The Fishback Game: feedback loops	168
Annex A15 - Probable, possible and desirable futures for the Town Irene	169
Annex A16 - Pre-questionnaire (Q ₁)	177
Annex A17 - Intermediate questionnaire (Q ₂)	180
Annex A18 - Introduction to Logical Framework Approach	182

Abstract

The present work is situated within *I SEE* (Inclusive STEM Education to Enhance the capacity to aspire and imagine future careers), a three-year Erasmus+ project started in September 2016, coordinated by the University of Bologna and involving further six partners (<u>http://www.iseeproject.eu</u>).

The *I SEE* project aims to design innovative approaches and teaching modules about issues of advanced physics, in order to enhance students' capabilities to imagine the future and aspire to STEM careers. The goal is not only to develop professional skills but also to foster students' identities as capable persons and citizens in a global, fragile and changing world. For this purpose, have been recognized specific skills that should be developed through science education in school and out-of-school contexts: we call them *future-scaffolding skills* and their aim is to construct visions of the future that support possible ways of acting in the present with one's eye on the horizon.

The work I developed for this Master Thesis intends to contribute to examine how scientific knowledge, particularly the science of complex systems, can foster the development of future-scaffolding skills. For this purpose, three sets of activities have been designed, targeted to secondary school students (17-18 years old, grade 12-13).

In the thesis, I provide the theoretical framework within which my work intends to place, then I describe the activities, their learning outcomes and the context in which a pilot study has been carried out; finally, I report the data analysis and the results that show criticalities and strengths of the work, opening at new research perspectives.

Sommario

Il presente lavoro si colloca nell'ambito di *I SEE* (Inclusive STEM Education to Enhance the capacity to aspire and imagine future careers), un progetto Erasmus+ triennale, iniziato nel settembre 2016, che, coordinato dall'Università di Bologna, coinvolge altri sei partner (http://www.iseeproject.eu).

Il progetto *I SEE* mira a progettare moduli di insegnamento innovativi su temi di scienza (fisica) avanzata, finalizzati a sviluppare la capacità degli studenti di immaginare il futuro e di aspirare a carriere in ambito STEM. L'obiettivo non è solo quello di sviluppare competenze disciplinari e professionali, ma anche di incoraggiare, negli studenti, la formazione della loro identità come persone e come cittadini attivi in un mondo globale, fragile e in mutamento. A questo scopo sono state individuate particolari competenze che possono essere sviluppate tramite l'educazione scientifica a scuola e in contesti extrascolastici: competenze chiamate, nel progetto *I SEE*, di future-scaffolding, ovvero competenze di costruzione di visioni del futuro in grado di orientare azioni nel presente. Il lavoro che ho sviluppato per questa tesi di laurea intende contribuire a esaminare come la conoscenza scientifica, in particolare la scienza dei sistemi complessi, possa incoraggiare lo sviluppo di competenze di future-scaffolding. A tal fine, sono stati progettati tre gruppi di attività per studenti di scuola secondaria (17-18 anni).

Nella tesi delineo il quadro di riferimento entro il quale il mio lavoro si colloca, quindi descrivo le attività, i loro obiettivi di apprendimento e il contesto in cui è stato realizzato uno studio pilota; infine, riporto l'analisi dei dati racconti nella sperimentazione e i risultati che mostrano i punti di forza e le criticità del lavoro e aprono a nuove prospettive di ricerca.

Introduction

The theme of future is crucial for our lives. Everything we do, every day, is, in some way, a future thing, since we always make plans, projecting ourselves into the future. The future can be near or far, it can be perceived as certain or uncertain, it can be a hope or a fear. But why a master thesis in Physics about the theme of the future?

This issue touches the heart of the sense of science and physics, since future is intrinsic to these disciplines, that have been historically developed also to manage rationally and emotionally the fear of the unknown and to make predictions. Reducing the uncertainties about the future has been the goal of a large part of the physics since, for a long time, there has been the belief that a rationality that embraced all the knowledge of all the physical phenomena would have hold the future in its mind and would have had the capability of taming all the uncertainties. But this magnificent rationality cannot simply exist and also physics had to adapt itself by producing new concepts, new knowledge, new models with the goal not to neutralize but to manage the uncertainty about the future. However, while the scientific discipline has developed theories (like the probability theory, the statistical mechanics, the quantum physics, the science of complex systems) that with their specific methods, languages, analytical tools try to rationally deal with the future, the emotional component of fear toward the future is very common, in particular among the young generations, with specific regard to some crucial issues.

Issues of climate, global warming, weather, nanotechnologies, big data are becoming pressing questions in society and in making decisions in future. The alarmist, brusque and confusing communication about these themes often impact on people, so that the worries arisen by the environmental and technological changes, together with other global

problems like economic and political crises, have a strong influence on the perception about the future of the young generations which is considered no longer as a promise but as a threat (Benasayag & Schmit, 2005). In particular, in our contemporary society of global uncertainties and social acceleration (Rosa, 2013), the young generations have difficulty in projecting themselves into the future, and in developing scope as responsible and active persons, citizens and future professionals (Sjøberg & Schreiner, 2010). This evidence challenges physics education through demanding research questions such as: *How can the contents of physics be reconstructed so as to make disciplinary learning a place to develop competencies to deal with the future?*

This Master Thesis intends to contribute to answer this question, in the context of *I SEE* (Inclusive STEM Education to Enhance the capacity to aspire and imagine future careers), a three-year Erasmus+ project started in September 2016, coordinated by the University of Bologna and involving further six partners (http://www.iseeproject.eu). The *I SEE* project aims to design innovative approaches and teaching modules to enhance students' capabilities to imagine the future and aspire to STEM careers. The goal is not only to develop professional skills but also to foster students' identities as capable persons and citizens in a global, fragile and changing world. For this purpose, have been recognized specific skills that should be developed through science education in school and out-of-school contexts: we call them future-scaffolding skills and their aim is to construct visions of the future that support possible ways of acting in the present with one's eye on the horizon.

The work I developed for this Master Thesis intends to contribute to examine how scientific knowledge, particularly the science of complex systems, can foster the development of future-scaffolding skills. For this purpose, three sets of activities have been designed and implemented in a teaching laboratory-course about the topic of climate change, targeted to secondary school students (17-18 years old, grade 12-13).

The first set of activities has the main goal to develop hard-scientific knowledge about the science of complex systems, by introducing the concepts of non-linearity, feedback, sensitivity to initial conditions, emergent property, self-organization, as well as the tool of computer simulation. The second set aims to develop transversal skills of text analysis. It consists of two activities: one is the reading of a synthesis of an IPCC report, related to the issue of global warming, and the elaboration of a global causal map; the second one is based on the reading of a scientific text about the use and the production of biodiesel and includes sub-activities that require, respectively, to build a further global causal map and to identify possible feedback loops that enrich the map itself.

The third set of activities has the main goal to develop specific future-scaffolding skills through the analysis of a problem of urban planning. The activity has been inspired by the future studies and is focused on: i) the concepts of scenarios, forecast, foresight, backcasting, anticipation; ii) the distinction between probable, possible and preferable futures.

The present work is divided into three chapters.

In Chapter 1, I provide the theoretical framework of the whole thesis. I describe the context of the ISEE Erasmus+ Project, its general objectives and specific goals; then, I discuss my choice of considering the science of complex systems for a future-oriented science education, specifying which concepts and methods I found appropriate; in a section I explain the framework I used for distinguishing between scientific knowledge and scientific skills, hence explaining why these scientific skills can contribute to the so-called scientific citizenship; the chapter continues with a discussion of the main concepts of the discipline of futures studies and their disciplines of forecast, foresight and anticipation; finally, all the theoretical framework converges into the definition of future-scaffolding skills and the distinction between future-scaffolding scientific skills and future-scaffolding transversal ones.

In Chapter 2, I describe the three sets of activities, stressing the main common features that characterize each set; a considerable importance will be given to the design aspects of these completely innovative materials (that are available in the Annexes).

In Chapter 3, I explain the context of the pilot study I have carried out, together with Dr. Giulia Tasquier, within a laboratory-course about the topic of climate change organized

by the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the University of Bologna within the *Piano Lauree Scientifiche* project; then, I show the research questions and the methods that have guided the data analysis; finally I present the results of the data analysis in three sections (scientific knowledge, scientific skills, transversal skills) and I provide, at the end, an overall discussion of the results.

Chapter 1 Theoretical framework

1.1 The I SEE Erasmus+ Project

This work is situated within the framework of *I SEE* (Inclusive STEM Education to Enhance the capacity to aspire and imagine future careers), a three-year Erasmus+ project started in September 2016 (www.iseeproject.eu). The project is coordinated by the University of Bologna, particularly the Department of Physics and Astronomy, and involves further six partners: the Scientific Lyceum 'Albert Einstein' of Rimini, Fondazione Golinelli of Bologna, the University and Normal Lyceum of Helsinki, the English Association for Science Education (ASE), the Icelandic Environment Association (IEA) 'Landvernd' and the upper secondary educational institution 'Hamrahlid College' of Reykjavik.

The idea at the basis of *I SEE* arises from the recognition of the fact that in our contemporary society of global uncertainties and social acceleration (Rosa, 2013), our imagination of the future becomes problematic and source of anxiety: also because of global problems like climate change, ecosystem degradation and economical and political crises, the future, instead of a promise, is often perceived as a threat (Benasayag & Schmid, 2006). Many young people feel marginalised or excluded from economic and social life by the crises, and in many countries, especially those with high youth unemployment rates, young people perceive their country's education and training system as not well adapted to the world of work (EP(EB395), 2014). In such a context, the young generation have difficulty in projecting themselves into the future, and in developing their

potential as responsible and active persons, citizens and future professionals (Sjøberg & Schreiner, 2010).

This social need is accompanied with the worldwide crisis, denounced from European reports and research, in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) education, since students perceive school science as 'irrelevant' in the individual, societal and vocational sense (Stuckey et al., 2013). The cause of this perception has been found in the fact that what students learn in school is often not authentic science but school science, a construct detached from the nature, processes and results of real scientific enterprise. A consequence of the lack of relevance of school science is that Europe is suffering from an alarming decrease in student interest in pursuing STEM careers (EC/EACEA/Eurydice, 2012) – a phenomenon also known as 'STEM shortage' – while, on the other side, STEM-based industry leaders complain about the so called 'skill gap', because schools do not support the formation of the skills that the labour market needs. More generally, it is acknowledged in society a common scientific illiteracy.

The path toward a more widespread scientific literacy has however to deal with a third issue: the dramatic social change in Europe caused by unprecedented flows of migrants to the region (OECD, 2016). In the field of education this issue has been transformed in the need of an inclusive education that acknowledges diversity and supports students' capacity to aspire towards the future also, and especially, in young people coming from difficult, sometimes traumatic, experiences. Though, this individual and cultural diversity is not only a need to be met, but also an invaluable resource for deepening student engagement and increasing personal and societal relevance of disciplines and, particularly, STEM ones.

From these three issues – the difficulty in imagination of futures, the widespread scientific illiteracy and the challenge of cultural diversity in education – the project has identified its priorities that lead to the three general objectives:

- GO1: Contribute to innovating science teaching at the level of upper secondary school students (grades 11-13, 16-19 years old) in order to facilitate scientific literacy and employability in a changing, multicultural and fragile world.
- GO2: Contribute to addressing the societal issues represented by the STEM skill gap and professional shortage.

- GO3: Contribute to innovating teaching methods to make science teaching inclusive and supportive of cultural diversity.

Therefore, in accordance with the general objectives the strategic partnership of *I SEE* is committed to designing innovative approaches and teaching modules aimed to foster students' capacities to imagine the future and aspire to STEM careers. The goal is not only to develop professional skills but also to foster students' identities as capable persons and citizens in a global, fragile and changing world: persons who can learn, from STEM education, a way to cope rationally and emotionally with the present and future, developing authentic conceptual, epistemological and professional competencies.

Both the general objectives and the more specific goals of the project can be translated into the need to reconsider science education – in terms of contents, skills, competencies that should be promoted as well as in terms of teaching methods – in order to make science school teaching closer to students' and societal requirements. In this sense, the strategic partnership has selected special skills to develop through science education, named 'future-scaffolding skills': they refer to the ability to construct visions of the future that empower action in the present with an eye on the horizon.

The development of these skills is expected both to make science learning relevant (scientifically, personally, socially and professionally) and to enhance students' capacity to aspire, envisage themselves as agents of change and push their imagination towards future careers in STEM.

It is aim of the project to define more and more precisely and operationally the futurescaffolding skills so as to provide researchers and teachers evaluation tools to understand how they develop and monitor them.

My thesis is situated in the context of this project and aims to:

- a) design teaching/learning activities for an *I SEE* module, where the potential of physics learning to develop futures thinking is exploited and valued;
- b) contribute to select and operationally define *future-scaffolding skills*.

1.2 What physics for future-oriented education?

The problem of the young generation with the future deeply challenges physics education and poses demanding research questions such as: *How can the contents of physics be* reconstructed so as to make disciplinary learning a place to develop competencies to deal with the future?

The question touches the heart of the sense of science and physics, since future is intrinsic to these disciplines, that have been historically developed also to manage rationally and emotionally the fear of the unknown and to make predictions.

The theme of future is indeed strictly woven with the issue of causal explanations: the ways in which we talk about the future – predicting, forecasting or anticipating it – mirror the idea of causal relationship at their basis. Science and more specifically physics, during its historical development, has produced various models of causal explanation.

At school, students learn almost exclusively about one of these models: the causal determinism. It can be defined by the following assertion (Hoefer, 2016):

The world is governed by (or is under the sway of) determinism if and only if, given a specified way things are at a time t, the way things go thereafter is fixed as a matter of natural law.

This is the main assumption of classical physics and its roots lie in the very common philosophical idea that everything can, in principle, be explained. The determinism is often commingled with the idea of prediction, as the famous Pierre-Simone Laplace's claim (Laplace, 1820) shows:

We ought to regard the present state of the universe as the effect of its antecedent state and as the cause of the state that is to follow. An intelligence knowing all the forces acting in nature at a given instant, as well as the momentary positions of all things in the universe, would be able to comprehend in one single formula the motions of the largest bodies as well as the lightest atoms in the world, provided that its intellect were sufficiently powerful to subject all data to analysis; to it nothing would be uncertain, the future as well as the past would be present to its eyes. The perfection that the human mind has been able to give to astronomy affords but a feeble outline of such an intelligence. The determinism has its roots in one of the fundamental properties of the classical physics that is the linearity, displayed overall in the formal linearity of most of the differential equations typical of the classical physics (Feynman, 1963). We can consider, for example, the harmonic oscillator

$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0,$$

or the equation for the radiative decay

$$\dot{N} + kN = 0,$$

or the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2},$$

where the first two examples are ordinary linear differential equations (ODE) and the third one is a partial linear differential equation (PDE).

Their structure is linear because they display the properties of additivity and homogeneity with respect to the multiplication for a constant: considered together, can be proved that these properties imply that if two functions f and g are solutions of the linear equation, then any linear combination of them af + bg is a solution too. This is called the principle of superposition for linear systems.

We can consider again the first example cited above, the harmonic oscillator. It is a particular example of the more general Newton's second law that can be written in the differential form that follows:

$$F = m\ddot{x}$$
.

We have to note that in general the second law of dynamic is not a linear differential equation because this property depends on the form of the force F. In order to be linear, the differential equation has to equate 0 to a polynomial that is linear in the value and various derivatives of a variable: this means that each term in the polynomial has degree either 0 or 1. For linear ODEs and PDEs, the solutions can be found explicitly in analytical form, but there are problems, also in classical physics, that can only be modelled by nonlinear systems. One of the most famous examples is the three-body problem. For studying analytically these problems, the procedure followed is the linearization around the equilibrium points, which consists in considering just the linear part of F, under the hypothesis that it is of class C^{l} : once linearized, the property of linear combination of the solutions is still valid.

The principle of superposition means that, in a mechanical system, if we have a complicated force F, but that can be decomposed into a sum of separate forces, then the effect of the vector sum of all the forces on the system is equal to the effect of force F, this effect being the acceleration of the body.

The classical principle of linear superposition of causes is at the basis of an epistemological belief named reductionism. Reductionism leads also to argue that the cause is always seen to flow from the lower levels to the higher levels and, according to this assumption, the higher level biological and behavioural phenomena are derived from lower level physical, specifically mechanical, causes.

Coming back to Laplace's claim, we can interpret it referring to the Cauchy problem or to the boundary value problem: if one knew the initial or the boundary conditions, given a system of partial differential equations, he could find the unique solution of the problem. One of the main aspects of this deterministic perspective is the distinction between past (from which the equations and laws of nature come), present (that is used to determine the initial conditions) and future (for which we investigate the evolution of the system) that refers to one of the linear pattern of causality. Linear causality can be interpreted in terms of three basic rules (DeLanda, 2002):

- unidirectionality: if the event A causes the effect B, B has no demonstrable effect on A (see Figure 1.1 for a visual representation);
- uniqueness and necessity: the same cause leads always to the same effect;
- proportionality: small causes always produce small effects and large causes produce large effects.



Figure 1.1. Visual representation of a chain of causes and effects according to a linear pattern of causality.

The linear, deterministic and reductionist paradigm is the most taught in school physics but is not the only one that authentic physics has provided. For example, the science of complex systems laid the foundations of a completely new paradigm of causal explanation. The most fundamental concept, at the basis of the difference between complexity and ordinary physical models, is the renounce at linear causality in favour of the recognition of the existence of a circular pattern of causality. According to this pattern, the mentioned characteristic of unidirectionality fails: if an event A makes B happen, in circular causality B is also a cause itself and can modulate or perpetuate A (see Figure 1.2 for a visual representation).



Figure 1.2. Visual representation of a loop of causes and effects according to a circular pattern of causality.

The circular causality brings about the concept of feedback as one of the most fundamental in the study of complex systems: it can be defined as an element of the cause-effect relationship intended as a circular loop in which the last effect of the chain acts back on the cause from which the loop has started, amplifying it further (positive feedback) or softening it (negative feedback).

The non-linearity is also a property of the systems of differential equations that characterize complex systems, in the sense that linearization around equilibrium points has a limited usefulness: this implies that the solutions of these systems cannot be written in an analytical form but their study is possible through the application of numerical methods.

The renounce at linearity involves also a renounce at the characteristic of proportionality between causes and effects: because of the existence of feedback phenomena, together with a non-linear mathematical description, complex systems can show a high sensitivity to initial conditions, since at small variations in causes can correspond big modifications in effects. Sensitivity to initial conditions is popularly known as the 'butterfly effect', socalled because of the title of a paper given by Edward Lorenz in 1972 to the American Association for the Advancement of Science in Washington, entitled 'Predictability: Does the Flap of a Butterfly's Wings in Brazil set off a Tornado in Texas?'. The flapping wing represents a small change in the initial condition of the system, which can cause a chain of events leading to large-scale phenomena: had the butterfly not flapped its wings, the trajectory of the system might have been vastly different.

The new approach at causality forces, in some sense, the vocabulary and the mental schemas to change when talking about the future evolutions of systems. The existence of feedback phenomena requires to reason both in terms of mutual increases and balances, while the non-proportional relationship between causes and effect leads to a new meaning of determinist conception itself. The high sensitivity of systems to initial conditions weakens the possibility to obtain a determinist prediction about the future because the non-linear equations, rather than conserving the unavoidable experimental errors on initial conditions, can progressively amplify them. In this way, every error, even if apparently negligible, produces consequences that are relevant for the evolution of the system: the result is a loss of predictability that is not reparable with scientific and technological progresses, since, firstly, every measure has a related uncertainty and, secondly, during the computational running of the model there will always be approximations of some irrational number. It is important to notice that the causal paradigm remains determinist, since the models elaborated for complex systems have no noise, randomness or probabilities built in: anyway, the apparent result that we see, looking at the evolutions of such systems, is chaos. This is the so-called deterministic chaos and it emerges from the modelling description of numerous natural phenomena. As a consequence of this reasoning, the term 'prediction' itself – referring to the univocal result of the application of a model – loses significance beyond a little time horizon. Therefore, instead of 'prediction', a more used term is 'projection' because it indicates the range of possibilities which is as wide as many and various are the future scenarios obtained from the application of a model. A crucial word for the study of complex systems is properly the term 'scenario' and it means not a specific prediction about future but a plausible description of what could happen, based on trends and events obtained from the past and from the present.

Another characteristic that is no more valid in many complex systems is the additivity of causes in leading to an effect. The loss of validity of this concept is due to the fact that there is an even more basic change in the equations that describe systems themselves: while classical physics considers systems equipped with linear equations, the complexity science considers a system as the overall result of many concurrent factors that interact each other in non-linear ways. The interaction between the components of a system leads to the impossibility of assuming the hypothesis of independence of causes and then to the invalidity of the property of additivity. One of the main proofs of this is the existence of phenomena of self-organization, spontaneous processes where some form of overall order arises from local interactions between parts of the system. Differently from the procedure always followed in classical physics, for complex systems, the forces F that act on them are not separated into linear and independent components, since, otherwise, the emergent properties would disappear from the model.

Within this perspective the reductionist paradigm fails too: in complex systems, understanding the individual components is crucial but the knowledge of the parts is not sufficient in order to explain the behaviour of the whole system; the complex interactions between parts create new processes, principles and structures that, although having their material basis on the underlying components, are conceptually independent from those. The sharp distinction between holism and reductionism loses significance in complexity science: the reductionist approach is not substituted for the holistic one because both the perspectives are needed. It is the model of explanation that has to be modified in a dynamic way: the understanding of a phenomenon is possible only by moving from the aim of complex thinking is the multidimensionality, the integration of different levels of order that maintain their identity at the same time. Instead of an opposition between reductionism and holism, the new perspective is the 'unitas multiplex' (Morin, 2003), the capability of maintaining a distinction among what is joined together and linking without reducing.

1.3 What science of complex systems for secondary school students?

Despite the acknowledged intellectual relevance of the conceptual and epistemological contents that come from the science of complex systems, its teaching and learning is not considered in the official national programmes for secondary schools. Therefore, since complexity science is rarely studied and taught at school level, there is an evident gap between the hyper-specialized language and the language used for popularization: there is a lack of complete educational proposals about this issue (Amaldi, 2011).

In order to contribute to meet this need, I have designed activities targeted for upper secondary school students in order to build a knowledge about the science of complex systems exploring different contexts of application and different teaching methods, with the ultimate goal of translating the knowledge acquired into proper skills that allow students to interpret the complexity of the real world. The activities I designed include formal, methodological and cultural dimensions and, in the following, I am going to explain briefly the main choices that stay behind the activities.

The first choice is to use the formalism as much as possible and to base on it the discussion about non-linearity. This choice implied me to find a way to present it to 17-18 years old students, at their penultimate year of secondary school. The introduction to differential equation was simply made by explaining the derivative in terms of variation of a certain quantity in a time interval. The goal of such an explanation was not to completely clarify the precise mathematical meaning of the formal tools (this can be done with students who have already studied mathematical analysis during school courses) but to give an idea of what those formulas, used in the modelling process, mean. This approach allows a non-neutral involvement of students in the discussion of the mathematical models, since they can be guided to manage the concepts of increment and variation in a correct way, even if the exact analytical formulation is not within their range. To reinforce this objective, a high importance was given to the different graphical representations: showing various kinds of graphs, the meaning of the equations of the model can be explored from many perspectives.

The second choice regards the emphasis put on the tool of simulations and to their methodological role and epistemological meaning in contemporary physics. I decided to use a simulation in almost all the activities and they are used to stress that reality can be known not only through direct observation, through our senses, but also through simulation, that means reproducing it on a computer. Simulation can be considered the third of the tools of science beyond the two traditional ones, namely laboratory experiments and theories (Parisi, 2001). It does not use normal words or symbols of mathematics but uses a particular language that incorporates into a computer program. When the simulation runs on the computer, it gives rise to empirical predictions that derive from the theory, and it works as a virtual laboratory in which, as in the real laboratory, the researcher monitors the phenomena under controlled conditions, manipulates the conditions themselves and discovers the consequences of such manipulations. The wide use of simulations in the activities I designed reflects the wide use of this tools in the authentic scientific research about complex systems.

The last, but obviously not the least, choice is cultural. I tried to stress the interdisciplinary and transversal nature of the conceptual issues of science of complex systems. The epistemological contents are underlined in order to allow the students to consider the activities as particular examples of a wider phenomenology that goes beyond the merely scientific discipline and can be extended at other contexts like social and economic phenomena. This aspect is what can transform knowledge about complex systems to competencies. We named these competencies scientific skills because they allow to interpret the reality (including complex social and economic phenomena) using categories, vocabulary, concepts, methods, tools and epistemological perspectives learnt and borrowed from science.

1.4 From scientific knowledge to scientific skills

In this thesis, one of the most fundamental issues, that leaded also to the formulation of research questions, is the distinction between knowledge and skills (or competencies). Various definitions can be given for these two words. For example, we could consider the KSAs (Knowledge, Skills, Abilities) framework, the method used to evaluate the applicants to United States Federal government job openings. The specific knowledge,

skills, and abilities necessary for the successful performance of a specific position are contained on each job vacancy announcement but there are also general definitions of the three that we report in the followings:

- knowledge: an organized body of information, usually factual or procedural in nature, applied directly to the performance of a function;
- skill: an observable competence to perform a learned psychomotor act, since it involves the proficient manual, verbal, or mental manipulation of things;
- ability: a competence to perform an observable behaviour or a behaviour that results in an observable product as an activity or task.

The necessity of providing skills, and not only knowledge, has been highly perceived not only within the labour market but also in the context of science education, pursuing the goal of building a scientific literacy. The most recent report of PISA defines (PISA, 2015) three skills that characterize scientific literacy:

- explain phenomena scientifically: it means recognize, offer and evaluate explanations for a range of natural and technological phenomena demonstrating the ability to recall and apply appropriate scientific knowledge, identify, use and generate explanatory models and representations, make and justify appropriate predictions, offer explanatory hypotheses, explain the potential implications of scientific knowledge for society;
- evaluate and design scientific inquiry: it means describe and appraise scientific investigations and propose ways of addressing questions scientifically demonstrating the ability to identify the question explored in a given scientific study, distinguish questions that are possible to investigate scientifically, propose a way of exploring a given question scientifically, evaluate ways of exploring a given question scientifically, evaluate ways of exploring a given question scientifically, describe and evaluate a range of ways that scientists use to ensure the reliability of data and the objectivity and generalisability of explanations;
- interpret data and evidence scientifically: it means analyse and evaluate scientific data, claims and arguments in a variety of representations and draw appropriate conclusions demonstrating the ability to transform data from one representation to another, analyse and interpret data and draw appropriate conclusions, identify the assumptions, evidence and reasoning in science-related texts, distinguish

between arguments which are based on scientific evidence and theory and those based on other considerations, evaluate scientific arguments and evidence from different sources (e.g. newspaper, internet, journals).

All these competencies require knowledge but, according to the PISA framework, the scientific knowledge can be considered as consisting of three distinguishable but related elements. The first of these and the most familiar is knowledge of the facts, concepts, ideas and theories about the natural world that science has established; this kind of knowledge is referred to as 'content knowledge'. Knowledge of the procedures that scientists use to establish scientific knowledge is referred to as 'procedural knowledge' and is knowledge of the practices and concepts on which empirical inquiry is based. Furthermore, understanding science as a practice also requires 'epistemic knowledge' which refers to an understanding of the role of specific constructs and defining features essential to the process of knowledge building in science; it includes an understanding of the functions that questions, observations, theories, hypotheses, models, and arguments play in science, a recognition of the variety of forms of scientific inquiry, and the role peer review plays in establishing knowledge that can be trusted.

People need all three forms of scientific knowledge to perform the three competencies of scientific literacy within a range of personal, local, national and global contexts but knowledge is not the only thing that plays a role in the development of those skills: the competency-based perspective of PISA framework also recognises that there is an affective element to a student's display of these competencies, that is that the attitude or disposition towards science will determine the student's level of interest, sustain his/her engagement, and may motivate him/her to take action (Schibeci, 1984). Thus, commonly the scientifically literate person would have an interest in scientific topics and reflect on the importance of science from a personal and social perspective; this requirement does not mean that such individuals are necessarily disposed towards science itself: rather, such individuals recognise that science, technology and research in this domain are an essential element of contemporary culture. In Figure 1.3 is reported a visual representation of the PISA 2015 framework for Scientific Literacy Assessment.



Figure 1.3. Framework for PISA 2015 Scientific Literacy Assessment.

The methodological approach adopted in this thesis can be consistently compared with the PISA theoretical framework. The context of a complex world in which citizens have often to deal with controversial issues (like that of climate change) requires hard-scientific skills in order to explain, interpret and manage certain phenomena; these skills consists, for example, of recognizing the types of circular causality within a problem or applying the concept of feedback also in apparently non-scientific contexts. These hard-scientific skills, in turn, can be reached through the three types of knowledge: content knowledge is provided when the concepts of science of complex systems are taught; procedural knowledge when the simulation is introduced as the preferential tool for the study of these themes or when the different kinds of graphical representations are introduced; epistemic knowledge every time the role of modelling is pointed out or the concept of system is inquired in its epistemological meaning.

1.5 From scientific skills to scientific citizenship

The competencies highlighted by the PISA framework can be interpreted, in a wider context, as elements that contribute to the so-called scientific citizenship. The scientific citizenship issue has been debating, in the context of science education, for over two decades. At the base of this issue there is the crucial importance attributed to citizenship education in general. The Eurydice report (Eurydice, 2012) affirms that, in order to increase engagement and participation, *people must be equipped with the right*

knowledge, skills and attitudes, including social and civic competences; these are among the eight key competencies identified in the recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council (EPC, 2006) as essential for citizens living in a 'knowledge society'. The eight key competencies are the following:

- communicating in a mother tongue: ability to express and interpret concepts, thoughts, feelings, facts and opinions both orally and in writing;
- communicating in a foreign language: as above, but includes mediation skills (i.e. summarising, paraphrasing, interpreting or translating) and intercultural understanding;
- mathematical, scientific and technological competencies: sound mastery of numeracy, an understanding of the natural world and an ability to apply knowledge and technology to perceived human needs (such as medicine, transport or communication);
- digital competence: confident and critical usage of information and communications technology for work, leisure and communication;
- learning to learn: ability to effectively manage one's own learning, either individually or in groups;
- social and civic competences: ability to participate effectively and constructively in one's social and working life and engage in active and democratic participation, especially in increasingly diverse societies;
- sense of initiative and entrepreneurship: ability to turn ideas into action through creativity, innovation and risk taking as well as ability to plan and manage projects;
- cultural awareness and expression: ability to appreciate the creative importance of ideas, experiences and emotions in a range of media such as music, literature and visual and performing arts.

With respect to this context, science education has tried to provide instruments and reflections in order to give a contribution to the scientific citizenship issue. In one of first reports on this topic, *Beyond 2000: Science education for the future* (Millar & Osborne, 1998), it was stressed the need of a dialogue between science and society to sustain *a healthy and vibrant democracy*, through a renovation of science curricula. The main goal

was to build a public consciousness among citizens who, whilst appreciating the value of science and its contribution to our culture, can critically engage in issues and arguments that involve scientific knowledge. Since 1998, EU has pursued similar goals, by proposing research programmes like *Science in Society* (2007-2013) and the most recent *Science with and for Society* within *Horizon 2020*. The history of programmes about scientific citizenship shows a progressive integration between science and society, up to an approach in which all societal actors are encouraged to work together during the whole research and innovation process: this kind of public participation in scientific research is exactly the real essence of citizen science. The necessity of providing *the space for open, inclusive and informed discussions on the scientific research and technology decisions that will impact citizens' lives* is pointed out also by the EU report presented and discussed at the last ESERA conference in Helsinki (EC, 2015).

In order to make the EU recommendations operative, we considered necessary to study approaches that, focusing on scientific contents and methods, design innovative ways to turn scientific knowledge in citizenship skills. The most common approach is summarized in *Science for citizenship* (Osborne, 2010) where it is stressed the need of *less emphasis on the facts of science and a broader knowledge of how science works*. On the other side, our work aims to investigate, in a concrete context, if, how and why the development of hard-scientific skills grounded in the discipline of complex systems can result in the development of transversal citizenship skills that can impact on people's way of facing problems and decisions.

1.6 Futures studies for citizenship skills

The citizenship skills we are mainly interested in are those related to the rational and emotional management of future.

The social implications of future competencies are very evident in the researches carried out within a branch of social sciences, named futures studies. It consists in the study of postulating possible, probable and preferable futures and it is intrinsically interdisciplinary: indeed, futures studies typically attempt to gain a holistic or systemic view because consider big and complex real systems that can be investigated only in a multidimensional perspective. In terms of methodology, the futurists – this is one of the term for referring at 'futures students' – employ a wide range of approaches, models and methods, many of which are derived from other academic or professional disciplines, from economics to political science, from computer sciences to statistics.

As the name itself of the discipline suggests, one of the fundamental assumptions in futures studies – adopted from the very beginning of this field of inquiry – is that the future is not singular but plural (Wells, 1932). Indeed, the future consists not of one inevitable future that is to be predicted, but rather of multiple alternative futures of varying likelihood which may be derived and described, and about which it is impossible to say with certainty which one will occur. In this sense, the epistemological determinist perspective is rejected by futurists together with the term 'prediction'.

The object of study forces the discipline to follow some principles that have been enunciated in four points, known as Sardar's four laws of futures studies (Sardar, 2010).

- "Futures studies are wicked": because of the complexity of the world, any exploration of the future has to face with such "wicked problems" (definition appeared in [Churchman 1967]), difficult or impossible to solve because of incomplete, contradictory, and changing requirements that are often difficult to recognize; moreover, because of complex interdependencies, the effort to solve one aspect of a wicked problem may reveal or create others. Futures studies are wicked not only because of their object of study but because their very nature is wicked: indeed, the discipline is open ended, offering not single solutions but spaces of possibilities, and borrows ideas and tools from other different disciplines and sciences. A sort of corollary of this first law is that Futures studies are so strongly multi- and trans-disciplinary that they can be considered also undisciplinary.
- "Futures studies are MAD": MAD is an acronym for Mutually Assured Diversity, a concept, first introduced by Sardar in 2004, according to that full preservation of our humanity requires that the diversity (of cultures, forms of living, ways of adjusting to change) is assured in any desired future. Futures studies need to take account of this diversity in their frameworks of concepts, theories and methods.

- "Future studies are sceptical": the scepticism of Futures studies has the aim of opening up pluralistic potentials. This is the only way walkable in a world in which uncertainty, complexity and accelerating change are so fundamental components of the future that the future cannot be known with certainty.
- "Future studies are futureless": this law is true not in the dictionary sense of "having no prospect of a future" but it holds in a more technical sense. Since we can have no definitively true knowledge of the future, the impact of all futures studies can only be evaluated in the present. This does not adhere to the falsification criteria à la Popper because, according to falsificationism, the value of a scenario or a future activity in general would be determined by their realization when the future will become present. At the opposite, the real relevance of the discourse lies in the present: all future activities, from forecasts to visioning, must have a direct impact on the present, orienting behaviour, encouraging changes, managing anxiety.

The primary effort in futures studies, then, is to identify and describe alternative futures, collecting quantitative and qualitative data about the possibility, probability, and desirability of change. In order to reach this identification of different futures, a variety of approach can be used. In the followings, we present the different types of futures commonly used in futures studies; then a summary of the main approaches at them is provided.

At present, the most common model for futures studies includes four different types of futures, 'four Ps', or Possible, Plausible, Probable and Preferable futures, that can be represented in a visual way in the so-called 'futures cone' that we report in Figure 1.4 in the version used in (Voros, 2003) adapted from (Hancock and Bezold, 1994). Some futurists have in their model a fourth kind of future, 'a W', namely Wildcards that considers low probability but high impact events that can be either positive or negative; we are not going to discuss this future further.



Figure 1.4. The futures cone representing the four Ps and, in blue, the business-as-usual future which can be considered as a linear extension of the present state.

The class of possible futures includes all the kinds of futures we can possibly imagine – those which might happen, even if unlikely. They might, as a result, involve knowledge that we do not yet possess, or might also involve transgressions of currently accepted physical laws or principles. In the futures cone, possible futures correspond to the biggest range.

The plausible futures are futures that could happen according to our current knowledge of how things work. They stem from our current understanding of physical laws, processes, causation, systems of human interaction, etc. This is clearly a smaller subset of futures than the possible and this is reflected from the futures cone too.

The class of probable futures, within the bigger class of plausible ones, contains those futures that are considered likely to happen and rely in part from the continuance of current trends. Some probable futures are considered more likely than others: the one considered most likely is often called 'business-as-usual' and it consists in a linear extension of the present.

While the three classes of futures described above are all largely concerned with informational or cognitive knowledge, the fourth class, of preferable (also named desirable) futures, is concerned with what we want to happen; in other words, these futures are largely emotional rather than cognitive. Because they derive from value judgements, they are more subjective than the previous three classes.

After having described the main features of the different kinds of futures, we are going to examine the main approaches used for obtaining it, named Forecast, Foresight and Anticipation: these can be considered three different ways of thinking about the future.

The forecast approach consists in thinking at future looking at what has happened before, taking information about the past history of the system. Because of this feature, the forecast is a past-oriented approach and it is the closest approach to the determinist view of future. The traditional futures studies do not include forecast, with its time series analyses and their extrapolations, as a proper part of the discipline, while the new futures studies include it as a legitimate component of the discipline, since it contributes to the building of quantitative models that work well with pretty short (e.g. econometrics) and very long (e.g. climate change) temporal windows.

Another approach is the foresight that, on the other side, takes directly on the future in an explorative viewpoint. This approach recognizes that the future is unpredictable and that there is not any certainty about it: then, a possible thing to do is imagine the future, staying bound by some data and information but providing qualitative models. In this sense, the foresight is considered a future-grounded approach. The main distinction between forecast and foresight is that the forecast considers only an extrapolation of the past toward the future, while the foresight contemplates also surprises, novelties and changes; for example, the foresight approach knows that some present trends could deflect, may vanish and new trends may arise in the future.

The last type of approaches at futures studies is the anticipation. According to this approach, the future is far from being a problem of either extrapolation from trends or exploration of possible futures: instead, the future becomes a problem of modifying end expand our capacity to act in the present since the understanding of the future mover from a static believe of it as something that is 'there', to a dynamic view of the future as something that can be generated or consumed by our deeds (Poli, 2010). As a consequence, we have that anticipation is essentially a present-oriented approach because it includes the outcomes from forecast and foresight, using them for action.

The tools used by forecast, foresight and anticipation approaches for outlining possible, plausible, probable and preferable futures are various and come from a wide range of disciplines. Kreibick names the following methods: trend analysis and trend

extrapolation; envelope curve analysis; relevance tree techniques; morphological methods; analogy techniques; input-output models; techniques involving questionnaires; surveys of experts and interview techniques; cost-benefit analysis; cross-impact analysis; innovation and diffusion analysis; construction of models and simulation techniques; brainstorming; Delphi methods; scenario methods; roleplaying; creativity methods; future workshops (Kreibich, 2006). This is not the right place to analyse in-depth all these techniques, so we are focusing on the scenarios making, since it is one of its most widely used methods and constitutes one of its most comprehensive and complex approaches, and often integrates within itself different methodological manners of tackling issues, such as scientific techniques, evaluation techniques, decision-making techniques, event-shaping techniques, and participative techniques (Grunwald, 2002).

A scenario can be defined as a description of a possible future situation, including paths of development which may lead to that future situation (Kosow and Gaßner, 2008). It is not a comprehensive image of the future but its goal is to direct attention to clearly demarcated segments of reality. The definition given by Kahn and Wiener confirms this point: 'scenarios are hypothetical sequences of events constructed for the purpose of focusing attention on causal processes and decision points' (Kahn & Wiener, 1967). The selection and combination of key factors with regard to a future time horizon is also a construct because it forces to consider certain events as relevant and to ignore others: this perspective is similar to the modelling process used by science, since a selection of 'what is considered' is always needed. Moreover, every scenario is based on (even if not always explicit) assumptions about how the future might one day look: what direction certain trends might take, what developments might remain constant and which ones might change during the course of time.

The theoretical framework and the tools provided by futures studies have been applied also in the context of school education and, more specifically, also in science education. Recent research studies show that the futures thinking provides a useful model to guide teaching and learning programmes and can be used to encourage students to develop critical, reflective, and flexible responses to future-focused issues that affect them as individuals and as citizens in local, national and global communities (Jones et al., 2011).

1.7 From the theoretical framework to the definition of future-scaffolding skills

To conclude this chapter on the theoretical framework, I present and discuss the first main result of the work carried out by the research group in physics education of Bologna within the project *I SEE* and within the context of my thesis: the individuation of two types of future-scaffolding skills and their description.

- 1. *future-scaffolding scientific skills*. These skills refer to the concepts, words, ideas elaborated by science throughout its history to manage, rationally, the fear of the unknown and the unpredictable. As already argues, they are linked to the temporal patterns and models of causal explanation, that go beyond the linear deterministic models of Newtonian physics and include the probabilistic models of quantum physics and models of the physics of complex systems (applicable, for example, also to climatology, geophysics as well as to social and economic issues). Such skills refer, for example, to the elaboration of the concepts of space of possibilities, future scenarios, projection, feedback and circular causality instead of deterministic prediction, linear causality.
- 2. future-scaffolding transversal skills. Further skills, that can support students to push imagination toward the future, come from other disciplinary fields like entrepreneurial real, sociology, project planning. Among the skills strongly required by the labour market, some of them are intrinsically related to future and, hence, are particularly interesting for *I SEE*. They include, for example, *strategic thinking and planning, risk taking, possibilities thinking, managing uncertainty, creative thinking, modelling and argumentation*. They also include the abilities to use techniques that have been developed in the field of future studies to play with future scenarios and to investigate their relations with present situations, like *back-casting* and the distinction between possible, probable and desirable futures.

To recap, in Figure 1.5, we provide a visual representation of the skills we refer to in the present work.



Figure 1.5. Visual representation of the various kinds of skills we have highlighted.

The scientific skills (explain phenomena scientifically, evaluate and design scientific *inquiry, interpret data and evidence scientifically*) and the distinction between the three types of scientific knowledge (content, procedural, epistemic) are adopted from the PISA framework (PISA, 2015). The transversal skills come from the same PISA framework (analyse and understand written texts) and from the most recent European document (CoE, 2016) that describes the competencies which need to be acquired by learners if they are to participate effectively in a culture of democracy and live peacefully together with others, in culturally diverse democratic societies (autonomous learning skills, analytical and critical thinking skills, empathy, flexibility and adaptability, linguistic, communicative and plurilingual skills, co-operation skills, conflict resolution skills). We have chosen it as our framework for transversal skills because it is intended that this model will be used to inform educational decision making and planning, helping educational systems to be harnessed for the preparation of learners for life as competent democratic citizens. As pointed out above, the definition and clusterization of futurescaffolding skills is original and has been elaborated by the research group in physics education of Bologna within the project I SEE.

On the basis of the previous remarks and in order to contribute to develop these skills, we have designed two different types of activities:

- activities on scientific knowledge about the content, the procedure and the epistemology of the science of complex systems;
- activities on future-scaffolding scientific skills from the science of complex systems;
- activities on future-scaffolding transversal skills from futures studies.

In Chapter 2 the designed activities and their learning outcomes are described. These activities have been experimented in order to check if and how they were able to develop future-scaffolding skills. The description of the pilot study and the analysis of results is presented in Chapter 3.

The overall work has been carried out within a team made of Laura Branchetti, Olivia Levrini, Giulia Tasquier and myself and discussed with the teachers involved in the *I SEE* project (Michela Clementi, Paola Fantini, Fabio Filippi).

My specific contribution concerns: a) the design of the activities on the science of complex systems and their implementation in the trial; b) the collaboration in the design of the activities on future competences from future studies and their implementation in the trial; c) the data analysis.
Chapter 2 Description of the activities

In the following sections the three sets of activities are described and the main common features that characterize each set of activities are stressed.

2.1 Activities A

The first set of activities has the main goal to *develop hard-scientific knowledge about complex systems science*.

In the design of these activities, we paid specific attention to underlining the characteristic aspects of disciplinary content, application context and form of presentation of the activities themselves (cfr. Table 2.1 for an overview).

Activity	Disciplinary content	Application context	Form of presentation
Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model	non-linearity	ecological science	mathematical description and simulation
Feedback Ted-Ed lesson	feedback and circular causality	ecology, climatology, economics, computer science, molecular biology	video-lesson and interactive test
Schelling's segregation model	self-organization and emergent properties	sociological modelling	simulation
The Game of Life	self-organization and emergent properties	biological model	simulation

Table 2.1. Overview of activities A.

Despite the variety of issues treated in this set of activities, they have common characteristics that can be traced specifically in the procedure that has leaded to their design. First of all, a wide literature on the theme of complex systems has been taken into account in order to isolate the main and most fundamental concepts of the theory. Then, I have searched on the Internet web resources about the theme, in order to find videos, simulations and other tools that could be useful in order to spread those concepts. In particular, I was convinced that the study of this particular scientific discipline would difficultly be effective if a traditional teaching and learning approach was applied (using, for example, just taught classes or lectures). This study, I believed, had to pass through the use of one of most used tools in the science of complex systems: the simulation. That is why this first set of activities contains a lot of different simulations, related to various application contexts. But the material tools are not the unique contents of the activities. Indeed, after having found suitable resources on the Internet, I have made the conceptual dimension explicit in order to transform the tools in completely original activities equipped with purpose, description and comments. The resulting activities have a strong disciplinary dimension, because we wanted to build a solid knowledge about the scientific discipline. At the same time, particular attention has been given to the playful dimension as a learning one, so that the learning process about the discipline could foster students' engagement. At the end of the design process, the activities were submitted to teachers in order to check if they were comprehensible, and, so, appropriate, for secondary school students.

2.1.1 The Lotka-Volterra predator-prey simulation

The first activity focuses on the concept of non-linearity through one of the simplest model in complex systems science. It describes the variation of number of preys and predators, if specific conditions hold. In order to present this model (also known as Lotka-Volterra) this strategy has been followed: first of all, the mathematical equations are verbally presented and commented, in order to explain the meaning of the variables and the modelling role of the various coefficients, as showed in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1. Equations of the Lotka-Volterra model in which the names of the variables and the parameters are made explicit.

Secondly, to make students "see" the mode of operation of the model, a simulation is presented: <u>http://mathinsight.org/applet/lotka_volterra_versus_time_population_display</u>. Changing the values of the parameters A, B, C and D, the simulation gives two graphs, like the ones in Figure 2.2, representing the evolution of prey and predator populations.



Figure 2.2. Graphs of the time-evolution of prey (blue) and predator (red) populations, according to the Lotka-Volterra model, with a suitable choice of parameters A, B, C and D.

After this phase of the activity, the results of the simulations are compared with the real data coming from the observation of a real predator-prey relationship, considering the interaction between wolves and moose on Isle Royale, an island in Lake Superior.

Showing the difference between the two graphs, here reported in Figure 2.3, it is pointed out that all models, through all the possible improvements with the addition of other coefficients, can never take into account the whole complexity of the real world. To а second simulation, available online clarify this point, at the link http://www.phschool.com/atschool/phbio/active art/predator prey simulation/, is showed. It allows students to change some more parameters which soften some validity conditions of the model.



Figure 2.3. Comparison between ideal and real graphs: X.top) ideal graph obtained with the application of the Lotka-Volterra model, with fixed parameters; X.bottom) real data for 40-years evolution of wolf and moose populations on Isle Royale.

So, to recap the design aspects of this activity, we have: i) a disciplinary content represented by the non-linearity between variables in a complex system, ii) an application context within the ecological science and iii) a form of presentation that uses a simulation that allows the student to "play" with the different parameters of the model.

We anticipate that this activity worked very well during the trial and an extended, more ambitious and more technical version has been produced to be used, in case, in regular classes or in contexts where teachers wish to address these topics in some depth. The extended version is reported in Annexes 1-2 and, in the followings, we are describing its main features. After a detailed discussion about the equations of the model and about the parameters involved, we focus on the zero-interaction model, obtained when there is not any significant interaction between the two species. Then, a comment about the periodic solutions of the model is provided, showing how the non-linearity can be reread in terms of circular causality, through the concepts of feedback. In order to explore the roles of the various parameters, a script code in Python programming language is provided: it can be used to plot the time evolution of the populations of preys and predators in function of the values of coefficients. Finally, the already presented comparison between model and reality is discussed. This activity has been designed in two different versions, one for teachers and one for students. The teacher version (see A1) contains the explanation of the model and all the graphs, while the student one (see A2) has the form of a tutorial which guides the students through the analysis of the model with exercises and questions to answer; in the student version the graph are not provided in advance, because they have to be found and commented by students after launching the Python programmes.

The new version of the activity about the Lotka-Volterra model inspired the design of another activity focused on the logistic map: this activity, reported in Annex A3, was not used in our trial. We chose the logistic map because it is the simplest mathematical equation that leads to complex behaviour. The logistic model is non-linear and it is written in the form of an iterative map, dependent of a parameter. The choice of this parameter is not neutral because it leads to very different time evolutions, from stable conditions to chaotic behaviour, passing through periodic evolutions. The model allows to introduce the concept of attractor as well as a particular kind of graph (the bifurcation diagram) which is often used in the explanation of complex systems. The main characteristics, common at a lot of other complex systems, that can be found also in this very simple model are the presence of fractals and the high sensitivity at initial conditions. The activity is expected to guide teachers and/or students to the discovery of the logistic model, focusing on the mathematical and programming aspects (also using scripts in Python language for producing graphs) as well as on epistemological and conceptual related issues.

2.1.2 The feedback TED-Ed lesson

The second activity focuses on the concepts of feedback and circular causality as crucial aspects that characterize a complex system. The activity is a sort of follow up of the previous activity. Its main goal is to refine vocabulary, ideas and arguments in order to examine more and more deeply and consciously the sense of giving up linear causality when talking about complex systems. The activity is organized as a TED-Ed page, based on an animated video-lesson: <u>http://ed.ted.com/lessons/feedback-loops-how-nature-gets-its-rhythms-anje-margriet-neutel#watch</u>. The topic is positive and negative feedbacks in biological systems. Using a musical metaphor, the video gives imaginative tools for thinking the raising up of self-organization starting from a complex substrate of feedback cycles.

The video-lesson is equipped with different kinds of questions (multiple choices or openended), to boost on-line learning about the topic; the questions asked to students are reported in Annex A4. Moreover, there is a summary about the contents of the video, with some details for a deepest analysis of the topic (links to other Ted-Ed lessons, to scientific papers, etc.). It has also been created a section for discussion, where everyone can leave questions, comments or remarks that all participants can read and answer.

At the end of the interactive lesson, the activity is supposed to be completed by a classroom discussion of other types of feedback that can be recognized in a lot of fields of interest. In our implementation, we chose the example of the relationship between atmosphere absorbance and the growth of the temperature at the Earth surface (climatology), the law of supply and demand (economics), the violation at central dogma of molecular biology (molecular biology) and the selection bias (computer science).

So, to recap the design aspects of this activity, we have: i) disciplinary content represented by the concepts of feedback and circular causality, ii) an application context that embraces different areas of interest (from ecology to climatology, from economics to computer science) and iii) a form of presentation that uses a video-lesson, an interactive test to verify the knowledge acquired and a classroom discussion to share examples and what was learnt.

2.1.3 The Schelling's segregation model simulation

The third activity of this set regards the concept of self-organization. It uses a method that is itself a disciplinary content of complexity science: the simulation. For many reasons, it is practically impossible to study complex social systems through the experimental technique: we think about the great difficulty in manipulating deeply woven variables (the adjective 'complex' has properly this etymology: cum-plexus, woven together), but we also think about the ethical consequences of such an approach; because of these reasons, simulations are used, in which one can replicate, through a specific software, the principal properties and the dynamics of a social system and, through the controlled manipulation of some reference materials, one can perform "experiments". The "playable post" presented for this activity refers to the Thomas Schelling's dynamic model of segregation and is available at link <u>http://ncase.me/polygons/</u>. In this model, the environment is a 2-dimensional world populated by squares and triangles, in which simple cohabitation rules convert themselves in scenarios of racial segregation.

This last aspect allows us to see how, in complex systems, to small causes at the level of individuals and their interactions can correspond big effects at the level of system. We confer on this activity an important role because we want, in our research, to build agency skills that can be acquired only if there is a comprehension of the fact that one can do something and that his/her personal action does make the difference.

So, to recap the design aspects of this activity, we have: i) a disciplinary content represented by the concept of self-organization and sensitivity to initial conditions, ii) an application context that refers to a model very well studied sociological model and iii) a simulation as form of presentation.

2.1.4 The 'Game of life' simulation

The fourth and last activity of this set considers another face of the emergent properties in complex systems science that is the showing up of geometrical patterns, starting from minimal rules. The tool that has been used is an applet based on a simulation named "Game of life", invented by the mathematician John Conway: <u>https://bitstorm.org/gameoflife/</u>. The basic rules of the simulation reproduce in a simplified way the behaviour of cells.

With the applet, the students can "play" with the simulation, experimenting their favourite initial geometrical conditions and watching the time-evolution of the system. But before leaving them autonomous, three main classes of objects have been introduced: still lifes, oscillators and spaceships. They are shown in Figure 2.4.



Figure 2.4. Examples of patterns, with alive cells shown in black, and dead cells shown in white.

So, to recap the design aspects of this activity, we have: i) a disciplinary content represented by the concept of self-organization and emergent properties, ii) an application context that refers to a biological model in a very simple version interpreted by computer science and iii) an applet based on a simulation as form of presentation.

To strengthen the concept of self-organization, many other examples can be used. One of them is the "world of ants" that students do appreciate¹. A brief description of it is reported in Annex A5.

2.2 Activities B

The second set of activities has two main goals: to develop i) hard-scientific skills about the science of complex systems, like recognizing and imaging feedback loops; ii)

¹ This example has been used by Zanarini and Fantini in a class of scientific lyceum in Rimini (grade 12), in a seminar about the science of complex systems (March 2017, personal communication).

transversal skills, like reading, analysing a scientific text and building causal maps. The set consists of two activities that in the followings are illustrated.

2.2.1 Activity on the IPCC report

The first activity, reported in Annex A6, consists of the reading of a synthesis of an IPCC report, related to the issue of global warming. Through this activity, we asked students to read this text, to underline the problems suggested by the text about the main issue of global warming and, finally, to build a map "or another way of organization at one's own choice" in order to sketch up a hierarchy between the highlighted problems in terms of cause-effect relationships.

After the execution of this activity, the teachers showed to the students a map that could be drawn starting from the same text; it is reported in Annex A7. From this map, the students are guided to recognize how, starting from the big issue of climate change - with all its social, environmental, technological and political themes – an area of the map can be chosen, in order to analyse in depth the cause-effect net that, in the big map, collapses in a single link. In these terms, a focus on the problem of transports is provided, with a procedure of zooming illustrated in Figure 2.5.



Figure 2.5. Procedure of zooming from the issue of global warming to the more specific problem of transports.

2.2.2 The 'Biodiesel story'

The second activity of this set is made up of four different parts that are explained in the followings.

The starting point is a scientific text that we named the 'Biodiesel Story' (Annex A8) and that treats the most important aspects related to the use and the production of biofuels. The text has been written by Giulia Tasquier and myself with a clear objective: to offer the students a text on which they can exercise to recognize and abstract the logical and causal structure of the phenomena described in it.

The scientific text that we produced situates the specific theme of biofuel within the more general issue of transports which in turn is related to the even wider problem of mitigation of climate change: the previous activity about the synthesis of the IPCC report was designed specifically for providing this contextualization. Although the fact that the issue of biofuels is often treated in terms of pros and cons, advantages and disadvantages, we avoided mentioning these words, limiting the text to detail the cause-effect relationships, without making it too explicit (in the sense, that we avoid using expressions like 'this causes this').

The text presents a lot of notes for an in-depth analysis about the chemical details and the technical terms that comes from climatology. These notes are intended to be to completion with respect to the text: it is readable and comprehensible also without reading them. A particular attention, along the whole text, is given to the references.

After we drafted the text, we asked two experts, Prof. R. Rizzi and Prof. Margerita Venturi, to check and validate the contents. Readability by secondary school students has been instead checked by secondary school teachers, Prof. Michela Clementi, Paola Fantini, and Fabio Filippi.

The activity consists of reading the Biodiesel Story and, as first step, to build a map that summarizes the cause-effect net that the text displays. Our proposal of map is reported in Figure 2.6 and in Annex A9.



Figure 2.6. Our proposal of map drawn starting from the text of the "Biodiesel Story" (see Annex A9 for an enlarged version).

In it, two main areas are identified: one is related to the use of bio diesel, while the other is related to its production. The arrows indicate the different levels of causality. This map is a linear one: starting from a cause, the consequence follows, then it becomes cause of another thing and so on.

After this first part of the activity, in which the scientific text is organized into a logic map, the mechanism of feedback is introduced, showing that, in this linear map, some links can be enriched if one considers the underlying feedback loops.

Two sub-activities have been designed in order to build a proper skill about the concept of circular causality.

The first sub-activity consists of considering the scheme of feedback loops that we prepared (see A10), detailing them in an extended form. In particular, each group of students received two feedback loops and, after having provided the detailed description of the phenomena summarized in the schemes, they had to situate the loops they received in our map of the biofuel issue. Our proposal of map, in which all the feedback areas are highlighted, is reported in Figure 2.7 and in Annex A11.

The second sub-activity consists of the request of finding in the map other possible loops, different from those given in the previous phase, related to the issue; students are asked to detail the loops found in an extended way and to represent them in a scheme.



Figure 2.7. Our proposal of map where the feedback areas have been highlighted (see Annex A11 for an enlarged version).

After the pilot study in which the activities have been carried out, a supplementary activity has been designed in order to support students in building their causal maps. This activity, reported in Annex A18, is an introduction to the Logical Framework Approach (LFA). Developed in the late 1960's, the LFA is at the same time an analytical process and a set of tools designed to support project planning and management. It has been described as an 'aid to thinking' because its aim is to give structure to the analysis so that important questions can be asked, weakness identified and decision makers can make informed decisions based on their improved understanding of the project rationale, its intended objectives and the means by which objectives will be achieved.

2.3 Activities C

The third set of activities has the main goal *to turn hard skills into specific transversal skills that we identify as future-scaffolding skills*. The first implementation of these activities was during a pilot study with adult citizens of the town of Dozza (Albertazzi, 2017). In the context of that experimentation, two different group activities were designed.

2.3.1 An urban problem for the town of Irene

The first was "Probable, possible and desirable futures for the Town Irene", an activity related to a problem of urban planning, inspired by a real situation. The second one was "The fishback game", a board game for four players, concerning the activity of fishing businessmen, that had the main goal of reinforcing the renounce to linearity by thinking, in a dynamic way, about feedback mechanisms and about the long-term consequences of players' actions and intentions. In this game, adapted from the proposal found in the website http://www.molleindustria.org/blog/designing-games-to-understandcomplexity/, the strategy emerges as a characteristic of the group of players: depending on the strategy planned, one is the winner or everyone loses. It is not easy to agree upon the sure strategy to win, but it is pretty simple to identify the best way to lose: indeed everyone loses if the players does not consider the feedback loops the game is based on. In Annexes from A12 to A14 the material related to this activity can be found: it consists of a detailed description of the rules of the game (see Annex A12), the printable material (see Annex A13) and the description of four positive and negative feedback loops that have been tracked down during the game playing (see Annex A14).

During the pilot study carried out in that context, it was found that "The fishback game" activity was really appreciated by almost all the participants but it required too much time to be completed, because players had to spent a lot of time to become familiar with the rules and with the dynamic of the game. So, this activity has been abandoned, while the first one, about the town of Irene, has been revised and improved for the experimentation which is object of this work; the final version of this activity is reported in Annex A15. Here I sum it up by stressing its goals and structure.

It consists of four different parts, all related to the macro-activity named "probable, possible and desirable futures for the Town *Irene*".

The problem presented in these group activities is related to urban planning and it has been inspired by a real situation (Albertazzi, 2017).



municipal border

Figure 2.8. The spatial disposition of the commercial areas of the town of Irene.

Irene is a small town that counts three commercial areas operating in the food sector: they are spatially arranged as shown in Figure 2.8. There is Degli Esposti's shop, in the town centre: it is a well-furnished and very looked-after shop managed by the Degli Esposti family and, properly because of this, they survived the economic crisis. At a short distance, away from the small town, there is Ettore's supermarket; he has six employees and though being allowed permission by the existing urban regulations, he has never renovated his offer nor enlarged his place, so to extend the range of available products: the reason why is to be found in his approaching retirement age and the scarcity of money possessed to invest. Finally, farther off the town centre, there is a small discount store belonging to a large chain, where 10 employees work: the chain owners wish they could double the surface and add a nearby parking lot where now there is an agricultural field, but they should have an alteration of the urban regulations approved by the Municipal Council, because the present Urban Planning Regulations would not allow any possibility of expansion.

The first activity consists of the analysis of the present situation and the identification of probable scenarios. To analyse the present situation, students are expected to build a map considering the stakeholders, their needs and interests, the existing interactions between the stakeholders. The activity in Annex A18, about the Logical Framework Approach, has been designed after the pilot study in order to explain the characteristics and exemplify a stakeholder analysis too.

After doing that, starting from the plan scheme of the present situation, one has to identify and describe two possible scenarios at 2025: the first will have to illustrate a possible condition of evolution of the system as a consequence of granted expansion; the second must envisage a possible situation of evolution after a denied expansion.

The second activity has the main goal of identifying positive or negative feedback loops that can give reasons for the realization of possible scenarios. The first scenario sees the periphery of Irene has become an attractive centre thanks to its many commercial activities that have been developed beyond the commercial area, but the historical centre has become progressively empty. The second one shows Irene as a centre of attraction for a local and diversified tourism, thanks to the gastronomic offer of shops and restaurants of the town centre and to the street market stalls regularly organized.

The third activity is about the imagination of a desirable scenario for the town Irene in 2025. The scenario has to be accompanied with a catchphrase that characterizes Irene as the ideal town where to live or to visit. After this, each group of students has to plan an action that they may undertake (as singles or as a group) in the present, in order to favour the realization of the desired scenario. They are asked to describe who they are and the position they hold when realizing the action (for instance: political decision maker, private citizen, an association, society, company or firm, a bank, the headmaster of a school, etc.), what they intend to do and why they think this action favours the realization of the desirable scenario.

As final part of Irene activity, the groups of students have to decide if allow extension to the discount or not, explaining why.

The transversal skills that we intended to reach with Irene are future-scaffolding skills because the distinction between the three types of future, after a solid analysis of the present situation, is the starting point for a conscious and personal agency.

2.4 Learning outcomes of the activities

The activities presented above can be summarized in terms of learning outcomes which are statements of what learners (in our case, students) are expected to know, understand, succeed in, after completion of a process of teaching/learning. We summarize these learning outcomes in Table 2.2, divided in sets of activities. Although the activities have been designed with a multidimensional approach that often strictly links knowledge and

skills, for each learning outcome, we specify what type of knowledge and/or skill is *mostly* involved.

Set of activities	Learning outcomes	Knowledge and/or skills mostly involved
A	Students get acquainted with basic concepts of science of complex systems: complex system, nonlinearity, sensitive dependence on initial conditions (butterfly effect), self- organization, circular causality, positive and negative feedback loops.	Scientific content knowledge
	Students become familiar with one of the main tools of the science of complex systems, the simulation, and understand that it can be considered a third way to study phenomena beyond the two traditional ones (laboratory experiments and theories); they learn that the simulation does not use normal words or symbols of mathematics but uses a particular language that incorporates into a computer program that can be used as a virtual laboratory.	Scientific procedural knowledge
	Students recognize that linear causality is not the only way to think and talk about the future and get acquainted with a new vocabulary elaborated by the science of complex systems to think and talk about future (e.g. the concept of projection as distinct from deterministic prediction; the concept of possible future scenarios).	Scientific epistemic knowledge
	Students learn that approaching science phenomena that involve citizenship issues (e.g. climate change) implies a change in the epistemological way of looking at the phenomena itself: they learn, for example, that climate is a complex system and that the interpretation of phenomena related to it implies new type of explanation, modelling and argumentation.	Scientific epistemic knowledge
В	Students become able to analyse scientific texts by recognizing the causal net made by links and nodes.	Analyse and understand written texts
	Students become able to distinguish from linear and circular causality, within the scientific texts, recognizing the nature of the causal links and individuating possible feedback loops that can be found starting from the text.	Explain phenomena scientifically; manage the three-pronged knowledge (about the concept of feedback and of causality) to reason about the future
	Students learn to transform the causal nets present in the scientific texts into cause-effect maps.	Build causal maps

 Table 2.2. Learning outcomes of the three sets of activities with the related knowledge and/or skills that are mostly involved.

С	Students become able to apply concepts of science of complex systems (e.g. feedback loop) in an urban problem.	Explain phenomena scientifically; manage the three-pronged knowledge (about the concept of feedback and of causality) to reason about the future
	Students learn that approaching climate change implies a change in ways we live in everyday life and we, collectively, make decisions.	Decision making
	Students get acquainted with basic concepts coming from future studies (forecast, foresight, anticipation, backcasting, the distinction between probable, possible and desirable futures) and manage these concepts to reason about an urban problem.	Manage the distinction between possible, probable and desirable futures
	Students learn that scenarios are hypothetical sequences of events constructed for the purpose of focusing attention on causal processes and decision points and practice for elaborating them.	Build scenarios
	Students become able to imagine possible future careers to aspire, putting their creativity into play.	Creativity
	Students become personally committed to outline a desirable scenario and/or to point out a desirable objective to be reached in the future.	Active participation
	Students take the agency to plan an action to make their futures possible.	Plan actions
	Students work in group to reach shared decisions.	Cooperation and conflict resolution skills

Chapter 3 The pilot study: context, methods and data analysis

3.1 Context, methods and research questions

The activities described above have been used in a teaching module implemented within a laboratory-course about the topic of climate change. The class was comprised of 14 voluntary students (6 males and 8 females) who, for six afternoons, decided to attend these optional lessons organised by the Department of Physics and Astronomy of the University of Bologna, within the *Piano Lauree Scientifiche* project (the acronym PLS in English could be translated into *Scientific Degree Plan*). The students were 17-18 years old; most of them attended scientific-oriented high schools ('Licei Scientifici'), but there was also a group of 3 students coming from high schools focusing on humanities ('Licei Classici').

The course was organised in two main parts.

The first part (9 hours) included: 1) a lesson about the difference between meteorology and climatology sciences, including an introduction to climate system as a complex one (the concept of feedback was introduced here for the first time) (teachers: Prof. R. Rizzi and Dr. G. Tasquier); 2) two laboratory experiences about the interaction between radiation and matter and the greenhouse effect, where the role of modelling in climate science was stressed (teacher: Dr. G. Tasquier) (Tasquier, Levrini, Dillon, 2016). In the second part of the course the set of activities described before were implemented (9 hours). In the first lesson of this part, the students were exposed to an interactive lesson

about the science of complex systems, where the activities of set A were carried out (teacher: E. Barelli). In the second lesson, the students were guided to analyse, in group, the document on the synthesis of the fifth IPCC report and the "Biodiesel story" (activity of set B) (teachers: G. Tasquier and E. Barelli). The third lesson completed the course. It started with a collective discussion on the results of their homework and, hence, on the feedback loops they found in the bio-fuel document. Then, two hours were devoted to the activity of set C about the town of Irene (teachers: G. Tasquier and E. Barelli). The data analysis and the pilot study have been carried out only on those students who attended the lessons of the second part of the course. The graph of Figure 3.1 reports the





Figure 3.1. Students' attendance to the second part of the lesson: 11 out of 14 students attended complexity lesson; 10 out 14 students attended biofuel lesson; 13 out of 14 students attended Irene lesson.

During the pilot-study, many data were collected in order to monitor the study. A prequestionnaire about the different dimensions of the module (disciplinary, epistemological, personal) was submitted (see Annex A16). Students were asked to answer an intermediate conceptual questionnaire after the first lesson of the second part (the lesson on complexity) about the main scientific contents (see Annex A17). Every lesson was audio-recorded and we considered the students' outputs produced during the working groups, as well as the notes of the researchers who attended the lessons.

The data have been analyses to answer four research questions. The research questions reflect the main goals of the activities:

- 1. Have the students developed scientific knowledge about complex systems science?
- 2. Have the students developed scientific skills?
- 3. Have the students developed transversal skills?
- 4. Have the students developed "future-scaffolding skills"?

In the following presentation of the results, we report, for each question, the specific data we considered and the specific methodologies we used to analyse them. Generally speaking, a qualitative strategy was iteratively implemented in order to build a synthetic picture of what happened and to interpret it by recognizing criticalities, trends and behaviours during the activities. After the first round of data analysis, the results were tested against the literature on students' difficulties and understanding or on youth's perceptions of future in order to frame the results and to value their reliability and relevance.

3.2 Scientific knowledge: data analysis and results

In this section, we analyse the results of the interactive lesson on the concepts of the science of complex systems. The analysis will provide all the elements to answer our first research question: *Have the students developed scientific knowledge about complex systems science*?

The question will be answered through a pre-post analysis, that is by contrasting the knowledge students acquired throughout the activity (and displayed in the intermediate questionnaire) against the knowledge they had before the course (and showed in the introductory questionnaire).

3.2.1 Initial state of students' knowledge

The introductory (or pre-) questionnaire was submitted to 13/14 students (one was absent). It concerned the concepts of system, feedback and prediction (in reference to climate change).

Students' answers were analysed through a bottom-up process, aimed to point out emergent patterns (clusters) in students' knowledge and outline the initial state against which we will test whether and how knowledge evolved.

According to this goal and to the features of the sample, the analysis does not have any statistical value. Tables and graphs are meant to be nothing but a synthetic way to represent what emerged here, without any demand of generalization. In the tables, the clusters are illustrated both through a brief description and examples of students' answers.

With respect to the concept of system and its definition, in the pre-questionnaire, students were asked to answer this question: '*In physics or generally in science, you have certainly heard about system. How would you define it? What properties do you identify? You can help yourself by providing some examples*'.

In their answers, almost all the students refer to the distinction they learnt at school between isolated, close and open system:

'[A system] is a container that, into a universe, is defined as open, if it exchanges either energy or matter with the universe, close, if it exchanges just energy, and isolated, if it does not exchange anything' (S8).

A comment that will become more sensible after the analysis of the intermediate questionnaire is that the students did not consider possible interactions among the components of a system: school definition leads the students to focus their attention on the distinction between system and environment and to their type of energy/matter exchange.

With respect to the concept of feedback and its definitions, students were asked to answer this question: 'You have surely heard the word feedback. In what contexts have you heard it? What meaning do you ascribe to this word? Have you ever heard about it referring to science? In your opinion, what does it mean when it is referred to physical systems? Try to give some example'.

Only one student did not answer the question. In analysing students' answers, two clusters emerged. For almost half of them, the term 'feedback' was associated to the e-commerce field, so the meaning of feedback is very similar to 'evaluation', opinion. Thus, the adjectives 'positive' or 'negative' were associated to 'good' or 'bad' service.

All the other students answered by referring to feedback in terms of response to a stimulus: an action (not necessarily in a scientific perspective) that causes a reaction.

An example of how we identified the emergent cluster from the analysis is reported in Table 3.1 and the distribution of students' answers over the two clusters is reported in Figure 3.2.

Cluster	Description which reflects the	Representative students' answers for
	meaning of the cluster	the cluster
Feedback as an evaluation	Evaluation of a service or of an object. Mark or review about something. The evaluation or mark or review can be good (positive feedback) or bad (negative feedback).	"I give to the term 'feedback' a meaning of 'evaluation'; with regard to physical systems, it can describe the utility." (S13)
Feedback as a response to an input	Response to a phenomenon or an event or an action. Reaction of the system to a stimulus, not necessarily in a scientific perspective.	"Feedback is the response to a specific event. In physical systems it can be the response of the environment to human actions." (S10)
		"[] in general it is a response to a specific action. For example, if you asked me an opinion about the course I am attending, my answer would be a feedback." (S7)

Table 3.1. Clustering of students' answers on feedback (pre-questionnaire).



Figure 3.2. Students' conceptions of feedback (pre-questionnaire). (Total number of students: 13)

The answers show also an interesting tendency to consider feedback as a multifaceted concept that can be inherent to science but also to other fields, including social phenomena. A couple of examples of answers that show this aspect are the following:

'I have typically heard the word feedback in economic and medical contexts and I give to it the meaning of 'answer'. In a physical context it could be the outcome of a process applied to a specific system.' (S4)

'In biology, referring to the study of human body, feedback is when we have a growth or a decrease (positive or negative feedback), an answer to a specific phenomenon.' (S12)

On the basis of this initial picture on the concept of feedback we can observe that:

- there is an idea of feedback as 'evaluation of an e-service' that has to be problematized or displaced since it can interfere with the scientific meaning of the concept;
- 2. students have productive resources on which it can be worth building. They concern both the idea of feedback as a response to a stimulus and the recognised multi-disciplinary relevance of the concept. As for the idea of feedback as response to a stimulus, it should be made to evolve toward a more explicit idea of circular causality (in the initial answers it seems very implicit, if it exists). As for the multi-disciplinary relevance of the concept, it represents a very important resource to be exploited both when the scientific content is fixed and strengthened, and when the transition from scientific skills back to transversal skills is discussed.

With respect to the concept of prediction about climate change, students were asked to answer this question: *'What does it mean, in your opinion, to make predictions (relating to climate but not only)?'*

Four clusters emerged from the analysis of students' answers. The four clusters are the result of a process of triangulation with the literature on the future students (Miller 2007; Poli, 2010). The first three are related respectively to *forecast, anticipation, foresight* (see Table 3.2 and Figure 3.3). The first cluster (*Using present and past for knowing future*), typical of *forecast,* implies a way of thinking *based on* what has happened in the past and what is happening in the present; the attitude that characterizes this way of facing the future is a sort of *planning attitude*: the future is perceived like something that will happen and what we have to do is just going where it is. According to this way of thinking, prediction is the tool used to *analyse the present (or the past)* in order to predict what will happen in the future. The main marker we used to analyse students' answers and to

recognise the forecasting attitude is the presence of expressions like 'on the basis of ... [what we know so far, our certain theories, our data...]'.

The second attitude (Using future as an anticipation strategy to act in the present) is typical of anticipation, which is a means to imagine, in advance, what can happen so as to orient an action in the present. The direction is from the future to the present and, unlike in the cases of forecasting, anticipation refers to 'see in advance' possible future developments of something that has not yet happened in the present but can happen. 'To see in advance' and the reference to possible actions/events in the present are the two markers that we used to recognise, in students' discourse, an anticipation attitude.

The third attitude toward the future (*Exploring probable/possible futures*) is typical of *foresight*; it consists of an explorative way of thinking possible futures/trends/scenarios. Exploration is freer than in forecast, since it does not start from present strict conditions and futures can be influenced by choices not yet made. The markers that we used to recognise a foresight attitude are the presence of a probabilistic language, the emphasis on future uncertainty and no explicit reference to present actions.

Three students provided tautological answers like "to make predictions mean to try to know what it will happen in the future."

A typical example of answer for each cluster is reported in Table 3.2 and the distribution of students' answers over the four clusters is reported in Figure 3.3.

Cluster	Description which reflects the	Representative students' answers
	meaning of the cluster	for the cluster
Using present	Observing, organising and	"Making predictions means trying to
and past for	analysing present data or laws	figure out what will happen in the
knowing future	obtained from the past in order to	future by studying what has already
	know what will happen in the	happened and having obtained the
	future or to implement a model for	laws." (S14)
	predicting a future trajectory.	
Using future as	Analysing a set of possibilities and	"Making prediction, for me, means
an anticipation	identifying possible future	to analyse a series of possibilities
strategy to act in	developments or future trends that	and hypothesis and to try to
the present	may happen; they can be	understand in advance what it could
	influenced or not from the past.	happen in a specific context." (S2)
Exploring	Identifying the probability of	"Making prediction means to
probable/possible	possible events within a range of	calculate the probability of an event,
futures	possibilities and a plethora of	taking as the real prediction the
	choices.	maximum probability of happening."
		<i>(S10)</i>

Table 3.2. Clustering of students' answers on prediction



Figure 3.3. Students' ideas on the concept of prediction in climate change (pre-questionnaire). (Total number of students: 13)

The forecast attitude as well as tautological answers are not surprising because prediction evocates immediately meteorological models. However, there are 5 students which show anticipation and foresight attitudes. Even though in a germinal way, they showed that possible future scenarios, uncertainty and probability are part of their ways of thinking. A detailed representation of the characteristics identified by each student is provided in Figure 3.4.



Figure 3.4. Students' attitude toward future (pre-questionnaire). (Total number of students: 13)

3.2.2 Students' knowledge about complex systems science: how it evolved and changed throughout the activities

As shown in Figure 3.1, 11 out of 14 students were present when the intermediate questionnaire (on the concepts of system, feedback and previsions, see Annex A17) was submitted at the end of the complexity lesson.

Students' answers were analysed through the same method that was used for the analysis of the initial state: emergent patterns (clusters) were pointed out and are here presented in tables where examples of students' answers are reported. The results will be here reported concept by concept and discussed with respect to the initial state.

As for the concept of system, in the intermediate questionnaire students were asked to answer this question: '*Thinking about the activities done so far, how would you define a "system"? You can also help by trying to provide examples'*.

In their answers, students' definition changed with respect to the answers they gave into the initial questionnaire. The answers show a new focus of attention on the inner *components* of a system and on their *mutual, internal, interactions*. The key-words of the answers became indeed 'components/composition' or 'interaction/interact'. Examples of answers are:

'A system can be considered as a set of factors that interact among them.' (S10).
'A system is a limited environment in which we study internal and external interactions and its composition.' (S8).

One student also stressed the feature that the whole is not necessarily the mere sum of its components:

'A system is the set that is generated by the interaction between more elements that influence each other. The system is not necessarily the mere sum of its components.' (S4).

Only 2 students out of 11 did not use the words interactions/interact in reference to the inner components and, instead, provided a 'space' description, in the sense that they have still considered a system as something that is *in relation with the environment*. However, they seemed to stop to consider the system as a whole and introduced that it can have an internal composition.

In all the cases, we appreciated the enlargement of the way of looking at a system and the new focus on the inner dynamics. We see here an important step toward the recognition of the active role of the researchers to define the system according to the goals of their investigation and, we guess or hope, it was suggested by the wide discussion we had in questioning the problematic issue of 'cutting the nature of its joints' (how can a researcher decide what is important and how he can check the eventual effects of the neglected variables and/or of the interactions with the not considered environment).

With respect to the concept of feedback and its definitions, students were asked to answer this question: *'Thinking about the activities done so far, has your idea changed with respect to the word feedback? If so, what new meanings do you attribute to it?'*.

The first important result is that the interpretation of feedback as 'evaluation' completely disappeared and the students who had before answered in these terms explicitly recognized that their initial idea had changed after the activity:

"[My idea of feedback] has changed in sense that I intended 'positive' and 'negative' as qualitative characteristics while now I realize that they do not affect a 'good' or 'bad' event" (S7).

Most of the students answered by referring to feedback in terms of a cause-effect dynamic (first two clusters in Table 3.3) and they mention the possibility of having either positive or negative feedback. Through the first cluster we emphasized the students who explicitly used the term 'circular causality', but the distinction between cluster one and two remains not so substantial.

Instead, clusters 3 and 4 report the definitions that we do not consider satisfactory (see Table 3.3): 2 students seem to show the residual of reasoning typical of linear causality, whilst 1 student gave a vague definition that cannot be interpreted properly.

In Table 3.3 we report the clustering with an example of answer to illustrate the cluster. The distribution of students' answers over the clusters is reported in Figure 3.5.

Cluster	Description which reflects the	Representative students' answers
	meaning of the cluster	for the cluster
Feedback as	The effect and the cause are not just	"Now I can give it [the concept of
cause-effect	consecutive events, but the effect	feedback] a more general (more
relationship	can, in turn, influence its causes and	'relevant') meaning. It is an element

 Table 3.3. Clustering of students' answers on feedback (intermediate questionnaire).

	induce an interaction between the two. The circular causality can amplify or soften a phenomenon (its causes).	within a circular cause/causes- effect/effects relationship and it amplifies or softens causes themselves." (S4)
Feedback as	Effects can act back to the cause,	"Feedback is that set of conditions that
an "action	amplifying or softening it.	affects what has caused it and,
back"		according to how it affects the cause,
		the feedback can be positive or
		negative." (S9)
Feedback as a series of actions/events	Linear concatenation and/or repetition of two or more phenomena, considering events as sequences, one after the other	"My idea of feedback has changed a lot and I have understood that we mean the results of interactions between two or more phenomena and that they are often indirect, because they are the effects of a series of action during the time." (S10)
Vague	Feedback as a set of relation	"Feedback is the set of reactions that
answer		happen in a specific environment and
		it can be positive or negative." (S5)



Figure 3.5. Students' definitions of feedback (intermediate questionnaire. (Total number of students: 11)

After the question about the definition of feedback, students were also asked to define, recognize and invent examples of positive and negative feedbacks. In the first part of the activity, four brief descriptions of feedback loops were given them (about thermoregulation mechanism, usury loop, flush feedback, propagation of nervous impulses) and they had to recognize if the descriptions corresponded to negative or positive feedback loops, explaining also why. In the graph of Figure 3.6 there is a representation of the cases of success and failure in the recognizion of loops: on average, 8 students (almost two thirds of students) were able to provide correct answers.



Figure 3.6. Students' recognition of positive and negative feedback loops (intermediate questionnaire). (Total number of students: 11)

After the recognition step, students were asked to invent two examples of feedback loops, one positive and one negative. As shown in Figure 3.7, for the negative feedback, 10 students out of 11 were able to write and schematise feedback loops different from the four examples given before, while for the positive feedback the successful cases were 9 out of 11. We observe that students tend to reproduce examples of feedback loops already explained during the lessons about complexity. However, we do not consider this as a limit of the questionnaire or, more in general, of our teaching, because, at this phase of the research, our goal was to monitor the development of scientific knowledge about some important concepts: the creativity in imaging completely new loops was the goal of the last part of the module.



Figure 3.7. Students' description and representation of positive and negative feedback loops (intermediate questionnaire). (Total number of students: 11)

With respect to the concept of prediction about climate change, students were asked to answer this question: 'What does it mean to make predictions when it comes to climate change? What did you learn about this aspect from the activities that have been carried out so far?'.

Students' answers sound rather different with respect to the answers they gave into the initial questionnaire.

Four clusters emerged from the analysis of students' answers which represent four characteristics of prediction stressed by the students. Their occurrence is represented in the graph of Figure 3.8. In this case (as in the analysis about prediction of the initial questionnaire) the clusters are not mutually exclusive because students' answers, as it will be shown, are rich and nuanced.



Figure 3.8. Students' ideas on the concept of prediction in climate change (intermediate questionnaire). (Total number of students: 11)

The first important result is that students' ideas on the concept of prediction started to be problematized and the span of words and concepts related to prediction increased significantly. They started to recognize climate as a complex system, that means they started to introduce the idea of *projection*, to move from the idea of a univocal prediction to a *range of possibilities* as much wide as there are numerous and various *scenarios*, etc. This can be considered a success of the pilot study because it seems to support the ideas that the concepts of complexity science can be indeed the basis upon which future-scaffolding skills are fostered.

In the followings, students' sentences are reported as examples of the nuances/features that appeared the most evident aspects emerging from students' discourses.

The first feature, identified by 6 students, is the capability to talk about prediction in terms of possible and multiple scenarios based on present data:

[Making predictions means] trying to know, imaging some scenarios about future climate, but I have learnt that this is very difficult to know.' (S9)

Another crucial characteristic identified by 5 students regards the limits of prediction to a given space and time scale:

'About climate change one can make predictions within few days because the complexity of the system makes impossible to know what will happen in a month.' (S12)

The difference between the terms 'prediction' and 'projection' is stressed by 4 students in the following way:

`[Instead of 'prediction'], a more precise term would be 'projection' because, since the system is very complex, we cannot take into account all the variables and so we can just make hypothesis about what could happen.' (S2)

At last, 3 students identified the link between the uncertainty of predictions and the sensitivity to initial conditions as a typical characteristic of complex systems:

'It is impossible to make predictions about complex problems because little mistakes cause enormous differences in consequences: instead, we talk of projections, which are hypotheses of scenarios.' (S7)



Figure 3.9. Students' definitions of feedback (intermediate questionnaire). (Total number of students: 11)

A detailed representation of the characteristics identified by each student is provided in Figure 3.9.

The features we have found in students' answers show the increasing of *foresight* attitude in students' way of thinking about future.

3.2.3 Discussion of the results

An overall view of the achieved results shows that we are able to answer positively to the first research question: *Have the students developed scientific knowledge about complex systems science*?

Most students reached the level of knowledge that we expected (hoped). In particular, most of them seemed to be able to: a) focus their attention on crucial aspects of the concepts we introduced as typical of complex systems science; b) manage the meaning of feedback and the distinction between positive and negative feedbacks; c) use the concepts of science of complex systems to re-think about the meaning of prediction and to problematize it.

3.3 Scientific skills: data analysis and results

To answer our second research question (*Have the students developed scientific skills?*), the group activity on biofuel and the second part of Irene activity were considered (see Annexes A8-A11 and A15).

As explained in chapter 2, the activities were designed to encourage the students to recognize feedback loops in complex phenomena and, hence, to apply the three-pronged scientific knowledge as a tool to *reflect critically* on a text or on a situation. Within the framework provided in Chapter 1 and adopted from PISA (PISA, 2015) the scientific skill of which we intend to monitor the development is the skill of explain phenomena scientifically, managing the scientific knowledge that consists of content, procedural and epistemic knowledge.

3.3.1 The biofuel activity

In the biofuel activity, the students, divided into three groups, were given two examples of feedback loops among those reported in Annex A10, different for each group, and were asked to describe and to place them in the logic map of the biofuel. Moreover, they were asked to find further possible feedbacks in the biofuel problem.

The analysis of students' outputs (written answers, description of feedbacks) and the audio-recordings of the team-workings and of the collective discussions showed that the students did not encounter particular difficulties in this activity. Still more interesting, they found the activity very stimulating and put their creativity into play: the feedback loops they found are very nice also for the many dimensions they refer to, since environmental, social-political and technological dimensions can be traced.

In the followings, to give back how students reacted to this activity, we report, in Table 3.4, excerpts from the audio-recording of their group works, where the students describe "their" own feedback loops. To facilitate reading, a scheme of the feedback loop associated to each conversational sentence is reported.

Dimension	Students' description	Loop scheme
Environmental	'The increased use of fertilizers reduces the number of insects and, so, the reduction of insects reduces the birds because they have no more things to eat and, with the reduction of predators, the number of insects, as well as the use of fertilizers, grow.' (G3 = S6, S8, S10)	increased use of fertilizers increased use of insects
Social-political	'Starting with the production of biodiesel and connecting to the facilitations in travelling for studying and working, I have seen that there is a better education that leads to a greater political will, then to better information and awareness about the environmental issues and, then, to a wider use of biodiesel which brings to a bigger production of biodiesel.' (G2 = S1, S3, S4, S11)	facilitations in travelling for studying and working wider production of biodiesel wider use of biodiesel increased awareness about environmental issues

Table 3.4. Summing up of students' answers about loops created startingfrom the "Biodiesel story".

	"We have found a feedback linking the facilitations in travelling for studying and working to the improvement in knowledge and technologies, because, if one can move, maybe one can know more things and learn more technologies, so [this leads] to an improvement in food security that produces greater stability, then it returns back to benefits in life-style so that one can move, for studying." (G1 = S2, S5, S9)	improved knowledge and technologies facilitations in travelling for studying and working greater stability benefits for life-style
Technological	"I have started with the improvement in technical knowledge and with the technological evolution in this area, [and I have seen] that [this] leads to [have] new machinery it the fields that increases the production of biodiesel." (G2 = S1, S3, S4, S11)	improved knowledge and technologies wider production of biodiesel new machinery used in the fields
	"Always about the facilitation for the transports for studying and working, after an increase in transports, we connected this to the use of biodiesel, so a wider use of biodiesel leads to a wider production of biodiesel and so we return back to the transfers." [this holds in case, if I move, I use biodiesel as a fuel] "Yes, because we have thought that if one moves for studying about this issue, is more aware in using biodiesel." (G1 = S2, S5, S9)	facilitations in travelling for studying and working wider production of biodiesel wider use of biodiesel

3.3.2 The town of Irene activity

Similar results have been found with regard to Irene activity (see Annex A15), in which students, divided into four groups, were asked to find feedback loops that had led to two given scenarios, drawing a scheme. Each group found a loop for each scenario, so we analysed eight answers: we report in Table 3.5 four representative schemes, two for the Scenario 3 and two for the Scenario 4.



Table 3.5. Students' most representative feedback loops found to justify the realization of two given scenarios.
It is interesting to notice that all the five feedback loops found in biodiesel activity and all the eight ones found in Irene activity are positive. Students' ability to identify negative feedbacks is thus good, but, asked to look for circular causalities along a text, students seem to have difficulties in inventing negative loops.

A possible explanation is that such a difficulty can be interpreted as an index of the difficulty in *recognizing the dynamism of an equilibrium situation*. It is easier to find amplifying situations because one is aware in identifying cause-effect links where something is progressively moved from the equilibrium position. By the other side, it is more difficult to recognize the existence of an 'equilibrium-process', at the opposite of the common conception for which equilibrium and static conditions are strictly synonymous.

3.3.3 Discussion of the results

The previous analysis allows us to answer positively also our second research question: *Have the students developed scientific skills?*

Most of the students were able to move from the knowledge of the disciplinary concepts toward their application in the analysis of a multidimensional problem.

The search for feedback loops has been experienced as a creative experience that students deeply enjoyed, because it was at their reach and also productive to analyse critically and personally a text, going beyond it.

The circular causality learnt from the complex systems science became a lens through which multidimensional phenomena could be analysed.

Nevertheless, the analysis seemed to show a sort of difficulty to find negative feedback loops.

3.4 Transversal skills: data analysis and results

In this section, we present the analysis of the development of students' transversal skills. To address our research questions concerning this aspect (the third question and the last one), we analysed data collected during one individual and two group activities: respectively, the synthesis of the IPCC report (see Annex A6), the biodiesel activity (see Annex A8) and, finally, Irene activity (see Annex A7).

The main research problem here is the elaboration of an analytical tool that can allow us to check if learning outcomes have been achieved. Because of this, two layers of results will be presented: i) the overall synthetic picture of students' ideas, knowledge and strategies, that emerged from a *bottom-up* analysis; ii) the analytic markers we pointed out and used for the analysis. The second type of result is particularly relevant within the *I SEE* project: it drafts an operational tool that can be shared within a larger community with the scope of evaluating modules' implementations and comparing experimentations in different contexts, where other variables have to be taken into account (e.g. cultural diversity of the members of the groups).

3.4.1 The activity on the synthesis of the IPCC report

As already pointed out, in this activity students were asked to read a synthesis of the fifth IPCC report (IPCC, 2014), to individuate the problems written in the text and to draw a conceptual map or, as suggested in the introduction of the activity, 'another way of organization'. The assignment we gave to the students was to recognize the problems and organize them in a map by highlighting their causal relationship.

Students had to write on their own their maps or texts at home and, during the fifth lesson of the course, five of them presented the results of their homework to the classmates, commenting the strategy they had used in building their personal tool to approach our request of schematization. The assumption behind this activity was that the students, through their direct involvement (*learning by doing*) and through the follow-up collective discussion, could develop skills like: i) analyse a complex text and organize information, ii) identify dimensions in a problem, iii) figure out different stakeholders and represent relationships between them.

The first step in the analysis of students' outputs (homework and classroom discussions) has been the identification of a criterion that could account for the most evident differences in students' approaches to the task (reading and searching for information

strategy, organization of information retrieved strategy). The analytic process resulted in the choices of two macro-markers:

- presence/absence of links between causes and effects (blue and green in the graph);
- list/map as a tool to organize the problems (orange and violet in the graph).

The graph in Figure 3.10 reports the overall picture of students' approaches, according to this criterion.



- MAP AS A TOOL TO ORGANIZE THE PROBLEMS
- LIST AS A TOOL TO ORGANIZE THE PROBLEMS

Figure 3.10. Picture of students' approaches to the request of schematization of the scientific text in the IPCC report activity.

The graph shows that only four students (out of ten) explicitly pointed out the causal links between the problems described in the text (blue marker); only three students used a map as a tool to analyse the text (orange marker). In total, only two students, out of ten, built what we expected: a 'causal map' (combination of blue and orange).

The aims of the second step in the analysis were to unpack this evidence, identifying *bottom-up* markers in the texts, and to understand how the students interpreted the task. In particular, four cases have been chosen as representative of different students' approaches: S8, S3, S4, S2.

S8 and S2 can be considered the 'extreme cases', respectively representative of the combinations green-orange (the attitude farthest from our expectations) and blue-violet (the attitude closest to our expectations). S3 and S4 are the 'mixed cases'.

Each case is discussed in the following by reporting a part of the scheme built by the student and excerpts of transcripts where the student comments her/his scheme and her/his work.

The analysis will allow to exemplify what we mean by 'list' and 'map', as well as 'explicit presence/absence of causal links'.

First case: S8

The structure of the scheme built by S8 is reported in Figure 3.11.



Figure 3.11. Output of S8 for the IPCC report activity.

S8 comments the scheme as follows:

'I didn't do a map: I have written a text, <u>following the text point by point</u>. <u>First of all</u>, I <u>focused</u>, as the text does, on the global warming due to man and <u>then</u> I have written the **causes** that brings to the greenhouse effect and to the acidifications of the seas. <u>Then</u>, I have put the most evident consequences and the other causes related to these. [...] I focused on a strictly scientific dimension because the other ones are **consequences**, it is about **how the man reacts** to these facts, so I have just draw a little arrow...' (S8) The excerpt shows clearly how the student worked on the text: she/he went through it 'chronologically', following a *sequential approach to reading and search for information*. To organize the pieces of information, she/he consistently *listed* them in *the order they appeared*. The discursive markers that characterize this approach (and that we marked as underscored) are words and adverbs typical of a chronological and narrative approach adverbs (*first of all ..., then*).

The student uses the words *causes*, *consequences* and *reactions* but she/he does not really used them as analytic tools to work on the text, in the sense of pointing out the specific problems and their causal relations: she/he uses these words as an *a-priori and external criterion* to distinguish between scientific facts (*causes* to global warming) and social *consequences* of global warming. *The arrows do not have any specific or clear meaning* and they, in particular, do not express any causal relation.

Second case: S2

S2 built a very complex map, characterized by a rich system of arrows that details the links between causes and effects she/he recognised in the text (see Figure 3.12)



Figure 3.12. Output of S2 for the IPCC report activity.

S2 describes her/his approach as follows:

"<u>I started from</u> man, I can say, and from the causes that he produces; they cause the global warming which <u>in turn</u> has consequences that reflect on man himself. [...] For example, the increasing temperature of the seas causes a change in the fish fauna and so causes a variation in the food chain, for instance in coastal areas, then this causes a worsening of human conditions and... <u>all has an effect on everything</u>!" (S2)

The *map* of S2 is completely different from the *list* of S8. It is not the order information appeared in the text that guided the student. The selection of both the problems and the links is guided by the search for causal relations among them. From the comment emerges that S2 was very aware that she/he searched a starting point that was *not* the first issue presented in the text, but a problem that could represent a *knot* in an overall picture. The chosen knot was the 'man', around which the text was analysed *globally* in terms of *problems and their reciprocal links*. In this sense, the student chose a *global approach to select and organize the information*. The discursive markers that characterize this approach are words and utterances typical of a personal <u>choice</u> oriented to focus the <u>knots</u> (<u>I started from man</u>) of a <u>global</u> picture (<u>in turn, has an effect on everything</u>).

Unlike S8, the causal links are represented, in the sense that the arrows can be read in terms of relations between causes and effects. Moreover, this student, in her/his search for knots, shows that she/he was stressing the circularity of the overall causal map.

Third case: S3

S3 drew two different maps: one for the causes of global warming and one for the effects (see Figure 3.13).

He/she comments as follows:

"<u>I have separated</u> between causes and effects; all the causes are anthropogenic and <u>I have divided</u> in 'man that uses the nature', for example with agriculture and livestock, 'man's action as real products', for example spray, and then 'man's action as use of other inventions', for example industry, transport, energy... after this I have seen what they cause, what <u>all</u> <u>this brings about</u>. [...] <u>I have divided</u> the map of causes and that of effects because, in my opinion, <u>all brings about</u>... in sense that, slowly, one way or another, the growth of CO2 <u>causes everything</u>!" (S3)

Like S2, the student made a choice (*I have separated between*) to find a way to organize the information of the text and adopted a *global approach* (*all brings about, everything*).

Her/his analysis resulted in a map that can be easily read and that stresses effectively what she/he found more interesting; the anthropogenic origin of global warming and the feedback effects on humans (*circularity* in the overall phenomenon).



Figure 3.13. Output of S3 for the IPCC report activity.

The map is rather refined since he introduces *different levels and dimensions*: she/he searched for a finer distinction both in the causes (*I have divided in 'man that uses the nature'* [...] *'man's action as real products'*, [...] *'man's action as use of other inventions'*) and in the effects. In particular, she/he was very careful to represent the scientific effects on the same level, then social on the same level and different than the previous one. In this case, the recognition of the *different dimensions in the problem* (social and environmental) and their *clusterization* (their separation in levels) were used as criteria to retrieve information in the text and organize them.

However, like the first students we analysed (S8), the words **causes** and **effects** are used as an *external macro-criterion* to read the text and the arrows do not have, in general, the meaning of a cause-effect relations. They are, instead, a graphical way to organize the information in terms of dimensions and levels.

Fourth case: S4

The output of S4 is reported in Figure 3.14.



Figure 3.14. Output of S4 for the IPCC report activity.

The student's comments are:

"<u>I have divided</u> my scheme in three parts, putting in the middle the main problems and at one side four **general causes** that generate most of these problems, whereas at the left side I have written the problems affiliated of the main ones; then I have tried to <u>highlight how, starting from the main</u> <u>problem, more than one can originate and how a cause can actually</u> <u>influence very different aspects</u> in the long term." (S4)

The approach of this student is also very interesting. She/he started from a list of problems and, then, relates them with the causes and the effects through a rich and complex system of arrows. The student adopted a *global approach* to the search for information, created *links between* **causes** and **effects**, organizing them into a map according to a particular criterion: she/he separated clearly the causes (on the environmental side) and the consequences (bad effects on mankind), listing causes, problems and consequences.

A first result of the analysis of these preliminary outputs consists of the consideration that the logical map is not a spontaneous organizational tool for all the students, since someone prefers other kinds of synthesis.

Starting from this evidence, during the collective discussion, the different approaches were compared and analysed. In particular, both the concept of map and of causal relations were stressed and shared with the students.

3.4.2 The biofuel activity

As already described, during this activity students were asked to read a scientific text on the biodiesel issue and to apply what they were supposed to have learnt in the previous activity to draw the cause-effect map that the text displays. The 10 students present at this lesson were divided into three groups, so we have three maps for analysing the different approaches they had toward this request.

In the following, we report a synthesis of the three schemas (Figures from 3.15 to 3.17), in which the main characteristics are highlighted. The markers elaborated before are retested and used to compare the students' strategies before and after the activity.



Figure 3.15. Output of G1 (S2, S5, S9) for the biodiesel activity.



Figure 3.16. Output of G2 (S1, S3, S4, S11) for the biodiesel activity.



Figure 3.17. Output of G3 (S6, S8, S10) for the biodiesel activity.

In the map of the first group (Figure 3.15), we observe the *choice* and the use of a *criterion* to find and organize information (*global approach*): the students decided to separate clearly positive and negative effects, but they used *lists* in both the cases and no links are present.

In the map of the second group (Figure 3.16), we can observe a pattern similar to the first group's map in one of the branches (production branch). The map is however a little bit more complex, according to a selection based on the criterion to distinguish between use and production of biodiesel, but the map is a mixture of lists and causal implications without a suitable causal structure.

In the third group's map (Figure 3.17), positive and negative effects are once again stressed and the map is clearly divided *in absolute positive and negative effects*. Furthermore, environmental and social aspects are clearly separated; within each category (positive socio-economical, negative socio-economical, ...), the consequences are listed without connections. Arrows are just used to create different *lists, without causal meaning*.

To resume, two groups have explicitly organized their maps according to a macrodistinction between pros/positive effects and cons/negative effects; the other group does not provide this macro-distinction, but widely uses the same categorization in the map, associating the terms 'advantage' and 'disadvantage' to every fact found in the text.

The third group, after the macro-distinction between positive and negative effects, sketched out its analysis separating the climatic effects and the socio-economical ones; this clusterization makes running into a contradiction since, for example, the reduction of particulate is a climatic effect as well as a socio-economical one.

In general, the results of this activity reveal a weakness in the activities and in the approach: students did not develop suitable skills to construct complex maps in which the problems and the causal net are represented. The concrete activity on the IPCC synthesis was not effective for this purpose. Drawing maps and writing schemas require soft skills that one has to learn and practice explicitly also, we guess, through a theoretical presentation of a reference framework. In a previous experience where students were asked to analyse the same text extracted from IPCC by drawing a causal map, we did not observe this problem (Venturelli, 2015). The main difference between the two contexts is that there, unlike in the present case, the practice activities were introduced by an expert (Dr. Monica Russo) of *Logical Framework Approach* (EC, 2004), who stressed the role and the meaning of causal map as analytic tool from a theoretical perspective. Without any introduction of the approach, students tend to analyse a text by projecting their own

judgement about the issues and by clustering. Judgement and clusterization are instead just the last steps in *Logical framework*.

What we learnt from these results is that the ability to analyse a text in order to extract the relevant information and their causal links is difficult to be developed, since the temptation of premature judgment and clusterization is very strong. After the analysis of these data and after having pointed out this result, a supplementary activity about LFA, already introduced in Chapter 2, has been designed and is reported in Annex A18. In this way, for future experiences, students will be guided more explicitly to develop these transversal skills: *learning by doing* did not work.

3.4.3 The town of Irene activity

Through this activity, the students were involved in a *stakeholder analysis* and guided to approach the concepts of *probable, possible and desirable futures* that were just introduced briefly before the activity.

The stakeholder analysis is a sort of variation of the previous activity where students are asked to: i) point out all the possible stakeholders (and their interests) that can be directly and indirectly involved or interested in the specific urban plan decision; ii) draw a map with possible causal links among them. This type of analysis was explicitly designed to encourage students to model the initial state of the system and to recognize its richness beyond the apparent simplicity.

The distinction between probable, possible and desirable futures was instead new and was supposed to be crucial to move them toward anticipation and foresight attitudes. The results are presented following the order of the various parts of the activity.

Stakeholder analysis

Thirteen students were present during the Irene lesson and were divided in four groups. Two groups found the stakeholder analysis point particularly difficult; group G1 completely skipped this part of the activity and went directly at the second part of the activity in which the future scenarios had to be analysed. When asked why they had skipped the present analysis, the students answered:

'We did not succeed in considering the present scenario without taking into account the possible evolutions.' (G1 = S2, S5, S9)

A similar problem, even if not explicitly recognized by students, was encountered by group G3: the students tried to carry out a present analysis for Degli Esposti's shop and Ettore's supermarket but they reasoned about the discount only in terms of effects of the granted expansion, as can be seen in the scheme in Figure 3.18.



Figure 3.18. Output of G3 (S6, S8, S10) for the stakeholder analysis of Irene activity.

Also in these drawings, the use of *lists* can be recognized as well as *the lack of causal connections*. Moreover, we observed again the *chronological approach* that we had found in the IPCC activity too. Since the text was not 'causally organized', the students had no suggestions to connect them in a causal way and the problems with the maps became more and more evident.

The other two groups were able to sketch out a stakeholder analysis (see Figures 3.19 and 3.20). However, they did not develop a complete analysis, because they took into account just the three stakeholders explicitly mentioned in text as the 'main characters' of the story of Irene.

In both the cases the maps had not in general a causal structure, but just represented a generic competition, that suggested an approach focused just on personal '*pros and cons*' (what is good for one of them, what they lose if ...). Consistently, the words used to describe the causes were merely concerning the stakeholders' personal advantages or disadvantages.

This result confirms some weaknesses in the activities we planned and the need to find other ways to develop the transversal skills (for example through the introduction of *Logical Framework*) as a way to analyse the present.



Figure 3.19. Output of G2 (S1, S3, S4, S11) for the stakeholder analysis of Irene activity.



Figure 3.20. Output of G4 (S7, S13, S14) for the stakeholder analysis of Irene activity.

Possible futures

The second step in the activity of the town of Irene consists of the identification and description of two possible scenarios at 2025, one for the granted expansion and another one for the denied expansion of the discount.

What we observed in terms of analysis of the evolution of the situation is interesting: the maps became more complex and students' analysis of future scenarios is quite rich (see Figures from 3.21 to 3.24). In all the cases, a way of looking in term of *possibility* was considered by the students. Introducing time apparently enlarged the perspective.

The maps mirror some requests we made to the students and apply concepts that were introduced during the activities:

- 1. they are usually logical maps organized according to the *stakeholders*;
- 2. they move from the present to the future, that is they built *probable futures*, following a *forecasting approach*;
- 3. some of them consider explicitly the various *dimensions* of the problem and *cluster* the evolution;
- 4. they suspend the judgment and approach the task with an *analytic 'neutral' approach*.

The main weaknesses of the maps are that they represent possible evolutions of individual situations as consequences of linear 'chains of if-then' and they do not result in *possible scenarios*, as they can emerge through interactions between phenomena and from a new equilibrium between them. The result is a *fan-map* where *interactions among stakeholders and phenomena in the future* are not considered. The transition from probable futures to possible scenarios appeared out of the attention of students.



Figure 3.21. Output of G1 (S2, S5, S9) for the yes-no scenarios activity for the town of Irene.



Figure 3.22. Output of G2 (S1, S3, S4, S11) for the yes-no scenarios activity for the town of Irene.



Figure 3.23. Output of G3 (S6, S8, S10) for the yes-no scenarios activity for the town of Irene.



Figure 3.24. Output of G4 (S7, S13, S14) for the yes-no scenarios activity for the town of Irene.

Desirable futures

The last activity was about the imagination of a desirable scenario for the town of Irene; the scenario found by each group had to be accompanied with a catchphrase that had to characterize Irene as the ideal town where to live or to visit. After this, each group of students had also to plan an action that they might undertake (as singles or as a group) in the present, in order to favour the realization of the desired scenario.

The request to elaborate a global future scenario according to desires and to 'come back' to the present thinking to plan an action that can lead to such a scenario is composed by two different phases, that can and should be carried out independently. The activity is inspired by the method of *backcasting*, after that students were encouraged to imagine their scenario without constraints imposed by us.

This activity was a success for many reasons:

1. It stimulated, in some cases, interesting creative processes that led them to invent also inexistent professions:

'We are competition regulators for Irene tourism office. We listen to the needs of manufacturing activities, propose compromises when conflicts occur, provide legal and fiscal advice, offer promotional campaigns, propose prizes for the most innovative start-ups that work with renewable energy. ' (G2 = S1, S3, S4, S11)

2. The students felt personally engaged and found this 'apparently' strange activity perfectly consistent with the previous activities on climate change:

'We started from the analysis of the present situation and imagined possible scenarios; at the end, we analysed the different choices that could have been brought to the best scenario, the desirable one... Then, trying to link this with what we have done before [during the course], maybe this choice has been guided from the fact that, also with regard to climate change, it is the weight of single choices that can cause wider mechanisms that influence, in a complex system, a lot of other variables.' (S4)

However, three interesting aspects of students' imagination toward desirable futures can be noticed.

 The strong link to present. Even though the students were invited to express their desires, the picture of the city of Irene was very similar to its description in the text and the reference to contingent and present events is very strong. For example, one group wrote:

> <u>'IRENE: ideal future, real town.</u> The franchising <u>gets the</u> <u>permission</u> and starts a new extension in the sign of sustainability, both from an energetic point of view or from the products point of view. [...] <u>Ettore closes and gets retired</u>; his activity is taken over by an entrepreneur who transforms it in a multi-purpose area for the entertainment, expanding it <u>thanks to the permission</u>.' (G2 = S1, S3, S4, S11)

2. *The reference to values, supposed to be universal.* All the groups, when they have to describe desirable futures, refer to values like sustainability, eco-friendly towns, security, cleanliness, cohesive community, as their assumption was universal, obvious and uncontroversial. For example, one group wrote:

<u>'More we are, better we live</u>. [There are] many meeting points because of the presence of a park with fountains and multi-purpose centres. The cohesive community causes a higher security and more care of the cleanliness of the town. It is a sustainable place (photovoltaic systems, km0 products, bike lanes). There are services for the community, activities and meeting for the citizenship. The station favours the movement toward other centres (connections).' (G3 = S 6, S8, S10)

3. The lack of attention on possible conflicts between interests or people. It is

intrinsic to the notion of future scenario the description of a state of future equilibrium of the system. The equilibrium state, to be realistic, has to foresee *differences among the interests and the stakeholders, circular interactions* between agents in the systems. The examples already reported of students' descriptions give back, instead, a picture of, metaphorically speaking, thermodynamic equilibrium where conflicts, interactions and differences among the stakeholders and the phenomena are minimized.

All these aspects can be interpreted in several ways. On one hand, they seem to confirm students' difficulties to deal with the future. On the other hand, they mirror, in our opinion, the lack of an explicit reflection in the module on what a scenario is and on the critical concept of sustainability in making a future scenario realistic. Sustainability is, indeed, one of the main criteria that futurists use to judge the quality of scenarios (Greeuw et al., 2000; Kreibich, 2007; Wilson, 1998), together with other features like: logical consistency, openness to evaluation, terminological clarity, simplicity, definition of range, explanation of premises and boundary conditions, transparency, relevance, practical manageability, and fruitfulness (i.e. in terms of gain in knowledge, orientation, innovation, motivation etc.), differentiation, consistency, decision-making utility and challenge.

In our context, we guess, the concept of sustainability would have help to stimulate creativity and to guide students to position themselves in a different way toward the concept of scenario and the task of building possible and desirable ones.

In any case, as last positive result, I stress how the activities impacted their approach to the present, widening up possibilities, dimensions, challenges/problems but also chances.

'Today I realized how much my approach has been changed [throughout the course]. Two months ago, I would have made the decision yes/no [on the city of IRENE] in two seconds. Today we discussed two hours and I am not yet sure about the decision. I discovered that there are many things to take into account'. (S8)

3.5 Overall discussion of the results

In this chapter, an articulated data analysis has been carried out and presented. The analysis allowed us both to examine how students experienced the module and to point out original markers to analyse students' discourse and evaluate if the learning outcomes have been reached.

The analysis showed that the set A of activities drove students to reach a good level of scientific knowledge that, according to PISA framework (PISA, 2015), is articulated as a three-pronged knowledge. The compared analysis between introductory and intermediate questionnaires has allowed to see that:

- students became acquainted with basic concepts of science of complex systems and, in particular, with the concepts of system, feedback and prediction (scientific content knowledge);
- students understood important features typical of the method of the science of complex systems, such as the use of the simulation as a language with specific characteristics (scientific procedural knowledge);
- students recognized the importance of circular causality and the necessity to abandon, when studying complex systems, the determinist and reductionist paradigm and they also learnt that approaching science phenomena that involve citizenship issues (e.g. climate change) implies a change in the epistemological way of looking at the phenomena itself (scientific epistemic knowledge).

To enhance all these aspects that characterize the scientific knowledge, new activities have been designed, in order to open the fan of phenomena, models, mathematical languages, tools of study that the science of complex systems has developed. For this purpose, have been designed the activities about the Lotka-Volterra model (in its two versions, a complete one for teachers and a tutorial one for students, see Annexes A1 and A2), about the logistic map (see Annex A3) and about the self-organized world of ants (see Annex A5).

The set B of activities revealed a particular success since students became able to distinguish from linear and circular causality, within the scientific texts, recognizing the

nature of the causal links, individuating possible feedback loops that can be found starting from the text and also inventing new ones. These can be considered, according to PISA framework (PISA, 2015), skills of explaining phenomena scientifically, managing the three-pronged knowledge that has provided lenses through which critically reading texts. However, the analysis of the results of the pilot study displayed multifaceted students' approaches and attitudes to texts. Among those, we stressed the tendency to organize the information in lists and/or according to pros-cons (advantages/disadvantages) a-priori judgments. The request to use causal relationships as criterion to read and analyse a text was either not clear or too far from their approach to reading and this contributed to the unsuccessful achievement of this learning outcome. This point suggested to design a supplementary activity to find new ways to make students learn to transform the causal nets present in the scientific texts into cause-effect maps and, so, to develop transversal skills (like building causal maps, analysing and understanding written texts) more effectively. The activity that has been designed (see Annex A18) consists in an introduction to the Logical Framework Approach presented as an 'aid to thinking' because its aim is to give structure to the analysis of a problem, of a text or of a situation, so that important questions can be asked and weakness identified.

The set C, with the Irene activity, was deeply appreciated by the students, who perfectly understood its sense at the end of a long module on climate change, where science of complex systems was introduced and discussed. They became able to apply concepts typical of the science of complex systems, particularly the concept of feedback, also in a non-scientific context, showing that they had developed scientific skills. Moreover, the activity supported the development of future-scaffolding skills because:

- students became acquainted with basic concepts and methods coming from future studies, like forecasting and backcasting, and managed these concepts to reason about an urban problem;
- students learnt that the concept of scenario requires a language of 'possibilities';
- students became able to imagine possible future careers to aspire, putting their creativity into play;

- students changed their perceptions of the present and the future, learning that approaching climate change implies a change in ways we live in everyday life and we, collectively, make decisions.

However, a bunch of criticalities have been pointed out: student's difficulties in inventing negative feedback loops; the lack of any attention to analyse possible causal relations and mutual influences between stakeholders and phenomena in the fan map they build in the activity of forecasting; the uniformity of the values and in the interests of all the stakeholders in their desirable futures. All these aspects can be interpreted as the absence, in the pilot study, of an explicit reflection about the need to include the concept of sustainability in the definition of a future scenarios. A special focus on sustainability could have maybe introduced a new element to think that a future scenario, to be sensible, has to be based on a dynamic equilibrium between interacting parts of a system that coexist maintaining their differences.

A reconsideration of this aspect has been pursued for the second implementation of the three sets of activities that will be carried out during the first *I SEE* summer school that will take place in Bologna, 5-9 June 2017. In that international context, new data will be collected and it will be interesting to apply and to refine the markers that this work started to point out. The production of markers to analyse students' discourse has been the most complicate problem. The future-scaffolding skills that the module aimed to develop are indeed new and this study has been the first attempt, within the *I SEE* project, to recognise and evaluate them.

Conclusions

Can the contents of physics be reconstructed so as to make disciplinary learning a place to develop competencies to deal with the future?

In the introduction to the present work I made this question and the thesis is just my personal answer – mine and of the research group in Physics Education of the University of Bologna (particularly of Prof. Olivia Levrini, Dr. Giulia Tasquier and Dr. Laura Branchetti – to it. Yes, the contents, the methods and the epistemological perspective of science, in general, and physics, in particular, can be studied, analysed and reconstructed in order to design teaching modules that favour the development of skills to deal with the future.

The results of our pilot study demonstrate this, as discussed in Chapter 3. The data analysis showed that the first set of activities drove students to reach a good level of knowledge about the crucial aspects of the concepts of the science of complex systems. Then, this knowledge was transformed into scientific skills because the scientific concepts became lenses through which analysing scientific and non-scientific texts. We pointed out that some specific scientific skills help students dealing with the future, through the understanding of causal patterns elaborated by science: they are future-scaffolding scientific skills. But these are not the only skills that provide ways of managing the future. The analysis of the Irene activity shows that it supported the development of another type of future-scaffolding skills because students displayed a successful understanding of the methods of futures studies and put into play their imagination in this sort of 'laboratory of creativity': these are named future-scaffolding transversal skills. Moreover, one of the most important results of the whole pilot study was the recognition of the fact that the activities about future-thinking impacted on the students' perceptions of the present and of the future, enhancing the consciousness that

also our little actions in the present, done as individuals, as groups, as associations or as policy makers, reveal their consequences on the future, also if they can be difficult to imagine.

Of course, the study has revealed criticalities too. The imagination of negative feedback loops was much more difficult than the imagination of positive ones. Moreover, the students found difficulties in detaching themselves from the present situations to imagine the future and, even when tried to project into a desirable scenario for the town Irene, the future they anticipated was characterized by the absence of any conflict and any diversity, since, during the elaboration of the scenario, the students referred to values, supposing to be universal, like eco-sustainability, security, cohesive community. These criticalities mirror, in our opinion, the lack of an explicit reflection in the module on what a scenario is and on the critical concept of sustainability in making a future scenario realistic. Sustainability is not (not only) the eco-sustainability described by the students but is, indeed, one of the main criteria that futurists use to judge the quality of scenarios (Greeuw et al., 2000; Kreibich, 2007; Wilson, 1998). Sustainability accepts (better, requires) differences, challenges, conflicts, diversities of priorities on values. A reconsideration of this aspect has been pursued for the second implementation of the three sets of activities that will be carried out during the first I SEE summer school that will take place in Bologna, 5-9 June 2017.

It is exactly the context of this European project that has hosted the work I have presented in this master thesis. During this year of research, has been very important for me to have several opportunities to explore various research contexts. In particular, I have had the special opportunity of developing my research together with a lot of professors, expert researchers, professionals and I appreciated the multiplicity of points of view and perspectives on the discipline of science education. Moreover, the various research steps that have leaded to this thesis made me meet methods, types of argumentation and ways of presenting results. The elaboration of the theoretical framework required to explore the literature about a wide range of disciplines: from physics to sociology, from mathematics to education. The design of the activities challenged me because I had to put my creativity into play but, at the same time, to stay anchored to the authenticity of the disciplines I treated. The implementation in the pilot study taught me what realizing a teaching module concretely means while from the data analysis I learnt how is possible to develop strategies to explain research results and, at the same time, not to cut the precious complexity and richness of the students' outputs.

If I had to express with a single word what I have learnt in this year about the discipline of physics education, I would say that this word is *chorality*. Chorality of researchers who work together in a perspective of constructive debate. Chorality of contexts of research and of dissemination. Chorality of points of view and ideas. Definitively, chorality of the dimensions of the discipline that, only if considered together, can build the *complexity* that makes its sound.

Acknowledgments

It is beautiful and touching, after a climb on mountains, stopping for a while, enjoying the panorama on the valley but, at the same time, thanking who, along the road, has been a travel companion, a friend, a pioneer, a supporter, a mentor, a cornerstone.

'The world needs good thoughts'. The first thanks go to Prof. Olivia Levrini, who has made me discover from the very first lesson I met her, why I chose to study Physics at university. And this reason was (and is) the 'good thoughts'. Thank you for having given me expertise, professionalism, knowledge and support. Thank you for believing in me and for offering me great opportunities for growth: you have changed my life. Thank you, Prof.

'We all are working, sharing goals and values'. Thanks to Dr. Giulia Tasquier for generosity in giving me experience, time and patience. Thank you for the confidence that you have demonstrated to me from the very beginning and that has resulted in collaborations that have allowed me to learn a lot. Thank you also for the constant encouragement, both professional and personal, made of good and smiling, but truthfully told, word. Thank you, Giulia.

'Tired and stressed, visibly irascible, but happy in the deep'. Thanks to Dr. Laura Branchetti for the lively enthusiasm in finding things that do not work and in spurring to solve them. Thank you for your tense hand when my temptation was to feel like Atlas, but it was not necessary. Thank you for having spent time for me when you had a little in college classrooms, train trips and school chairs. Thank you, Laura.

A thanksgiving has to go also to all the people I have met in the last year, developing the research work for this thesis: each one gave its own irreplaceable contribution.

'We progress collectively'. Thanks to the research group in physics education of the University of Bologna, where I was honoured to work and that was a constant opportunity for study and reflections. You have taught me that thinking together and sharing thoughts is enriching for everyone, independently (but perhaps not too much) from the stage in which you are in your quest. Thank you, Alessia. Thanks, Niccolò. Thank you, Giovanni, also for the hours spent sharing the C069: hours accompanied by poems and alpine chants. Thank you, Eugenio, because seeing people in love with their work, who devote themselves with such dedication, is a balm for the heart, as well as a spur to do better. Thank you, Luca, because collaborating in your thesis work has been an exciting starting point for my research and because your Dozza will always stay in our hearts, even if under a false name.

Thanks to Dr. Monica Russo, for having challenged me with subtle questions that invited me to reflect more deeply on what I was working on. Thanks, Monica.

Thanks to Prof. Antonello Piombo, with whom I have had the pleasure of collaborating on some occasions, for the esteem, availability and sympathy that he has always reserved for me. Thank you.

Thanks to the professors of 'Einstein' lyceum of Rimini, Paola Fantini, Michela Clementi and Fabio Filippi, for the work made within the *I SEE* project and for the care with which they helped me by reading with their 'teachers' eyes' the activities I had planned. Thanks to each of you.

'And figured is the world on short paper'. Thanks to Prof. Gianni Zanarini for his so poetic and delicate words in talking about science, for the always-proven willingness and for having contributed to give voice (and music) to the *'good thoughts'*.

'La lüna la lüsea'. Who knows me well knows also that my great passion has always been music: I have always loved it and it has always helped me to love. Thanks to my choirmates for the sympathy, the friendship, the esteem and the care they have always devoted to me since the very first rehearsal, when at sixteen I started singing with them. Thanks also to everyone who the music has allowed me to meet, during Gregorian Chant courses or rehearsals of the children choir.

'A friend knows the melodies of our heart and sings them when we forget the words'. Friends are undeserved gifts, people who choose to stay in moments of quiet and of storm, who tell that you are wrong but they do it with delicacy, they offer you a firm hand and at the same time make you feel light. It's a treasure that we have been given and of which, however, we rarely remember to be grateful. I cannot name each of you, but you know very well the place you occupy in my heart.

'Donating life and giving love'. Finally, a thanksgiving that flows from the depths of my heart. To my family, to the nest to which I came back every night, to the house that welcomes, to the embrace that reassures. To you, mom, because we do not need any word to understand each other and because you are the most special woman I know. To you, dad, because our family is founded on your goodness and your capability to forgive. To you, Edoardo, because you are irreplaceable and generous, but you do not bring it up to anyone. To you, Alessandro, because seeing you grow with us every day has been one of the greatest joys of my life. Thanks to my grandparents for their love and for the example they have been giving me since I was born: you taught me what mean to be strong, to face the real challenges, to accept suffering, to live with the pain, to struggle with tenacity, to work with seriousness, to be honest people. Thank you, grandpa Giancarlo (I would love so much you to be here with me, but I know you are here and you are proud of me), grandma Liliana, grandma Agnese and grandpa Italo: I will never be grateful enough.

Thanks to everyone was, thanks to everyone is here.

Ringraziamenti

È bello e commovente, al termine di una salita in montagna, fermarsi per godersi il panorama sulla valle ma, al tempo stesso, anche ringraziare chi, lungo la strada, è stato compagno di viaggio, amico, apripista, sostenitore, mentore, pietra angolare.

"Il mondo ha bisogno di bei pensieri". Il primo ringraziamento va alla Prof.ssa Olivia Levrini, che mi ha fatto scoprire, dalla prima lezione in cui l'ho incontrata, il motivo per cui avevo scelto di iscrivermi a Fisica. E questo motivo erano (e sono) i "bei pensieri". Grazie per avermi offerto competenza, professionalità, conoscenza, sostegno. Grazie per aver creduto in me e per avermi offerto opportunità di crescita straordinaria: mi ha cambiato la vita. Grazie, Prof.

"Stiamo lavorando tutti, condividendo obiettivi e valori". Grazie alla Dott.ssa Giulia Tasquier per la generosità nel regalarmi esperienza, tempo e pazienza. Grazie per la fiducia dimostratami fin da subito, che si è poi concretizzata nel propormi collaborazioni che mi hanno dato modo di imparare moltissimo. Grazie anche per il costante incoraggiamento, sia professionale sia personale, fatto di parole buone e sorridenti ma dette con verità. Grazie, Giulia.

"Stanchi e stressati, visibilmente irascibili, ma felici nel profondo". Grazie alla Dott.ssa Laura Branchetti per l'entusiasmo incalzante nello scovare le cose che non funzionano e nello spronare a risolverle. Grazie per la mano tesa quando la tentazione era di sentirmi Atlante, eppure non ce n'era bisogno. Grazie per aver trovato tempo per me là dove di tempo ne avevi poco, tra aule universitarie, viaggi in treno e cattedre scolastiche. Grazie, Laura. Un ringraziamento non può che andare anche a tutte le persone che ho conosciuto in questo ultimo anno grazie al lavoro di ricerca per questa tesi e che hanno dato ciascuna il proprio insostituibile contributo.

"Si progredisce collettivamente". Grazie al gruppo di ricerca in didattica della fisica dell'Università di Bologna, nel quale ho avuto l'onore di lavorare e che è stato un'occasione costante di approfondimento e riflessione. Mi avete insegnato che pensare insieme e condividere i pensieri di ciascuno è arricchente per tutti, indipendentemente (ma forse neanche troppo) dalla fase in cui si è nella propria ricerca. Grazie, Alessia. Grazie, Niccolò. Grazie, Giovanni, anche per le ore passate a condividere la C069: ore di lavoro intervallate da poesie e canti alpini. Grazie, Eugenio, perché vedere persone innamorate del proprio lavoro, cui si dedicano con tanta dedizione, è un balsamo per il cuore, oltre che uno sprone a fare sempre meglio. Grazie, Luca, perché collaborare al tuo lavoro di tesi è stato un entusiasmante punto di partenza anche per la mia ricerca e perché la tua Dozza rimarrà sempre nel nostro cuore, anche se sotto falso nome.

Grazie alla Dott.ssa Monica Russo, per avermi incalzata con domande sottili che mi hanno invitata a riflettere più a fondo su ciò a cui stavo lavorando. Grazie, Monica.

Grazie al Prof. Antonello Piombo, con il quale ho avuto il piacere di collaborare in alcune occasioni, per la stima, la disponibilità e la simpatia che mi ha sempre riservato.

Grazie ai professori del Liceo "Einstein" di Rimini, a Paola Fantini, Michela Clementi e Fabio Filippi, per l'impegno profuso nell'ambito del progetto *I SEE* e per la cura con cui mi hanno aiutata leggendo con l'occhio "da insegnante" le attività che avevo progettato. Grazie a ciascuno di voi.

"E figurato è il mondo in breve carta". Grazie al prof. Gianni Zanarini per le sue parole così poetiche e delicate nel parlare di scienza, per la disponibilità sempre dimostrata e per aver contribuito a dare voce (e musica) ai *"bei pensieri".*

"La lüna la lüsea". Chi mi conosce sa bene che una mia grande passione è sempre stata la musica: l'ho sempre amata e mi ha sempre aiutata ad amare. Un ringraziamento va ai miei compagni di coro per la simpatia, l'amicizia, la stima e la premura che mi hanno sempre dedicato, fin dalla prima prova, quando a sedici anni ho iniziato a cantare insieme a loro. Grazie anche a tutti coloro che la musica mi ha permesso di incontrare, tra corsi di canto gregoriano e prove nel coro di voci bianche.

"Un amico conosce le melodie del nostro cuore e le canta quando ne dimentichiamo le parole". Gli amici sono doni immeritati, persone che scelgono di rimanere nei momenti di quiete e in quelli di tempesta, che ti dicono che sbagli ma lo fanno con delicatezza, che ti offrono una mano salda e al tempo stesso ti fanno sentire leggera. Sono un tesoro che ci è stato regalato e di cui, però, raramente ci ricordiamo di essere grati. Non posso nominare ciascuno di voi, ma sapete benissimo il posto che occupate nel mio cuore.

"Donare la vita e donare l'amore". Infine, il ringraziamento che sgorga dal profondo del cuore. Alla mia famiglia, al nido a cui torno ogni sera, alla casa che accoglie, all'abbraccio che rassicura. A te, mamma, perché non servono parole per capirci e perché sei la donna più eccezionale che io conosca. A te, papà, perché sulla tua bontà e sulla tua capacità di perdonare si fonda la nostra famiglia. A te, Edoardo, perché sei insostituibile e generoso, ma non lo fai pesare a nessuno. A te, Alessandro, perché vederti crescere con noi ogni giorno è stata una delle gioie più grandi della mia vita. Grazie ai miei nonni, per il bene che mi vogliono e per l'esempio che mi hanno dato da quando ho fatto capolino in questo mondo: mi avete insegnato cosa vuol dire essere forti, affrontare le sfide vere, accettare la sofferenza, convivere con il dolore, lottare che tenacia, lavorare con serietà, essere persone oneste. Grazie, nonno Giancarlo (ti vorrei tanto qui con me, ma so che ci sei e che sei orgoglioso di me), nonna Liliana, nonna Agnese e nonno Italo: non vi sarò mai abbastanza grata.

Grazie a chi c'è stato, grazie a chi c'è.

References

- Albertazzi, L. (2017). Scientific knowledge and citizenship skills. Pilot study with adult citizens about the themes of complexity and future, master dissertation.
- Amaldi, U. (2011). *Idee per insegnare la matematica e la fisica. Ugo Amaldi sulla fisica del caos.* Conference for Zanichelli editore. Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jBN-z-dPaGw [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Anfara, V. A., Brown, K. M., Mangione, T. L. (2002). Qualitative Analysis on Stage: Making the Research Process More Public. Educational Researcher, 31(7), 28-38.
- Bauman, Z. (2016). Write the future. Rome: Castelvecchi press.
- Benasayag M., Schmit G. (2005). Les passions tristes, Poche.
- Bergström L., Johansson K. E., Nilsson C. (2001). *The physics of 'Copenhagen' for students and the general public*. Phys. Educ. 36 388.
- Burchell, B. Hardy, V., Rubery, J., Smith, M. (2014). A New Method to Understand Occupational Gender Segregation in European Labour Markets. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
- Christensen, C. (2009). *Risk and school science education*. Studies in Science Education. Available at: <u>https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au/bitstream/handle/10072/30151/62990_1.pdf;jsessioni</u> <u>d=057FD13EE6E62C54E7520626974FB0EF?sequence=1</u> [accessed 29 May 2017].
- Cobb, P., Confrey, J., diSessa A., Lehrer, R., Schauble, L. (2003). *Design Experiments in Educational Research*, Educational Researcher, 32 (1), 9-13.
- CoEurope (2016). Competences for democratic culture. Living together as equals in culturally diverse societies. Available at: <u>https://rm.coe.int/16806ccc07</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Colchester, J. (2016). *Linear Causality*, in Complexity Labs, 2016, August 1. Available at: <u>http://complexitylabs.io/linear-causality/</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- DeLanda, M. (2002). Intensive Science and Virtual Philosophy. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Der Kiureghiana A., Ditlevsen O. (2009). *Aleatory or epistemic? Does it matter?*, Structural Safety, Volume 31, Issue 2, 105–112.

- EC (2004). Project Cycle Management Guidelines. Available at: <u>http://www.europa.eu.int/comm/europeaid/qsm/index_en.htm</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- EC (2007). Science Education Now: A Renewed Pedagogy for the Future of Europe. European Commission, Brussels. Available at: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document library/pdf 06/report-rocard-on-science-education en.pdf</u> [accessed 29 May 2017].
- EC (2012). Responsible Research and Innovation: Europe's ability to respond to societal challenges, DG Research and Innovation. Available at: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/research/swafs/pdf/pub_rri/KI0214595ENC.pdf</u> [accessed 29 May 2017].
- EC (2015). Science Education for Responsible Citizenship. Available at: <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/swafs/pdf/pub_science_education/KI-NA-26-893-</u> <u>EN-N.pdf</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- EP(EB395) (2014). Flash Eurobarometer of the European Parliament. European Youth in 2014. Analytical synthesis. Available at: http://www.europarl.europa.eu/pdf/eurobarometre/2014/youth/eb_395_synthesis s_youth_en.pdf [accessed 1 June 2017].
- EP(542.199) (2015). Encouraging STEM studies. Labour Market Situation and Comparison of Practices Targeted at Young People in Different Member States. Available http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2015/542199/IPOL_ST_U(2015)542199 EN.pdf [accessed 29 May 2017].
- EP(587.318) (2016). Skills development and employment: New Skills Agenda for Europe. Available http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2016/587318/IPOL_BRI (2016)587318_EN.pdf [accessed 29 May 2017].
- EPC (2006). Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 on key competences for lifelong learning. Official Journal of the European Union. L394/10. Available at: <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legalcontent/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32006H0962&from=EN</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Eurydice (2012). *Citizenship Education in Europe*. Brussels: Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency.
- Feynman, R. (1963). *Linear Systems and Review*. Available at: <u>http://www.feynmanlectures.caltech.edu/I_25.html</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Garbarino, J., Mason, C. E. (2016). *The Power of Engaging Citizen Scientists for Scientific Progress*. Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education, 17(1), 7–12.
- Glaser, B. G., Strauss A. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Chicago: Aldine.
- Granger Morgan, M., Henrion, M. (1990). Uncertainty: A Guide to Dealing with Uncertainty in Quantitative Risk and Policy Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Greeuw, S. C. H. et al. (2000): *Cloudy Crystal Balls: An assessment of recent European and global Scenario studies and Models*. Copenhagen: European Environment Agency. Environmental issues series 17.
- Grotzer, T. (2017). Six causal patterns in science. Harvard Graduate School of Education. Available <u>https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/smg/Website/UCP/pdfs/SixCausalPatterns.pdf</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Grunwald, A. (2002). *Technikfolgenabschätzung: Eine Einführung*, Berlin: Edition Sigma.
- Hancock, T., Bezold, C. (1994). *Possible futures, preferable futures*. Healthcare Forum Journal, vol. 37, no. 2, 23–29.
- Healy, S.A. (1997). Changing science and ensuring our future. Futures, 29(6), 505-517.
- Hillier, D. (2006). Communicating health risks to the public. Aldershot: Gower.
- Hoefer, C. (2016), *Causal Determinism*. The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2016 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Available at: <u>https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2016/entries/determinism-causal/</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- IPCC (2014). Climate Change: Synthesis Report.
- Israel, G. (1986). Modelli matematici. Roma. Editori riuniti.
- Israel, G. (2002). *Modelli matematici. Introduzione alla matematica applicata*. Franzo Muzzio: Roma.
- Johansson, K. E. (2006). *Hands on CERN a well-used physics education project*. Phys. Educ. 41 250–254.
- Jones, A. et al. (2011). *Developing Students' Futures Thinking in Science Education*. Research in Science Education 42(4): 1-22.
- Kennedy M. C., O'Hagan A. (2001). *Bayesian calibration of computer models*, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B Volume 63, Issue 3, 425–464.
- Kolata, G. (1987). What Does It Mean To Be Random?. Science, 231, 1068-1070.
- Kolstø, S.D. (2001). Scientific literacy for citizenship: Tools for dealing with the science dimension of controversial socioscientific issues. Science Education, 85, 291– 310.
- Kosow, H., Gaßner, R. (2008). Methods of future and scenario analysis. Overview, assessment, and selection criteria. Studies 39, Deutsches Institut fur Entwicklungspolitik.
- Kreibich, R. (2006). *Zukunftsforschung*. Berlin: Insitut für Zukunftsstudien und Technologieberwertung (ArbeitsBericht 23/2006).
- Kreibich, R. (2007). Wissenschaftsverständnis und Methodik der Zukunftsforschung. in: Zeitschrift für Semiotik 29 (2–3), 177–198.

- Lloyd, D. (2002). *Futures imaging: students' views, mediation and learning through science*. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Western Australia.
- Lloyd, D., Wallace, J. (2009). Imaging the Future of Science Education: the Case for Making Futures Studies Explicit in Student Learning, Studies in Science Education, 40: 1, 139 – 177.
- Matthies H. G. (2007). *Quantifying uncertainty: modern computational representation of probability and applications, Extreme Man-Made and Natural Hazards in Dynamics of Structures*, NATO Security through Science Series, 105-135.
- Mercer, E.H. (1981). *The foundations of Biological Theory*, New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Millar, R., Osborne, J. (Eds.) (1998). *Beyond 2000: Science education for the future*. London: Nuffield Foundation.
- Miller, R. (2007). *Futures Literacy: A Hybrid Strategic Scenario Method*. Futures, 39(4), 341-362.
- Morin, E. (1999). Les sept savoirs nécessaires à l'éducation du futur, Paris, UNESCO.
- Morin, E. (2003). Seven complex Lessons in Education for the Future, UNESCO.
- Oberkampf, K. V. D. W. L., Rutherford, S. M., Alvin, K. F. (2002). *Error and uncertainty in modeling and simulation*. Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf., vol. 75, 333–357.
- OECD (2016). Education at a Glance 2016: OECD Indicators. OECD Publishing, Paris. Available at: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.187/eag-2016-en</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].
- OECD/PISA (1999). Measuring Students Knowledge and Skills. A New Framework for Assessment. Available at: <u>https://www.oecd.org/edu/school/programmeforinternationalstudentassessment</u> <u>pisa/33693997.pdf</u> [accessed 1 June 2017].

Osborne, J. (2010). *Science for citizenship*. In J. Osborne, J. Dillon (Eds.), *Good practice in science teaching* (pp. 46-67). Maidenhead, UK: McGraw Hill Open University Press.

- Osborne, J., Dillon, J. (2008). Science education in Europe: Critical reflections. A report to the Nuffield foundation. London: The Nuffield Foundation.
- Pasini, A. (2003). I cambiamenti climatici. Meteorologia e clima simulato. Milano, Bruno Mondadori.
- Pasini, A. (2007a). Modelli matematici nello studio del clima. Prima parte: i modelli dinamici. Lettera matematica, 24-34.
- Pasini, A. (2007b). *Modelli matematici nello studio del clima*. *Seconda parte: i modelli a rete neurale*. Lettera matematica, 35-43.
- Parisi, D. (2001). Simulazioni. La realtà rifatta nel computer, Il Mulino: Bologna.
- PISA (2015). Draft science framework. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/pisa/pisaproducts/Draft%20PISA%202015%20Science %20Framework%20.pdf [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Poli, R. (2010). The Many Aspects of Anticipation. Foresight, 12(3), 7-17.

- Rosa, H. (2013). *Social Acceleration: A New Theory of Modernity*, translated and introduced by Jonathan Trejo-Mathys, New York, Columbia University Press.
- Ravaioli, G. (2016). *Learning and accepting quantum physics: re-analysis of teaching proposal*, master dissertation.
- Roberts, D. A. (2007). Scientific literacy/science literacy. In Abell, S. K., Lederman, N. G. (Eds.), Handbook of research on science education. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Sadler, T. D., Zeidler, D. L. (2005). The significance of content knowledge for informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues: Applying genetics knowledge to genetic engineering issues. Science Education, 89(1), 71-93.
- Sardar, Z. (2010). *The Namesake: Future; futures studies; futurology; futuristics; foresight What's in a name?*. Futures 42 (2010), 177-184. Available at: http://cdn.elsevier.com/promis_misc/jftrnamesake.pdf [accessed 1 June 2017].
- Schibeci, R. A. (1984). *Attitudes to Science: an update*. Studies in Science Education, 11, 26-59.
- Sjøberg, S., Schreiner, C. (2010). *The Rose Project: An Overview and Key Findings*. Available at: <u>http://roseproject.no/network/countries/norway/eng/nor-Sjoberg-Schreiner-overview-2010.pdf</u> [accessed 29 May 2017].
- Stuckey, M., Hofstein, A., Mamlok-Naaman, R., Eilks, I. (2013). The meaning of 'relevance' in science education and its implications for the science curriculum. Studies in Science Education, 49(1), 1-34.
- Suter, G.W., II (1990). Uncertainty in environmental risk assessment. In: Acting Under Uncertainty: Multidisciplinary Conceptions. Ed. G.M. von Furstenberg. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 203-230.
- Tasquier, G., Levrini O., Dillon J. (2016). Exploring Students' Epistemological Knowledge of Models and Modelling in Science: Results From a Teaching/Learning Experience on Climate Change. International Journal of Science education. Vol. 38, Iss. 4, 2016.
- Venturelli, I. (2015). Scientific citizenship and education to the future: analysis of a pilot study about climate change in a 13th grade class of Liceo Scientifico, master dissertation.
- Voros, J. (2001). A Primer on Futures Studies, Foresight and the Use of Scenarios, prospect. The Foresight Bulletin, No 6, Swinburne University of Technology.
- Voros, J. (2003). A generic foresight process framework, Foresight, 5(3): 10-21.
- Wells, H.G. (1932). Wanted: Professors of Foresight! Futures Research Quarterly V3N1 Spring, 89-91.
- Wiener, N. (1948). *Cybernetics: Or Control and Communication in the Animal and the Machine*. Paris, (Hermann & Cie) & Camb. Mass. (MIT Press).
- Wilson, I. (1998). Mental Maps of the future: An Intuitive Logigs Approach to Scenario Planning, in: Fahey, L., Randall, R. M. (eds), Learning from the Future: Competitive Foresight Scenarios, New York u. a.: John Wiley and Sons, 81– 108.

- Zeidler, D.L., Keefer, M. (2003). The role of moral reasoning and the status of socioscientific issues in science education: Philosophical, psychological and pedagogical considerations. In D.L. Zeidler (Ed.), The role of moral reasoning on socioscientific issues and discourse in science education. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Press, 7-38.
- Zeidler, D.L., Nichols, B.H. (2009). *Socioscientific Issues: Theory and Practice*. Journal of Elementary Science Education, 21, 2, 49-58.
- Zeidler, D.L., Walker, K.A., Ackett, W.A., Simmons, M.L. (2002). *Tangled up in views: Beliefs in the nature of science and responses to socioscientific dilemmas.* Science Education, 86, 343–367.

Annexes

Annex A1 - Non-linearity of complex systems: the Lotka-Volterra model (complete version)

The Lotka–Volterra equations, also known as the predator–prey equations, are a pair of first order, non-linear, differential equations frequently used to describe the dynamics of biological systems in which two species interact, one as a predator and the other as prey. Two variables have to be considered: the number of preys (x) and that of predators (y). Both the populations change through time, so we refer to x(t) as the number of preys at time t and to y(t) as the number of predators at the same time. So, the equations can be written in this way:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) - By(t)x(t)$$
$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Cx(t)y(t) - Dy(t)$$

Now we are explaining in some details the components of these equations, for a better understanding about the characteristics of the model.

 $\frac{dx(t)}{dt}$ and $\frac{dy(t)}{dt}$ respectively indicate the variation of the population of preys and

predators over time: they are called growth rates.

A, B, C and D are positive coefficients used to detail the interaction between the species:

- *A* is the coefficient of birth of preys; the bigger is *A*, the faster is the positive contribution to the growth rate of preys.
- *B* is the coefficient of predation; it indicates how fast the predators eat preys and, multiplied for both the number of preys and predators, it negatively contributes to the growth rate: indeed, the bigger is *B*, the faster *x* decreases.
- *C* is the coefficient of encounter between preys and predators; multiplied for *x* and *y*, *C* represents the growth of the predator population. It could seem similar to the coefficient of predation *B* but a different constant is used because the rate at which the predator population grows is not necessarily equal to the rate at which it consumes the prey.
- *D* is the coefficient of natural death of predators; multiplied for the number of predators themselves, it negatively contributes to the growth rate: indeed, the bigger is *D*, the faster *y* decreases.

The zero-interaction model

If there was not any significant interaction between the two species, we would have to set B = C = 0, and in this way just A and D would remain. The two equations become:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t)$$
$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = -Dy(t)$$

This simplified version of the equations allows us to better understand the assumptions of the model. In absence of predators, the first equation is a typical differential equation which gives as a solution the exponential function:

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{e}^A$$

where x_0 is the number of preys at time *t*. The same happens for y(t), with the only difference that the solution is a negative exponential:

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{-Dt}$$

where y_0 is the number of predators at time *t*.

Hence, we can highlight some assumptions of the Volterra-Lotka model:

- the prey population finds ample food at all times and, in absence of predators, grows indefinitely, since the preys do not die by natural death (Assumption 1);
- in absence of preys to eat, the predators population decreases by natural death, since its food supply depends entirely on the size of the prey population (Assumption 2).

A simulation written in Python programming language can be easily used to prove the behaviour of the model, plotting the evolutions of the moose and wolf populations. For example, with a suitable choice of parameters² and initial conditions, the resulting plot is shown in Figure 1. The exponential behaviour of both the time evolutions – positive for the preys and negative for the predators – is evident.



Figure 1. Exponential evolution of preys (moose) and predators (wolves) with this choice of parameters A = 0.1, B = 0.00001, C = 0.00001, D = 0.5 and initial conditions $x_0 = 50$, $y_0 = 100$.

² It is not possible to set B = C = 0 because the calculus of the equilibrium position would be impossible for the programme (division by 0 is not defined). So, one has to choose B

and C so that the relation B, $C \ll A$, D holds.

The periodic solutions of the model

Now, we can go back to the initial formulation of the complete equations of the model and, resetting the parameters at "normal" values (without B and C being near 0), the output of the programme is the periodic plot in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Periodic evolution of preys (moose) and predators (wolves) with this choice of parameters A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5 and initial conditions $x_0 = 10$, $y_0 = 5$.

For every running of the algorithm, the plot displays the periodic solutions of our system of equations, given the parameters and the initial conditions that we chose. These oscillations can be explained identifying in Figure 2 the subsequent phases of increasing and decreasing of populations. This procedure is reported in three steps in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Comments about the periodic evolution of preys (moose) and predators (wolves), with respect to their time evolution in Figure 2.

If we stop at this stage of the analysis, we remain within a linear conception of causality: one step calls a second one which causes another one. But we could imagine to continue the reasoning begun in Figure 3 in order to see explicitly the positive feedback loop that is the cause of the time oscillation of this system. This procedure is displayed in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Reinterpretation of the linear explanation in Figure 3 as a circular positive feedback loop.

Discovering the meaning of the parameters

Changing the values of the parameters of the model, their meaning and role can be better understood. It is convenient to change only one parameter at each running of the script, so that its role can be separated from that of the other ones. In Figure 5, 6, 7 and 8 we respectively let *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* change; the initial conditions are set at $x_0 = 10$ and $y_0 = 5$.

The parameter A controls the birth rate of preys. In Figure 5, from left to right, A decreases and the time required for the growth of the moose population grows. In a certain sense, A controls the period of the time oscillation.



Figure 5. Dependence of the time evolution from the parameter A: 5.left) A = 1.5, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5, 5.centre A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5, 5.right A = 0.5, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5.

The parameter B indicates how frequently the preys are eaten by the predators. In Figure 6, from left to right, B decreases; he period of the oscillations remains the same but, when

B gets smaller, the maximum value of predator population sensitively changes (blue curves are lower and lower). This is reasonable because, if the predation is very frequent, the number of predators grows up to high values, while, at the opposite, if the predation is a rare fact, the predators cannot reach an high number of samples.



Figure 6. Dependence of the time evolution from the parameter 6: 4.left) A = 1, B = 0.04, C = 0.075, D = 1.5, 6.centre) A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5, 6.right) A = 1, B = 0.2, C = 0.075, D = 1.5.

The parameter C is the coefficient of encounter between preys and predators. About it, the same comments made above with regard to B hold true.



Figure 7. Dependence of the time evolution from the parameter C: 7.left) A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.1, D = 1.5, 7.centre A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5, 7.right A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.05, D = 1.5.

The parameter D is the coefficient of natural death of predators. An high value of D means that the predators die frequently, allowing preys to grow more rapidly and to reach higher values of individuals. As D decreases, the period of oscillation changes as well as the maximum number of preys and predators.



Figure 8. Dependence of the time evolution from the parameter D: 8.left) A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 4, 8.centre A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 1.5, 8.right A = 1, B = 0.1, C = 0.075, D = 0.75.

From the model to the reality

After having discovered the meaning and role of all the parameters of the model and its interpretation at the light of circular causality, the results of our simulation can be compared with real data. We can consider a famous example of the predator-prey relationship: the one between wolves and moose on Isle Royale, an island in Lake Superior in Michigan. This unique relationship has been subject of detailed study for over 55 years because it is considered very similar to the situation described from the model. Indeed, being an isolated island, there is little migration of animals into and out of it and, as a national park, human interaction and impact on the two species is also limited.

The

applet

http://www.phschool.com/atschool/phbio/active_art/predator_prey_simulation/ shows the ideal behaviour of the model (obtained with a programme like the one we used above) versus the real data measured for wolves and moose on Isle Royal. The experimental curves are reported in Figure 9.



Figure 9. 40 years evolution of the wolf and moose population on Isle Royale.

The graph obtained in Figure 9 is very different to the periodic evolution showed in all the plots above. This requires a comment about the other implicit assumptions of the model that we have not noticed yet: during the process described from the model, the environment does not change in favour of one species and there are not any factors (diseases, famines, genetic mutations, fitness problems...) that cause premature deaths or damages for the one or both the populations (Assumption 3). This is not the case of Isle Royale's interaction.

As an isolated island, the Isle Royale initially had neither wolves nor moose. The moose are believed to have either swam across Lake Superior from Minnesota in the early 1900s; in 1949 a pair of wolves crossed an ice bridge from Ontario to the island during a harsh winter. But because only one pair or wolves migrated to the island, they have suffered from severe inbreeding, losing genetic variability (all the wolves' DNA on Isle Royale can be traced back to one ancestor). Inbreeding leads to mutations and fitness problems, often accompanied by violent social rejection by other wolves. So, the Assumption 3 does not hold true.

Moreover, moose prefer birch and aspen trees, which used to grow plentifully on the island, but over a century of moose browsing have been largely replaced by the less nutritious balsam fir. But also the resources of balsam fir are limited: it is observed that when the moose population grows too high, the balsam fir population crashes, leading to a crash in the moose population. This is a negative feedback loop and it makes the Assumption 1 not verified.

Also the Assumption 2 is not true for the Isle Royale case. Indeed, moose make up ninetenths of a wolf's diet: the remaining 10% consists of snowshoe hares and beavers. So, there are not only two species in interaction and the Lotka-Volterra model cannot be reasonably applied.

Possible improvements of the model... and a sad conclusion

The model can be improved by adding parameters which take into account some elements that help in order to make the model more similar to the real situation. With the applet already mentioned, it is possible to set a value also for two other coefficients:

- habitat variability: how often factors other than the ones you are controlling, such as density-independent factors (weather), and density-dependent factors (disease) change. This parameter has value between 1, if the habitat is not so variable, and 100, if it is extremely variable;
- carrying capacity: the largest number of individuals of a population that a given environment can support. This parameter is an average percentage and it is included between 50% and 150%.

Changing their values it is possible to see the changes in the graphs with respect to the standard Lotka-Volterra. Anyway it can be easily recognized that, like all the models, through all the possible improvements with the addition of other coefficients, it can never take into account the whole complexity of the real world. Using other words: also the use of the two parameters described, that had the main goal of relaxing the validity conditions

of the model, is not enough to reproduce the experimental behaviour for moose and wolves on Isle Royale.

About the Python script: structure and comments

In the followings, we report the brief Python script for the integration of Lotka-Volterra equations, adding comments about the structure and the role of the functions used. In red are written the lines of code that have to be modified by the user in order to obtain the different plots described above.

Firstly, from the library numpy the operation of multiplication is imported, an abbreviation for the library pylab is chosen and the four parameters of the model are defined at default values.

```
from numpy import *
import pylab as p
a = 1
b = 0.2
c = 0.075
d = 1.5
```

Now we have to define the function named dX_dt , which has X and t (set equal to 0 by default) as its arguments; this function returns an array of two components: the first component is the right side member of the preys equation, while the second component is the right side member of the predators equation. The notation X[0] indicates the first component of the vector X[1], while X[1] refers to its second component.

After having defined the parameters and the function to be integrated, we need to import from the library scipy the function integrate, written to integrate a system of ordinary differential equations. The 1000 components vector t is defined: its first component is 0, its last one is 15 and linspace automatically add other 998 equispaced components. X0 is the 2-dimensional vector in which we set the initial conditions for the prey population (first component) and the predator one (second component). Now, it is possible to use the function integrate: the first argument is the function dX_dt that we want to integrate, the second one is the vector of initial conditions and the third one is a sequence of time points for which to solve for our function.

```
from scipy import integrate
t = linspace(0, 15, 1000)
X0 = array([10, 5])
X = integrate.odeint(dX dt, X0, t)
```

Finally we use Matplotlib to plot the evolution of both populations and save the figure obtained in the same folder in which is the script file (note that if you subsequently run the script, the file is overwritten at each launch).

```
moose, wolves = X.T
f1 = p.figure()
p.plot(t, moose, 'r-', label='Moose')
p.plot(t, wolves , 'b-', label='Wolves')
p.grid()
p.legend(loc='best')
p.xlabel('time')
p.ylabel('population')
p.title('Evolution of wolf and moose populations')
f1.savefig('moose_and_wolves_1.png')
```

Annex A2 - Non linearity of complex systems: the Lotka-Volterra model (tutorial version)

The Lotka–Volterra equations, also known as the predator–prey equations, are a pair of first order, non linear, differential equations frequently used to describe the dynamics of biological systems in which two species interact, one as a predator and the other as prey. Two variables have to be considered: the number of preys (x) and that of predators (y). Both the populations change through time, so we refer to x(t) as the number of preys at time t and to y(t) as the number of predators at the same time. So, the equations can be written in this way:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) - By(t)x(t)$$
$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Cx(t)y(t) - Dy(t)$$

Now we are explaining in some details the components of these equations, for a better understanding about the characteristics of the model.

 $\frac{dx(t)}{dt}$ and $\frac{dy(t)}{dt}$ respectively indicate the variation of the population of preys and predators over time: they are called growth rates.

A, B, C and D are positive coefficients used to detail the interaction between the species:

- *A* is the coefficient of birth of preys; the bigger is *A*, the faster is the positive contribution to the growth rate of preys.
- *B* is the coefficient of predation; it indicates how fast the predators eat preys and, multiplied for both the number of preys and predators, it negatively contributes to the growth rate: indeed, the bigger is *B*, the faster *x* decreases.
- *C* is the coefficient of encounter between preys and predators; multiplied for *x* and *y*, *C* represents the growth of the predator population. It could seem similar to the coefficient of predation *B* but a different constant is used because the rate at which the predator population grows is not necessarily equal to the rate at which it consumes the prey.
- *D* is the coefficient of natural death of predators; multiplied for the number of predators themselves, it negatively contributes to the growth rate: indeed, the bigger is *D*, the faster *y* decreases.

The zero-interaction model

If there was not any significant interaction between the two species, we would have to set B = C = 0, and in this way just A and D would remain. Write the new form of the equations with this choice of parameters:

This simplified version of the equations allows us to better understand the assumptions of the model. In absence of predators, the first equation is a typical differential equation which gives as a solution the exponential function:

$$x(t) = x_0 e^A$$

where x_0 is the number of preys at time *t*. The same happens for y(t), with the only difference that the solution is a negative exponential:

 $y(t) = y_0 e^{-Dt}$

where y_0 is the number of predators at time *t*.

Hence, we can highlight some assumptions of the Volterra-Lotka model:

- the prey population finds ample food at all times and, in absence of predators, grows indefinitely, since the preys do not die by natural death (Assumption 1);
- in absence of preys to eat, the predators population decreases by natural death, since its food supply depends entirely on the size of the prey population (Assumption 2).

A simulation written in Python programming language can be easily used to prove the behaviour of the model, plotting the evolutions of the moose and wolf populations. The parts of the codex that you need to modify during the following exercises are those related to the value of parameters A, B, C and D and to the initial conditions x_0 and y_0 :

```
# Definition of parameters: default a= 1, b=0.1, c=0.075, d=1.5
a = 1
b = 0.2
c = 0.075
d = 1.5
X0 = array([10, 5])  # initials conditions: 10 moose and 5 wolves
```

Make a choice of parameters for reproducing a zero-interaction model. Note that it is not possible to set B = C = 0 because the calculus of the equilibrium position would be impossible for the programme (division by 0 is not defined). So, one has to choose B and

$$B, C \ll A, D$$

C so that this relation holds:

Draw the time evolution displayed by the simulation, writing also the values of parameters and initial conditions that you have set.

The exponential behaviour of both the time evolutions – positive for the preys and negative for the predators – should be evident.

The periodic solutions of the model

Now, we can go back to the initial formulation of the complete equations of the model and, resetting the parameters at default values, draw the resulting plot reporting the parameters and the initial conditions that you have fixed.

For every running of the algorithm, the plot displays the periodic solutions of our system of equations. Try to explain these oscillations (increasing and decreasing of populations) adding a comment for each graph.



If we stop at this stage of the analysis, we remain within a linear conception of causality: one step calls a second one which causes another one. But we could imagine to continue the reasoning: add arrows that connect the steps above... now you can see explicitly the positive feedback loop that is the cause of the time oscillation of this system.

Discovering the meaning of the parameters

Changing the values of the parameters of the model, their meaning and role can be better understood. It is convenient to change only one parameter at each running of the script, so that its role can be separated from that of the other ones. Let *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* change, setting the initial conditions fixed at default value ($x_0 = 10$ and $y_0 = 5$).

Draw three plots obtained with changed values of A (take care of the scales on the population axis!). For each plot, report the values of A that you used for your simulation.

The parameter *A* controls the birth rate of preys. Look at your plots: what happens if *A* decreases? So, what you can think could be the meaning of this parameter?

Draw three plots obtained with changed values of B. For each plot, report the values of B that you used for your simulation.

The parameter *B* indicates how frequently the preys are eaten by the predators. Look at your plots: what happens if *B* decreases? So, what you can think could be the meaning of this parameter?

Draw three plots obtained with changed values of C. For each plot, report the values of C that you used for your simulation.

The parameter C is the coefficient of encounter between preys and predators. Look at your plots: what happens if C decreases? Why is it so similar to the behaviour we obtain changing B?

Draw three plots obtained with changed values of D. For each plot, report the values of D that you used for your simulation.

The parameter *C* is the coefficient of natural death of predators. Look at your plots: what happens if *D* decreases?

From the model to the reality

After having discovered the meaning and role of all the parameters of the model and its interpretation at the light of circular causality, the results of our simulation can be compared with real data. We can consider a famous example of the predator-prey relationship: the one between wolves and moose on Isle Royale, an island in Lake Superior in Michigan. This unique relationship has been subject of detailed study for over 55 years because it is considered very similar to the situation described from the model. Indeed, being an isolated island, there is little migration of animals into and out of it and, as a national park, human interaction and impact on the two species is also limited.

The

applet shows http://www.phschool.com/atschool/phbio/active art/predator prey simulation/ the ideal behaviour of the model (obtained with a programme like the one we used above) versus the real data measured for wolves and moose on Isle Royal.

What are the graphical similarities and what are the differences between the ideal plots that you obtained before and this graph of real data?

These differences requires a comment about the other implicit assumptions of the model that we have not noticed yet: during the process described from the model, the environment does not change in favour of one species and there are not any factors (diseases, famines, genetic mutations, fitness problems...) that cause premature deaths or damages for the one or both the populations (Assumption 3). This is not the case of Isle Royale's interaction.

As an isolated island, the Isle Royale initially had neither wolves nor moose. The moose are believed to have either swam across Lake Superior from Minnesota in the early 1900s; in 1949 a pair of wolves crossed an ice bridge from Ontario to the island during a harsh winter. But because only one pair or wolves migrated to the island, they have suffered from severe inbreeding, losing genetic variability (all the wolves' DNA on Isle Royale can be traced back to one ancestor). Inbreeding leads to mutations and fitness problems, often accompanied by violent social rejection by other wolves. So, what of our 3 assumptions does not hold true?

Assumption number

Moreover, moose prefer birch and aspen trees, which used to grow plentifully on the island, but over a century of moose browsing have been largely replaced by the less nutritious balsam fir. But also the resources of balsam fir are limited: it is observed that when the moose population grows too high, the balsam fir population crashes, leading to a crash in the moose population. This is a negative feedback loop and it makes an other of the assumptions not verified. What?

Assumption number

Also another assumption is not true for the Isle Royale case. Indeed, moose make up ninetenths of a wolf's diet: the remaining 10% consists of snowshoe hares and beavers. So, there are not only two species in interaction and the Lotka-Volterra model cannot be reasonably applied. What of our 3 assumptions does not hold true?

Possible improvements of the model... and a sad conclusion

The model can be improved by adding parameters which take into account some elements that help in order to make the model more similar to the real situation. With the applet already mentioned, it is possible to set a value also for two other coefficients:

- habitat variability: how often factors other than the ones you are controlling, such as density-independent factors (weather), and density-dependent factors (disease) change. This parameter has value between 1, if the habitat is not so variable, and 100, if it is extremely variable;
- carrying capacity: the largest number of individuals of a population that a given environment can support. This parameter is an average percentage and it is included between 50% and 150%.

Changing their values it is possible to see the changes in the graphs with respect to the standard Lotka-Volterra. Anyway you can easily recognized that, also with these new parameters, it is impossible to fit the experimental data. What do you think is the cause of this impossibility?

Annex A3 - Non-linearity of complex systems and sensitivity to initial conditions: the logistic map

The logistic map was introduced in 1976 as a discrete-time demographic model analogous to the logistic equation. The logistic equation has the following form:

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = f(x)(1 - f(x))$$

and it is a first-order non-linear differential equation. The non linearity is given from the fact that the derivative does not depend only on f(x) but there is also a quadratic term $f^2(x)$. The discretization of the logistic equation leads to the logistic map:

$$x_{n+1} = rx_n(1 - x_n)$$

where x_n is a number in the interval [0, 1] that represents the ratio of existing population to the maximum possible population (also called carrying capacity of the environment) and r is a positive parameter (we do not want negative populations).

It is an iterative map because it establishes the value of a variable at time n+1, by knowing the value at time n and the evolution rule. There are many ways of interpreting it: a possible way is looking at it as a curve in the plane (in this case the parabolic function can be recognized) but a second way is as a series of instructions:

- give some number x_n , subtract its square x_n^2 and multiply the result for the constant r;
- call the result x_{n+1} ;
- given x_{n+1} do nothing but call it x_n ;
- repeat the first step with the value found in step 3.

The first three instruction together form a mapping of one number on to another:

$$m: x_n \to rx_n(1-x_n)$$

and the addition of the fourth step results in an iterated mapping. We can use the symbol $m^n(x_n)$ to represent the n^{th} iterate of the original value x_n . The instructions require to generate a series of number, called orbit:

$$x_n, m(x_n), m^2(x_n), m^3(x_n), \ldots, m^n(x_n), \ldots$$

The initial value x_n is called the seed of the orbit.

The demographic logistic model

The simple logistic equation written above is a formula for approximating the evolution of an animal population over time. We can write again the formula distinguishing into brackets the two terms of the product.

$$x_{n+1} = (rx_n)(1 - x_n)$$

We are going to comment the two terms separately.

- rx_n : since not every existing animal will reproduce (a portion of them are male), not every female will be fertile, not every conception will be successful, and not every pregnancy will be successfully carried to term, the population increase will be some fraction of the present population. The term of proportionality r is the growth rate or fecundity and approximates the rate of successful reproduction. Limiting the model at this term, it produces exponential growth without limit.
- $(1 x_n)$: since every population is bound by the physical limitations of its territory, some allowance must be made to restrict this growth. If there is a carrying capacity of the environment, then the population may not exceed that capacity, otherwise the population would become extinct. This can be modelled by multiplying the population by a number that approaches zero as the population approaches its limit. If we normalize x_n to this capacity, the multiplier $(1 x_n)$ has the role explained.

Playing with the parameter r

By varying the parameter r several kinds of behaviour are observed. If the growth rate expressed by r is set too low, the population will die out and go extinct: in Figure 1 this behaviour is shown, because r is in the interval [0, 1].



Figure 1. Extinction of the population within the 10^{th} *generation for* r = 0.5.

Higher growth rates might settle the population toward a stable value represented by $\frac{r-1}{r}$: in Figure 2 we observe this evolution, because *r* is chosen in the interval [1, 2].



Figure 2. Stabilisation of the population toward the value $x_n = 0.33$, when r = 1.5.

We can give two examples of this behaviour. Yeast, a microscopic fungus used to make bread and alcoholic beverages, exhibits a curve similar to that in Figure 2, when grown in a test tube (see Figure 2A.left). Its growth levels off as the population depletes the nutrients that are necessary for its growth.

In the real world, however, there are variations to this idealized curve. An example in wild populations is that of harbour seals (see Figure 2A.right). The population size exceeds the carrying capacity for short periods of time and then falls below the carrying capacity afterwards.



Figure 2A. Fitting ecological data with logistic model. 2A.left: yeast grown in ideal conditions in a test tube show a classical logistic growth curve (cfr. Figure 2). 2A.right: a natural population of seals shows real-world fluctuation.

Another possible behaviour is the fluctuation across a series of population booms and busts. In Figure 3 we observe three different kinds of evolutions:

- with r in $[3, 1+\sqrt{6} \sim 3.44949]$ the population approaches permanent oscillations between two values dependent on r. We can think at this system as a switch that can stay on two states and the transition time between these two states is regular and dependent on r itself;
- with r in $[1+\sqrt{6}, 3.56995]$ the population approaches periodic oscillations among 2^k values. The system is now a multi-value switch, with a sequence of possible states that repeat following always the same order;

- with r in [3.56995, 4] there are almost no more oscillations of finite period³: the value 3.56995 is often defined as the onset of chaos.



Figure 3. Fluctuation of the population across a series of booms and busts. 3.left: oscillation between two values, for r = 3.2. 3.centre: oscillation among 4 values, for r = 3.5. 3.right: chaotic behaviour without oscillations of finite period, for r = 3.7.

Limit cycles and strange attractors

After having showed some graphs for some values of the parameter r, it is useful to define a term and explain a concept often used in the study of complex system. An attractor is the value, or the set of values, that the system settles toward over time. For example, when r is set to 0.5 (see Figure 1), the system has a fixed-point attractor at population level 0: in other words, the population value is drawn toward 0 over time as the model iterates. Another example is shown when r is set to 3.5 (see Figure 3.centre): the system oscillates between four values. In both these cases the attractors are called limit cycles.

Passing the onset of chaos, the attractor is no more a limit cycle because chaotic systems have so called strange attractors, around which the system oscillates forever, never repeating itself or settling into a steady state of behaviour (see Figure 3.right). For a better understanding of this fact, let us see the so called bifurcation diagram, showed in Figure 4, obtained running the logistic model again across 1000 values of r in the interval [0, 4].

To read this kind of diagram, it is convenient to watch at it as 1000 discrete vertical slices, each one corresponding to one of the 1000 parameters between 0 and 4. For each one of

³ Although most values of r beyond 3.56995 exhibit chaotic behaviour, there are still certain isolated ranges of r (called islands of stability) that display non-chaotic behaviour.

these slices, the model has been run 450 times, then the first 200 values have been ignored⁴, so the final 250 generations for each growth rate remain.



Figure 4. Bifurcation diagram for r in [0, 4].

Thus, each vertical slice depicts the population values that the logistic map settles toward for that parameter value. In other words, according to the definition given before, the vertical slice above each growth rate is that growth rate's attractor. We can reinterpret the analysis and the comments done before about the role of the parameter r at the light of this new representation:

- for *r* in [0, 1] the system always collapses to zero;
- for *r* in [1, 3] the system always settles into an exact, stable population level;
- for r in [3, $1+\sqrt{6} \sim 3.44949$] the system displays an oscillation between two values;
- for r in $[1+\sqrt{6}, 3.56995]$ the oscillation is between 4, 8, 16, 32 values (as it can be seen in Figure 5, where a zooming into the interval [2.95, 3.6] is provided);



Figure 5. Bifurcation diagram for r in [2.95, 3.6]: the progressive bifurcation in 2^k values is observed; from this behaviour the diagram has taken its name.

⁴ The first 200 values are ignored in order to avoid considering the transient phase that does not define the attractor. For example, looking at Figure 1, if also the first six generations were considered, in the bifurcation diagram we would obtain a straight vertical segment for values of r in [0, 1], but these values would not be significant with respect to the stable evolution of the system that shows up beyond the transient phase. With this cut of the first iterations, we see, in the bifurcation diagram, just the attractor value 0, when r is in [0, 1].

- for *r* in [3.56995, 4] the diagram shows 250 different values, so a different value for each of its 250 generations: it means that the evolution never settles into a fixed point or a limit cycle. In Figure 6 a zoom on an interval in this area is provided.



Figure 6. Bifurcation diagram for r in [3.7, 3.9]: for almost each r, there are as many different possible values of population as the number of generations.

Fractals

The bifurcation diagram allows us to see another important property of many complex systems: the fractal structure. In the figures above can be recognized some particular patterns that exist at every scale, no matter how much we zoom into it: this properties is called self-similarity. Starting from Figure 6, we progressively zoom in the diagram: we can recognize the same bifurcation structure shown in figures above. A part of the process of zooming in is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Zooming of bifurcation diagram: the fractal structure can be recognized.

Sensitivity at initial conditions and deterministic chaos

Another characteristic of the logistic model and of many other complex systems is the so called sensitivity at initial conditions. If we focus on the interval [3.56995, 4], we can

look at the time series from two different, but very close, initial conditions. For example, we can use r = 3.7, comparing it with another value that is only 10^{-5} away from the first one. The graph in Figure 8 is obtained: we can observe that it takes only two dozen iterates before the two curves diverge far away from each other.



Figure 8. Behaviour of the logistic map for two near values of r.

This chaotic behaviour and this difference between the two plots are due to the non linearity of the system and to the iterative structure of the map. Indeed, recalling the formula for the map, the quadratic term causes a quadratic sensitivity to errors about initial conditions; moreover, the iterative structure implies that an initial error spreads on the whole evolution of the system. That is why, despite its deterministic simplicity, over time this chaotic system produces totally unpredictable and wildly divergent behaviour. The plots *seem* to evolve randomly but there is a fundamental distinction between chaos and randomness: the logistic model continues to follow the very simple deterministic rule we have expressed at the beginning of our analysis, but produces an apparent randomness given by the aperiodicity. This phenomenon is called deterministic chaos and was discovered by Edward Lorenz who described chaos as "when the present determines the future, but the approximate present does not approximately determine the future."

This aspect is strictly linked to the mathematical modelling of complex systems and changes the meaning of knowledge and prediction about systems. Looking at the Figure 8, we can say that if our knowledge of those two systems started at generation 40, we would have no way of guessing that they were almost identical in the beginning. With chaos, history is lost to time and prediction of the future is only as accurate as the measurements. In real-world chaotic systems, measurements of initial conditions are never infinitely precise, so errors always compound, and the future becomes entirely unknowable given long enough time horizons.

This is famously known as the butterfly effect, from the title of a conference held by Lorenz in 1972. "Does the flap of a butterfly's wings in Brazil set off a tornado in Texas?". Now, we can answer: yes, it does. Small events compound and irreversibly alter the future of the universe.

Chaos can be observed in many physical systems, also outside the ecological context. For example, in mechanics, a system as simple as a double pendulum (see Figure 9) is a chaotic system because infinitesimal differences in the starting conditions lead to drastically different results as the system evolves.



Figure 9. Schematization of a double pendulum, that consists of a pendulum with another pendulum attached to its end.

If we plot the evolution in time of the angle θ_1 of the main arm and the angle θ_2 of the second arm, changing the initial speeds of the main arm, the behaviour in Figure 10 is observed.



Figure 10. Time evolution of θ_1 (10.top) and θ_2 (10.bottom) for near initial conditions. The red line refers to a double pendulum with $v_1 = 400.0$ deg/s, the blue line to a an identical pendulum with $v_1 = 400.1$ deg/s.

For a short while the two pendulums stay in phase, but their behaviour quickly diverges even though their initial speeds are very similar (only 0.1 deg/sec difference in the initial speed of the main arm). This shows that the systems are sensitive to their initial conditions.

Perhaps not as obviously as this physical system has, particular electrical circuits also exhibit chaotic behaviour, starting from non-linear mathematical descriptions. Two examples are the varicap diode circuit and the Chua circuit.

Python scripts

Three Python scripts have been written in order to draw the plots reported in this work. In the followings the codes are reported with some comments; in red are written the lines of code that have to be modified by the user in order to obtain the different plots described above.

Logistic Map.py

The first script (LogisticMap.py) defines the logistic map and produces the plots from Figure 1 to Figure 3.

After having imported the plotting routines, simulations parameters are set. Particularly, a value for r is assigned, an array that will contain the value of population x is created and initialized in its first component, and the number of iterations N is fixed.

```
from pylab import *
r = 3.7
x = [0.1]
N = 100
```

With a for loop, for N times the value x_{n+1} is calculated and appended to the list x. Finally, the plot is drawn.

```
for n in range(0,N):
    x.append( r*x[n]*(1.-x[n]) )
xlabel('Time step n')
ylabel('x(n)')
title('Logistic map at r= ' + str(r))
plot(x , 'b')
show()
```

LogisticMap2NearInitialConditions.py

The second script (LogisticMap2NearInitialConditions.py) is similar to the first one but in this case two plots are drawn in a unique graph, because we want to control the sensitivity of the model to near values of the parameter r, in order to make graphs like that in Figure 8.

After having imported the plotting routine and set the parameter, initialized the array of population values and chosen the number of iteration, it is set the value of a constant named delta which represents the increment with respect to x1; an array x2 is also initialized.

```
from pylab import *
r = 3.7
x1 = [0.1]
delta = 1e-5
x2 = [x1[0] + delta]
N = 50
```

With a for loop, for N times the values x_{n+1} are calculated and appended to the lists x1 and x2. Finally, the plots are drawn within a unique figure.

```
for n in range(0,N):
    x1.append( r*x1[n]*(1.-x1[n]) )
    x2.append( r*x2[n]*(1.-x2[n]) )
xlabel('Time step n')
ylabel('x(n)')
title('Logistic map at two near values of r')
plot(x1, 'b', label="r = 3.70000")
plot(x2 , 'r', label="r = 3.70001")
legend(loc='best')
show()
```

LogisticBifn.py

The third script (LogisticBifn.py) draws the bifurcation diagrams from Figure 4 to Figure 7.

The script begins with the importation of the modules needed.

from numpy import *
from pylab import *

The logistic map's function is defined and the parameter range is set up.

```
def LogisticMap(r,x):
        return r * x * (1.0 - x)
rlow = 3.7
rhigh = 3.9
```

The plot is prepared.

```
figure(1,(8,6))
TitleString = 'Logistic map, f(x) = r x (1 - x), '
TitleString += 'bifurcation diagram for r in [%g,%g]' %
(rlow,rhigh)
title(TitleString)
xlabel('Control parameter r')
ylabel('{X(n)}')
```

To avoid the autoscaling that would be implicit in the plot function, we put dots at the corners of the desired data window.

```
plot([rhigh], [1.0], 'k,')
plot([rhigh], [0.0], 'k,')
plot([rlow], [0.0], 'k,')
plot([rlow], [1.0], 'k,')
```

The value of initial condition x_0 is set as ic; then, the number of transient generations is set (these values will be thrown away). The parameter nIterates sets how much the attractor is filled in, while nSteps sets how dense the bifurcation diagram will be. The variable rInc represents the increment for the following calculations.

```
ic = 0.2
nTransients = 200
nIterates = 250
nSteps =1000
rInc = (rhigh-rlow)/float(nSteps)
```

With a for cycle (for exploring all the 1000 values of the parameter r) the initial condition is set to the reference value, the transient iterations are thrown array and the next batch of iterates is stored in the array x. The plot function draws the list of (r, x) pairs as pixels.

```
for r in arange(rlow,rhigh,rInc):
    state = ic
    for i in range(nTransients):
        state = LogisticMap(r,state)
    rsweep = [ ]
    x = [ ]
    for i in range(nIterates):
        state = LogisticMap(r,state)
        rsweep.append(r)
        x.append( state )
        plot(rsweep, x, 'k,')
show()
```

References

Boeing, G. (2016). "Visual Analysis of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems: Chaos, Fractals, Self-Similarity and the Limits of Prediction." *Systems*, 4 (4), 37.

http://csc.ucdavis.edu/~chaos/courses/nlp/Software/partE.html

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logistic map

http://hypertextbook.com/chaos/

https://www.boundless.com/biology/textbooks/boundless-biology-textbook/populationand-community-ecology-45/environmental-limits-to-population-growth-251/logisticpopulation-growth-930-12186/

http://www.met.rdg.ac.uk/~ross/Documents/SchoolTalkDP.html

Annex A4 – Questionnaire on the concept of feedback

The questionnaire is based on the Ted-Ed video lesson

http://ed.ted.com/lessons/feedback-loops-how-nature-gets-its-rhythms-anje-margrietneutel#watch

Which of the following is an example of a positive feedback loop?

- A. As glaciers melt, there is less white surface to reflect heat, which causes more melting
- B. As plants grow, their litter creates more soil humus, which in turn makes it hospitable for more plants
- C. "Violence breeds more violence" or, a violent act by one group causes their enemy to retaliate with more violence
- D. All of the above

Negative feedback is called negative because _____.

- A. It counteracts disturbance
- B. It causes degradation of an (eco)system
- C. It has a destabilizing effect
- D. It has no effect on system stability

The strength of a feedback loop is _____.

- A. The sum of the positive link strengths in the loop
- B. The sum of all the link strengths in the loop
- C. The product of all the link strengths in the loop
- D. The sum of the positive link strengths divided by the sum of the negative link strengths in the loop

If you have a feedback loop with three strong negative links, and one of those links turns into a very weak positive link, what will the resulting feedback be?

- A. Strong positive feedback
- B. Strong negative feedback
- C. Weak positive feedback
- D. Weak negative feedback
- E. No feedback

How many feedback loops are possible in a food web of 20 species?

- A. Up to 20
- B. Around 80
- C. Hundreds

D. Thousands

How do all the feedbacks together in an ecosystem create harmony? One important mechanism is:

- A. The feedbacks become synchronized
- B. Positive feedbacks counteract destabilizing negative feedbacks
- C. Many populations interacting causes break-up of the chains of the short feedback loops
- D. Destabilising positive feedbacks are counteracted by negative feedbacks

The process of erosion on a landscape is an example of positive feedback. Can you describe a feedback loop that explains this process in more detail, starting with the feedback between plant, humus, and at least one more node in the network? Hint: you will have to add more than one negative link.

Describe three examples of positive feedback and three of negative feedback, in other systems that have many interacting parts – such as economic, social, political systems.

One of the more prevalent feedback loops discussed today is one in relation to melting polar ice caps due to climate change. Is this a positive or negative feedback loop? Explain your answer.
Annex A5 - Self-organization in complex systems: the world of ants

Ants have some of the most complex social organization in the animal kingdom, living in structured colonies with different kinds of members who perform specific roles. But although this may sound similar to some human societies, this organization of the system does not arise from any higher level decisions or urban plans, but emerges from very basic rules of interaction between its parts. This kind of organization is better called, because of its properties, self-organization.

Ants have no methods of intentional communication but individual ants interact each other through touch, sound and chemical signals. These stimuli accomplish many things from serving as an alarm to other ants if one is killed, to signalling when a queen is nearing the end of her reproductive life. But one of the most impressive collective capabilities of ant colony is to thoroughly and efficiently explore large areas without any predetermined plan. Most species of ants have little or no sense of sight and can only smell things in their vicinity. Combined with their lack of high level coordination, this would seem to make them terrible explorers but there is an amazingly simple way that ants maximize their searching efficiency: by changing their movement patterns based on individual interactions. When two ants meet, they sense each other by touching antennae; if there are many ants in a small area this will happen more often, causing them to respond by moving in more convoluted, random paths in order to search more thoroughly. But in larger area, with less ants, where such meetings happen less often, they can walk in straight lines to cover more ground. While exploring their environment in this way, an ant may come across any number of things, from threats or enemies, to alternate nesting sites.

One of the most impressive capability that some species of ants have is known as recruitment: when one of these ants happens to find food, it will return with it, marking its path with a chemical scent; other ants will then follow this pheromone trail, renewing it each time they manage to find food and return. Once the food in that spot is depleted, the ants stop marking their return: the scent dissipates and ants are no longer attracted to that path.

A computer simulation

A lot of computer simulations have been written in order to reproduce the behaviour of ants in response at the presence of obstacles, food, caves or elements of scare. The video at this link <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G5wb4f5n6qQ&t=29s</u> shows the mode of operation of one of these simulations and the result is the spatial organization of ants according to pattern that seem to contradict the chaotic nature of this complex system.

Particularly interesting is the mechanism of recruitment as shown in the simulation. In Figure 1 there are some examples of patterns followed by ants to go from the food (with points) to the caves (black points), passing through obstacles (grey points).



Figure 1. The recruitment mechanism makes spatial patterns emerge.

These seemingly crude methods of search and retrieval are, indeed, so useful that they are applied in computer models to obtain optimal solutions from decentralized elements, working randomly and exchanging simple information. Understanding the basis of self-organization could lead to improvements in swarm robotics, large numbers of simple robots working together, as well as self-healing materials and other systems capable of organizing and fixing themselves. More broadly, identifying the rules that ants obey could help scientists understand how biologically complex systems emerge (for example, how groups of cells give rise to organs).

References

Inside the ant colony – TED lesson by Deborah M. Gordon https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vG-QZOTc5_Q

https://www.theguardian.com/science/2014/apr/11/ants-self-organization-quanta

Annex A6 - Synthesis of the fifth IPCC report: the global warming issue

Global warming, in climatology, indicates an increase in the average temperature of Earth's surface and recorded in different phases of the climatic history of the Earth. The expression is now almost always used with heating significance due to the anthropogenic (i.e. human) contribution, decisive in the heating phase of the last 100 years. The fifth report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 2014 estimated that the average global surface temperature has increased by 0.85 [0.65-1.06] °C in the period 1880-2012. Most of the phenomena that cause the rise in temperature since the midtwentieth century are considered, within the IPCC report, anthropogenic. These phenomena are responsible for an increase of the natural phenomenon of the greenhouse effect. The natural greenhouse effect is part of the complex of thermal equilibrium adjustment mechanisms of a planet (or satellite) surrounded by an atmosphere, which, if it contains certain gases called greenhouse gases indeed, produces the overall effect of mitigating the temperature the global average surface of the planet, isolating partially by large swings in temperature or that would subject the planet in their absence. For giving an idea of the phenomena regarding the Earth, in the absence of greenhouse gases, by the equation of balance between in- and outgoing radiation is one which average surface temperature of the Earth would be of about -18 °C whereas, thanks to the presence of greenhouse gases, the actual value is about +14 °C, enabling life as we know it. The greenhouse effect is man-made increase in the natural greenhouse effect phenomenon due to the emission of greenhouse gases by human activities, including industry, agriculture, livestock, transport, power plants for civilian purposes. In particular industries, transport, energy production facilities and even tourism activities contribute to increasing emissions from fossil fuels such as methane and carbon dioxide (CO2) while agriculture and livestock, more and more intensive activities, date the growing food demand, contribute most to the emission of nitrous oxide and methane. Most production of methane is indeed due to the fermentation of typical livestock manure, also grew significantly, and the fermentation of crops to submergence (for example rice). To the list of greenhouse gases should be added the chlorofluorocarbons (CFC), the only man-made gas, mainly used in the production of spray cans. This type of cans, now banned from production in different countries, have been the subject of debate between eighty and two thousand years as they are considered responsible for the depletion of the ozone layer in the atmosphere.

In addition to global warming, the emission of CO2 into the atmosphere as a result of human activity has been determining also the phenomenon known as "acidification of the seas". As they explain on "Climalteranti", "For avoiding any doubts, the 'acidification' term does not mean that the sea water becomes acidic (i.e. that its pH becomes less than 7), instead it means that the pH decreases (by a few tenths) but remained above 8 that is basic or alkaline land: rather than 'acidification' should therefore strictly speaking use the term 'de-alkalization'".

However, the acidification mechanism is broadly explained in this way: around a third of the CO2 emitted by human activities is absorbed by the water of the seas where it turns into carbonic acid according to the reaction $CO2 + H2O \rightarrow H2CO3$. The carbonic acid in water has a low concentration and rapidly dissociates to form carbonate and bicarbonate ions and liberating H + ions. More CO2 is emitted into the atmosphere, the greater the concentration of H +, which is measured by the decrease in pH.

The reduction in global average pH over the last two centuries or so has been recently estimated that more than 0.1 on the logarithmic pH scale corresponds to an increase of about 30% of H + ions. Among the most well-known effects of acidification of the seas is the damage to coral reefs but the phenomenon affects all the seas, not only tropical ones. "And the organisms affected by acidification are just at the beginning of the marine food chain at the other end of which we are humans, not just the trendy sushi eaters but all people living from fishing and make it their main source of protein" (http://www.climalteranti.it/2010/07/16/il-gemello-cattivo-del-surriscaldamento-globale/).

Returning to the phenomenon of global warming, the first "Statement" IPCC lists several effects attributable to it with high confidence: increased sea temperatures; the melting of the polar ice caps and mountain ranges; the increase of extreme events like heavy rainfall, increased tropical cyclones and heat waves.

As will be described later, all these phenomena contribute, in different ways, to modify the environmental scenarios, economic and social, leading to a total increase of risk² on the territory, with consequent increase in the social vulnerability³ and migration of the small and large scale.

The main consequence of the increase in sea temperatures with implications on human life is a change in the composition of the fish fauna. For example, in the Mediterranean Sea there has for some years a species of tropical input (tropicalization Mediterranean), in many cases lessepsian or penetrated from the Red Sea through the Strait of Suez⁴; in the more northern basins like those Italians we are witnessing instead an increase of southern thermophilic species first found only on the North African coast (south Mediterranean). Especially in the eastern part of the Mediterranean these processes are having significant effects on the survival of native species, since that change ecosystems and the food chain.

A second consequence of global warming is the reduction of the ice in the polar caps, the permafrost5, ice on the mountain and frozen seas chains. Some implications of these phenomena that are well documented are the change of the territory in the areas concerned (including changes to the biological and agriculture network), as well as the increased risk of flooding due to the increase of the waters that flow along the rivers. The phenomenon of flooding of river basins has become in recent years a major problem in some areas of the world as well as in parts of Europe and in Italy. At this, in addition to melting ice, contributes significantly to the intensification of rainfall, other consequences of global warming also mentioned below.

Since the early 70s, the mass loss of glaciers and ice caps in Greenland and Antarctica and thermal expansion of the seas realize set of about 75% the rising of the global average sea level (high confidence). This phenomenon, together with the increase of the risk of heavy storms, can cause extensive damage on the architectural and building urban structures present on the coasts, in addition to ecological damage due to salt water intrusion in coastal aquifers, the intrusion of salt wedge estuaries, the loss or modification of the marine and coastal biodiversity. All these impacts have strong implications on

business activities conducted in the coastal areas, but also on the recreational, tourism and historical, artistic, and of all the agricultural practices carried out in the hinterland that receive irrigation drawn from sources that, intrusion, have brackish water.

As a final result of global warming is the intensification of the number and violence of extreme weather events (such as heavy rainfall, cyclones, floods, droughts, heat waves, etc.).

The intensification of the phenomenon of floods and tropical cyclones, as well as damage crops and infrastructure, increases the housing insecurity and determines both economic losses of private due to the same disaster is an increase in expenses to which public institutions have to opposite to remedy the disasters.

Finally, in this review of extreme events, it has been observed as heat waves and the lengthening of the dry spells lead to further pressure on already scarce water resources, increasing, especially in poor countries, problems of access to drinking water. This climatic phenomenon therefore has serious consequences in terms of public health, and damage to crops and thus decrease in food safety⁶, as well as in terms of land degradation, desertification and causing decrease in green space.

From all of the mentioned above you can well understand how the magnitude of climate change has increased in recent decades the risk of certain territories exposed to extreme events to affect the livability same in some areas even at high social vulnerability. Entire populations in some areas of the world are no (or insufficient) access to water, with the consequence of not being able to ensure the survival of their family, not being able to secure their income from agriculture or livestock (drought in the fields, die-off of farms), of not being able to have access to food. From this arises the increase of "environmental migration" and "eco-refugee problem". Even harsher climatic conditions do not push to migrate, these extreme events can still cause a deterioration of the health status of the population, resulting in increased social vulnerability.

As claimed by the IPCC, to address the problems of climate change requires action both in terms of mitigation (action aimed at developing research and technological innovation to reduce the emission of greenhouse gases, as well as actions to affect all actors, collective and individual, responsible for such issues) both in terms of adaptation (actions aimed to decrease, if not the danger of the events, social vulnerability or exposure and vulnerability of the territories). And such adaptation actions can be both structural (eg, actions to protect the environment and its safety measures and actions to ensure an adequate urban planning), is of a social nature (action to reduce the marginalization of social groups and poverty, reducing their vulnerability), both cultural (education and training aimed at changing the attitude of the individual and the community to the complexity of the phenomenon of climate change and its environmental, economic, political and social).

The fact that there are large margins for improvement even at the level of adaptation, as demonstrated by the fact that the policy could, and should, take decisive action to reduce the degradation of the land and therefore its vulnerability, engaging in a proper urban planning to regulate density housing and industrial land. To this should be added the spread by local administrations of environmental action (eg maintenance of the green, reforestation). Have a proper urban plan and promote a socially sustainable environmental policy would indeed have more livable cities, improve security of tenure and record minor damage in case of extreme events infrastructure.

More generally, the environmental phenomena impose socially inclusive policies that implement new welfare strategies to reduce the marginalization and poverty both locally and globally. Indeed, as claimed by the IPCC, a population with social rights (education, housing and health) and economic rights (work) is guaranteed a more receptive and active population with respect to mitigation and adaptation actions. In particular, there is a population able to assess the implications of their misconduct (and maybe to revisit some eating habits, transport and energy), but also to actively participate in collective actions to mitigate and develop a greater capacity to adaptation and resilience to extreme events. In order for all this to happen, however, necessary, in addition to information campaigns about the scientific results and raise awareness of the implications of their practices. innovative training strategies that induce a profound cultural change. The citizens of the twenty-first century must be guided to grasp the complexity of the relationship between environment, culture, economy, politics and society as well as to recognize the special features, even epistemological, of the scientific research process that is the basis of the study of climate change and that is directing the negotiation at international level and its repercussions in the local political level.

Without this cultural change, that the world of politics, education, research, universities and the media should encourage and pursue, continue to dominate, including citizenship, an old and stereotyped idea of science, conceived as the bearer of unquestioned certainties, whose members are experts delegate uncritically environmental impact, decisions that require a rational and shared decision making. In addition, as a result even more worrying, without this cultural change, citizenship would continue to respond to extreme events or locally taken decisions in a purely emotional way that is likely, on the one hand, underestimating the danger of the events and the importance of systems prevention and, on the other, of being helpless and increasingly vulnerable to the events themselves.

Annex A7 - Map of global warming



Annex A8 - Use and Production of Bio Fuels: the "Biodiesel story"

Transport is one of the crucial themes as far as mitigation of climate changes are concerned, as it plays a central role in the domain of greenhouse gases emissions. The WG3 report of the fifth *Intergovernamental Panel on Climate Change* (IPCC, 2014) reported that 25% emissions are a result of the energetic sector, 24% to agriculture and **stock-raising**, 21% to industry, 14% to transports and 6.4% to the building sector. The remaining 9.6% are to be attributed to other energetic sources (data provided in 2010). In this paper, we shall carry on an analysis focused on the sector of transports and, more precisely, in that area concerning bio fuels and biodiesel.

Before tackling an analysis of the core problem, we find it necessary to provide general information about "biomasses". By considering the definition provided in the Directive of the EU Parliament and European Council (EC/2009/28/ Art. 2) the word "biomass" refers to the biodegradable part of products, waste and dissolved solids of biological origin as from agriculture (including vegetarian and animal substances), forestry and connected industrial work, and then also covering fishing and aquaculture plus the biodegradable part of industrial and urban waste. During combustion, biomass emits a quantity of CO_2 into the atmosphere equal to the quantity previously absorbed by plants while processing chlorophyll photosynthesis⁵ and this is why the growing and combustion cycle of the biomass is defined as "zero energy balance"⁶.

Biodiesel is obtained by squeezing and by **transesterification**⁷ of oily biomass such as that from **soy seed** and **rapeseed** (**canola**). This is the bio fuel we intend to deal with, in this essay. As we already hinted at above, the use of this renewable source of energy is not necessarily favorable and it brings about consequences which may act at different levels. This is why the EU has commissioned extensive research aimed at understanding the variety of their impacts, while also quantifying their extent, in terms of both benefits

 $6\mathrm{CO}_2 + 6\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} + \mathrm{light} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{C}_6\mathrm{H}_{12}\mathrm{O}_6 + 6\mathrm{O}_2$

['] Transesterification consists in the transformation of an ester into another ester by means of an alcohol. Here following, see the represented model: an ester with an alcohol in reported on the left, while, on the right, find another ester plus another alcohol:



 $^{^{5}}$ The so called chlorophyll photosynthesis is a reaction which consists in the production of glucose and oxygen starting from the carbon dioxide in the atmosphere and from metabolic water, in the sunshine, as the following formula shows:

⁶ Balance is actually a "zero balance" when we avoid taking into consideration any other contribution to the growing of the biomass: if, instead, we contemplate the fact that vegetable and arboreal imply the use of synthetic chemical fertilizers and phytochemicals, besides agricultural machineries, irrigation pumps and means for the transportation of the produce, it all means that a large quantity of fossil fueling is needed and it produces CO_2 . That brings to the conclusion that there is no real balance as there is a clear-cut production of CO_2 because of the fossil fuels which are not renewable.

and risks. Following here, a summary of considerations concerning the above mentioned research is provided for.

Using biodiesel for transportations, instead of gasoline, brings about a reduction of two well-known greenhouse gases emission, CO (50% reduction) and CO₂ (78,45%). The reason of the reduction can be found in the mechanism of production of the biomass itself: the **carbon** emitted during combustion is the one that already existed in the atmosphere, fixed by vegetables during their growth. The carbon is not, unlike the case with gasoline, the offset which has been sedimented under the earth's crust from time immemorial.

Moreover, a 71% reduction of the emission of aromatic hydrocarbons is also documented; these compounds, that are naturally present both in oil and in carbon, are extremely toxic to the environment, human beings and animals as well as to flora and are numbered among the substances responsible for the ozone hole.

Furthermore using biodiesel, **sulfur dioxide** (SO₂) emissions are almost totally eliminated. This gas, once entered the atmosphere, interacts with oxygen and water vapor and forms sulfuric acid⁸. This, on turn, comes back onto the earth in the form of acid rain which brings acidification of the ground and of water resources, so causing severe damage to the natural environment in many industrialized regions.

Very important is also the reduction (50%) in the emission of particulates. These are held responsible for severe diseases in man's respiratory and circulatory systems. This is why it has become indispensable to introduce anti-particulates filters to vehicles. As to the greenhouse effect, instead, an increased quantity of particulates contributes to the increasing of aerosol^{9, that} helps the average of the global radiative forcing to decrease¹⁰, partially compensating greenhouse effect.

$$SO_2 + OH \bullet \rightarrow HOSO_2 \bullet$$

As the intermediate is highly reactive exactly because of the unpaired electron (\bullet), there immediately is one more reaction:

$$HOSO_2 \bullet + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 \bullet + SO_3$$

In the presence of water, Sulphur trioxide SO₃ becomes rapidly converted into Sulphuric acid H₂SO₄:

$$SO_3 + H_2O \rightarrow H_2SO_4$$

⁸ The chain of reactions that leads to the formation of acid rain is herewith reported and discussed. Sulphur dioxide in the atmosphere SO_2 is oxidized, so forming a reaction intermediate:

⁹ Atmospheric aerosol is composed by liquid or solid particles suspended in the air. It may form out of natural origins (ex: volcanoes) or anthropogenic (ex: emissions from industries and from transports) and can influence climate in multiple complex modes, because of its interaction with the radiation and with the clouds, either in terms of cooling or in terms of warming. Altogether, models and observations indicate that aerosol of anthropogenic origin has, on average, exerted an influence of cooling on the earth since pre-industrialization, the which has partially made up for that medium global warming due to the greenhouse gases, which would have occurred in case its influence were missing. The envisaged reduction of emissions of anthropogenic aerosol, undertaken by political acts aimed at making the quality of air healthier, could, in the future, "unmask" this warming (IPCC, 2014).

 $^{^{10}}$ Radiative forcing, W/m², is defined as the difference between solar radiation absorbed by the Earth and the reflected radiation: a positive radiative forcer brings greater radiation into the system and contributes to

The use of biodiesel is also associated with the increasing emissions of **nitric oxides** (NO_X) to the discharge (10 - 15%), which are greenhouse gases. It is however important to stress that, by considering the whole production chain, the biodiesel supply chain emits about 20% nitric oxide less than the oil supply chain. A number of nitric oxides are then reduced, among which N₂O₃ (dinitrogen trioxide) and N₂O₅ (dinitrogen pentoxide) which are water-soluble. Because of atmospheric humidity they may form nitrous acid and nitric acid both found in acid rain.

In order to examine the problem in the view of a balance among the various impacts, it is not enough to restrict the field of the analysis to the emissions deriving from the use of bio fuel. An investigation of the consequences deriving from its production process is necessary.

Biodiesel is produced in countries different from those that make use and benefit of it, mainly in African Countries (Locke & Henley, 2013).

An example of effect of the production process is the following: the conversion of terrains destined to the growing of plantations into areas where biodiesel is produced implies an increase of the price of raw materials in the Third World (compared to high transport costs of food imported from other Countries), resulting in the increase of food insecurity¹¹ both from the point of view of availability and of access to food.

its warming, while a negative one involves a larger quantity of radiation coming out and so contributing to the cooling of the system. Radiative forcing is influenced by a compound of greenhouse gases, and this is why IPCC (2014) defined it as "the influence a given factor plays in altering the balance between in-going and out-coming energy of the system Earth-atmosphere and it indicates the importance of that factor as a potential modifier in the field of climate change".

¹¹ In 1996, the *World Food Summit* defined food security as a situation when "all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preferences for an active and healthy life" (FAO, 1996). The 2009 *World Summit on Food Security* extended this definition, describing the four pillars of food security:

[•] availability: is the supply side of food security, determined by the level of production, stock levels and imports of food in the local area. Availability of foraged foods may also be important in certain contexts. Weather, yields, soil conditions, planting decisions, transport and storage infrastructure and a change in the trade regime can all affect availability;

access: is the economic and physical access to available food, mainly from the household perspective. This can be from purchases, gifts or transfers of food. Households' economic access to food is determined by overall household income, disposable income for food and food prices. Ease of physical access to markets to acquire food is influenced by the proximity of markets and other food sources (fields, forests etc.) and the existence and quality of infrastructure;

[•] use: is the way individuals are able to consume food, which has a direct impact on nutritional status and is closely linked to **feeding** practices, preparation and distribution of food between household members;

stability: is the maintenance of food security through time while an individual or household may temporarily be food-secure, outside shocks such as food price volatility, unemployment or harvest failures may undermine food security. Shifting demographics within a household, such as the birth or death of a child or other household member, may also affect the stability of food security over time.

Still considering the use of terrains, the spreading technique of monoculture for the production of biodiesel has implied a reduction in biodiversity and has enhanced the risk of growing species of insects and bacteria which strongly damage **crops**. As a consequence, it causes an increase of the price of the few raw materials left. An extensive use of monoculture also raises the risk of soil erosion and the progressively increase of its vulnerability; this contributes to the increase in food insecurity from the point of view of stability, because both local economics and populations come to face times of shortage in the production of crops. The increased vulnerability of agricultural lands caused by insects and parasites also involves a larger use of pesticides which contain nitrous oxide (N₂O), a greenhouse gas that adds to the ozone hole.

The introduction of biomass cultivations might however support the production of crops of owners of small lands and land administrators, who may sell and/or hire their own lands or reach to agreement with large companies: the companies provide technical knowledge about the production of biomass (fertilizers, agrochemical products, a variety of seeds), and/or adequate technological means and, in exchange, they owners or administrations guarantee the companies preferential treatment when buying raw material. This innovation may increase crops and, consequently, the availability of food products, thus conveniently contributing to improving food security¹², even if this would happen only to the involved social class, which means to a small section of the population.

Finally, the presence of cultivations for biodiesel, managed by large companies, may require to enhance the building of social facilities such as roads, electricity networks, paid by the company itself or by the government. This general improvement may, on turn, bring about advantages in traveling, so also favoring working and studying opportunities, as well as an easier way of reaching market places. This would also increase both economic and physical access for people to food stock.

References

- Cames, M. & Helmers, E. (2013). <u>Critical evaluation of the European diesel car boom</u> <u>global comparison, environmental effects and various national strategies</u>. [accessed 2 June 2017] Environmental Sciences Europe, 25(15). DOI: 10.1186/2190-4715-25-15
- Casadei S., Caserini S., & Pastorello C. (2014). *Perspectives of Reduction of Greenhouse Gases Let out in Road Transportation. Climalteranti* (blog), March 2, 2014, <u>http://www.climalteranti.it/2014/03/02/prospettive-di-riduzione-di-gas-serradal-trasporto-su-strada/#more-3663</u> [accessed 2 June 2017]
- Casadei S., Caserini S., & Vitullo M. (2014). *Conflicts in Polcies on Climate and Air: the Case of Diesel. Climalteranti* (blog), January 27, 2014, <u>http://www.climalteranti.it/2014/01/27/i-conflitti-fra-le-politiche-sul-clima-e-</u> <u>sullaria-il-caso-del-diesel/</u> [accessed 2 June 2017]
- Caserini S., Vitullo M., Grassi G., & Brocchieri F. (2016). *The Proposal of European Effort Sharing until* 2030: -33% for Italy. *Climalteranti* (blog), September 15, 2016,

¹² From Govareh J., Jayne T.S. & Nyoro J. (1999). *Smallholder Commercialization, Interlinked Markets and Food Crop Productivity: Cross-country Evidence in Eastern and Southern Africa.* Lansing, MI: Università del Michigan; cited in Locke & Henley.

http://www.climalteranti.it/2016/09/15/la-proposta-di-effort-sharing-europeo-al-2030-33-per-litalia/#more-5983 [accessed 2 June 2017]

- EEA Report (2013). *Greenhouse gas emission trends and projections in Europe 2012*. EEA Report No. 6/2012. ISSN 1725-9177
- EPC (European Parliament and Council) Directive 2009/28/CE of the EPC of April 23rd 2009 about the promotion of the use of energy from renewal sources, including modification and repeal 2001/77/CE e 2003/30/CE, L 140/16, 5.6.2009, <u>http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/IT/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32009L0028&from=IT</u> [accessed 2 June 2017]
- Giliberto J. (2016). *The Biodisel of the Future from Marghera*. *Il Sole 24ore* (periodical paper), January 16, 2016, <u>http://www.ilsole24ore.com/art/impresa-e-territori/2016-01-16/da-marghera-bio-diesel-futuro-081452.shtml?uuid=ACjpcRBC</u> [accessed 2 June 2017]
- Hill, N., Brannigan, C.; Smokers, R.; Schroten, A., van Essen, H., and Skinner, I. (2012).
 <u>Developing a Better Understanding of the Secondary Impacts and Key Sensitivities</u> for the Decarbonisation of the EU's Transport Sector by 2050 [accessed 2 June 2017]
 Final project report produced as part of a contract between European Commission Directorate-General Climate Action and AEA Technology plc; see website www.eutransportghg2050.eu

International Energy Agency (2016). CO2 emissions from fuel combustion, (Highlights, 2016 edition). IEA Bookshop,

http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/KeyCO2EmissionsTrends. pdf [accessed 2 June 2017]

IPCC (2014). Climate Change: Synthesis Report.

- Locke, H. & Henley, G. (2013). A Review of the Literature on Biofuels and Food Security at a Local Level. Assessing the State of the Evidence. ODI.
- Ministry of Economic Development Department of the Environment and of the Tutelage of the Terrain and the Sea, Department of Transport and Infrastructure (2013). 2013 Guide to Fuel Saving Strategies and to Cars' Emissions of CO2 http://images.to.camcom.it/f/VigilanzaRegolazione/19/19961_CCIAATO_182013.pd f [accessed 2 June 2017]

Wikipedia, https://it.wikipedia.org/wiki/Biodiesel [accessed 2 June 2017]



Annex A9 - Cause-effect map of the "Biodiesel story"



Annex A10 - Feedback loops for the "Biodiesel story"

Annex A11 - Cause-effect map of the "Biodiesel story" with the feedback areas highlighted



Annex A12 - The Fishback Game: rules of the game

In order to play the game you need:

- 4 players
- 30 fish cards
- 60 money cards
- 24 cards of 6 different types
- price chart
- table for rounds and year marker (a seed or a little coin is perfect!)

Setup

Prepare 24 fishes on the table, forming the pool.

Put at the corner of the table 60 money cards, forming the bank.

Give each player the 0\$ card.

Rules

The game is structured on 10 rounds (10 years), and every round has 8 different phases:

- fishing expedition: all players, at the same time, play one card among the ones they acquired;
- determination of priority: players can invest extra money, among the ones they acquired, to take priority in the harvest phase; they can do so bidding freely and the highest bidder gets the priority and gives the money to the bank (this phase is not necessary but it may be useful in time of scarcity later in the game);
- harvest: starting from the player who has won the priority (or from the youngest player in case there has not been assigned any priority) and proceeding clockwise, players catch the number of fish corresponding to the technology card they played; if the condition "more than 15 fish in the pool" on a card is not met at the beginning of a player's turn, the businessman-player will not catch any fish;
- determination of market price: determine the price of fish for the year by looking at the price chart line corresponding to the total number of fish caught by all players this year;
- income: each player gats from the bank an amount equal to the market place multiplied by the number of fish he caught this year;

- investment: starting from the player who has won the priority and proceeding clockwise, players can decide to acquire other cards by paying the cost reported on the top right corner; the cards a player buys are never discarded and return in player's hands, so at every expedition phase they decide which one to use;
- regeneration: for each 3 remaining fish in the pool, add 1 fish up to a maximum of 30;
- end year: move the year marker to the next space to keep trace of the rounds; if the marker is on the last year, the game ends and the winner is the player with most money; otherwise the player with the priority passes it to the player on his left and a new year starts.

Aim of the game

The game can end before the 10^{th} round if all resources have been exhausted or after 3 consecutive turns with scarce harvest (less than 7 fishes on the market): in these cases everybody loses.

Annex A13 - The Fishback Game: printable material

S **(3**) ß \$ S \$ S









Annex A14 - The Fishback Game: feedback loops

For a brief introduction at the concept of feedback in the science of complex systems, the video at the following link is suggested:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=inVZoI1AkC8.

Negative feedback loops

Definition: with negative feedback, we mean the situation in which the results of a system soften its causes.

- A first balancing loop is the one that tries to move the present state toward the desired state (the limit of thirty fishes) through reproduction, balancing in this way the loss because of fishing and natural death of fishes.
- A second negative feedback is the law of demand, which is a general law in macroeconomics. It states that, as the price of a good increases, quantity demanded decreases; conversely, as the price of a good decreases, quantity demanded increases. In our situation, the more we fish, the bigger is the offer and the smallest is price of every fish; this causes, at the following round, less fishing activity which brings to less offer and, then, to an higher price of fish, and so on. This feedback loop should be a deterrent against overfishing, so that the market price does not decrease.

Positive feedback loops

Definition: with positive feedback, we mean the situation in which the results of a system strengthen its causes.

- A first reinforcing loop is the so called "rich gets richer" with regard to the fact that who has got more cards can fish more and receives more money, so he can buy other important cards that allow to fish a lot and receive a lot of money.
- In scarcity periods (when few fishes can be fished) the market is empty, so that there is a big temptation to extract the remaining resources in order to reach a higher gain: a positive feedback loop raises because there is scarcity of fishes, so the fishing activity is limited; but the gains are big and this causes a prosecution in fishing activities, worsening further the scarcity.

Annex A15 - Probable, possible and desirable futures for the Town Irene

Irene is a small country town of about 8.000 inhabitants run-through by a large communication road. It counts three commercial areas, operating in the food sector. It is situated few kms away from an important commercial area which, though, is under the jurisdiction of a different Municipal Administration.

The first of its commercial areas in the center, right on one side of the main road, has a large parking area and is managed by the Degli Esposti family who sells homemade selected products. It is a well furnished and very looked-after shop, and there is a small coffee bar next to it. The two facilities, shop and coffee bar, stand in as favorite meeting points for the whole community, as there are only few other shops nearby. Because of the economic crisis, business has not been, one would say, in full sail, in recent years, and the profit margin has progressivly gone down. Ensured stability has been possible thanks to the fact that family managing has allowed a reduction of costs in the employement of personnel, still assuring an unchanged carefullness of both space and offer.

There is a small supermarket at a short distance away from the small town, but still on the main road, towards an artisanal area, where more similar activities can be found. The owner, Ettore, who has managed the activity for many years, has six employees but, though being allowed permission by the existing urbanistic regulations, he has never renovated his offer nor enlarged his place, so to extend the range of available products. The reason why is to be found in his approaching retirement age and the scarse money possessed to invest. These have caused the owner to go on along a minimal strategy.

In a rather near future, the management may be taken over by an entrepreneur or by a large chain. Though this has not happened yet, one can believe that a potential investor may find the area appealing, exactly by virtue of its possible extension and renovation. Despite the vicinity to the commercial area, the town planning scheme features an extensive expansion and even doubling the existing surface of the supermarket, in a district already compromised from the environmental point of view.

Finally, farther off the town center, about 2 kms away from the supermarket, there is a small discount store belonging to a large chain, where 10 employees work. The present Urban Planning Regulations would not allow any possibility of expansion here. The chain owners wish they could double the surface and add a nearby parking lot where now there is an agricultural field, but they should have an alteration of the urban regulations approved by the Municipal Council.

The investment would be directed towards the realization of an innovative unit, destined to the sale of biologic unpacked products (meat, fruit, vegetables, dairy products), plus annexed storage unit. A big photovoltaic plant would be installed on the roof. Being the discount market and the biologic shop close to each other, would imply the employement of 4 more people only.

Before asking for an urban plan alteration, the chain owners has taken into consideration the hypothesis of buying the above mentioned supermarket where urban regulations would already allow the realization of the project. This event would avoid the possibility for a new competitor to come by, yet, on the other hand, this would imply the necessity to increase costs for more needed employees. As a consequence, this view has been rejected and an alteration of the urban plan has been requested.



municipal border

Activity 1: Analysis of the situation and identifications of scenarios

Activity 1a)

Imagine you are the public administrator requested to make a choice on whether to grant the plan alteration asked for by the owner of the discount.

Before you make a decision, analyse and outline a planning scheme of the situation aknowledging a) the stakeholders, b) their needs and interests, c) the existing interactions between them. Use a map as a mean for outlining your analysis.

If you think you need more data to have a more precise view of the urban and social situation of *Irene*, introduce these "missing data" or provide a context to the problem as you wish, making your choices explicit.

Activity 1b)

Starting from the plan scheme of the present situation, now make sense of any potential effects (social, economic, occupational, environmental) which the two options may arise (expansion allowed or denied). Identify and describe two probable scenarios at 2025: the first will have to illustrate a probable condition of evolution of the system as a consequence of *granted expansion*; the second must envisage a probable situation of evolution after a *denied expansion*.

Drohahla	
Probable	
Scenario after	
YES	
Probable	
Scenario after	
NO	
110	

Activity 2: Identification of *positive and negative feedbacks* arising from given scenarios.

Beside the already identified probable scenarios, we now supply you with two more *possible* scenarios in the view of an evolution of the town Irene from 2017 to 2025. We now ask you to detect, at least, one outcome from the <u>positive and/or the negative feedback</u> for each of the given scenarios and to justify it.

Scenario 3:

In 2025 the town has become an attractive center thanks to its many commercial activities which have developed beyond the commercial area, all along the large communication road. Important commercial chains and outlet shops attract people from outside the area and have offered young people more than 100 jobs, but the historical centre has become progressively empty. There is still a coffee bar left which has been seeing only the elderly people of the town, while the young, thanks to the time they have to spare, tend to move to nearby towns.

Feedback	
(indicate whether	
it is a positive or	
a negative one)	
U <i>y</i>	

Scenario 4:

In 2025 the town has become a centre of attraction for a local and diversified tourism, thanks to the gastronomic offer of special homemade products the shops and the restaurants make; indeed they are still present in the centre and very looked-after, though not exclusive. The farmers of the area have entered agreements with the supermarkets and with some of the shops that sell A-Products (km0) and they stand street stall markets in the historical center, twice a month, that attract even whole families with children, then also young people, drawn by creative artistic events organized near the market. There are now two coffee bars.

Feedback	
(indicate whether	
it is a positive or	
a negative	
feedback)	

Activity 3: Desirable Future

Discuss with the members of your group in order to find a catchphrase that characterizes Irene as the ideal town where to live or to visit in 2025. Also provide a description in terms of *'desirable scenario'*.

Catchphrase: Scenario 5:

Your group and you plan an action which you may undertake (as singles and/or as a group) in the present, in order to favour the realization of your desired scenario. As you plan the action to undertake, describe: a) who you are and the position you hold when realizing the action (for ex.: political decision maker, private citizen, an association, society, company or firm, a bank, the headmaster of a school, etc.), b) what you intend to do, c) why you think this action favours the realization of your desirable scenario.

Who we are:	
What we do:	
what we do:	
Why the action favours the realization of the scenario:	
willy the action favours the realization of the scenario.	

Activity 4: The decision

Will you allow expansion or not? Why?

Annex A16 - Pre-questionnaire (Q₁)

Name:	S	urname:		Date:
1. We often hear at discuss the reality phenomena are real	oout climate c of these pho ?	hange and/or enomena. To	global warming an what extent do	d, not infrequently, we you believe that these
□ Very much	\square Enough	□ Little	\square Not at all	\Box I don't know
→If you answered	"Not at all", p	lease justify y	your answer:	

2. By referring to the items listed below, to what extent do you think they are <u>causes</u> of the climate change?

		Very much	Enough	Little	Not at all	I don't know
А	Accumulation in the atmosphere of GHE produced by individuals					
	A.1. Transports					
	A.2 Food					
	A.3 House energy					
В	Accumulation in the atmosphere of GHE produced by the industries					
С	Livestock					
D	Intensive agriculture					
Е	The ozone hole					
F	Deforestation					
G	Pollution (specify what you intend:					
Н	Nuclear energy					
J	Other (specify:)					

Please, justify your answer:

.....

3. What are the phenomena of which you've heard as <u>consequences</u> of the climate change? Which of these phenomena scares you the most?

·····

4. Is there anything that you have changed in your lifestyle thinking to climate change?

\Box Yes \Box No

4.a. If you answered "yes", please explain in what sense:
4.b. If you answered "no":
You really would like something but you do not anything because:

□ You are not doing anything and you won't do anything because:

.....

5. How much have you learned about climate change, from each of the following sources?

	Very much	Enough	Little	Not at all
Television				
Internet				
Books or scientific magazines				
Radio				
Movies				
School				
Family and friends				
Environmental associations				
Conferences and/or museums				
Seminars and/or events				

6. How much do you trust the following sources of information about the issue of climate change?

	Very much	Enough	Little	Not at all
Scientific programs on television				
Scientists				
Museums of science or natural history				
Family and friends				
Politicians				
Environmental associations				
University professors				
School teachers				
TV news				
TV reports on weather				

7. You've surely heard about greenhouse effect, what is it? Try to explain, with your words, the mechanism that is at the base of this phenomenon.

.....

8. In physics or generally in science, you have certainly heard about system. How would

you define it? What properties do you identify? You can help yourself by providing some examples.

9. You have surely heard the word feedback. In what contexts have you heard it? What meaning do you ascribe to this word? Have you ever heard about it referring to science? In your opinion, what does it mean when it is referred to physical systems? Try to give some example.

10. What does it mean, in your opinion, to make predictions (relating to climate but not only)?

•••••	

Annex A17 - Intermediate questionnaire (Q₂)

Name:	Surname:	Date:	
1. Thinking at the adby trying to provide	ctivities done so far, how would e examples.	l you define a ''system''? Help you	rself
2. Thinking at the a "feedback"? If so, v	ctivities done so far, has your vhat new meanings do you attr	idea changed with respect to the v bute to it?	 vord
2.a What is a positi	ve feedback? How would you o	lefine it?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.b What is a negat	ive feedback? How would you	define it?	
			· · · · ·

2.c Given the following examples, indicate if they are positive or negative feedbacks and justify your answer.

Example	Feedback	Justify your answer
Sweating regulates the body temperature in this way: when the environmental temperature grows, also the body heat grows and this causes perspiration; however, sweat, evaporating, reduces the body temperature.		
The mechanism of usury is the following: initial economic requirement, demand for a loan with very high interest rates,		
inability to repay the loan, worsening of initial economic need and increase of the debt.		
--	--	
The water level regulation mechanism in the water flush tank works as follows: the increase in liquid level in the tank causes float lifting, which in turn acts on a valve by closing the water flow.		
The propagation of the nervous impulse occurs as follows: the depolarization of a nervous cell makes the inflow of sodium grow in the cell which, in turn, increases the depolarization.		

2.d Write at least another example of positive feedback and at least another example of negative feedback: choose the field you prefer (economic, social, physical, biological, ...) and draw the relative schematic representation.

3. What does it mean making prediction when referred to climate change? What have you learnt about this aspect from the activities that have been carried out so far in the course?

 	•••••	
 	•••••	

4. What advantages and disadvantages have you found in using simulations to address the concepts of the science of complex systems?

Annex A18 - Introduction to Logical Framework Approach

Developed in the late 1960's, the *Logical Framework Approach* (LFA) is at the same time an analytical process and a set of tools designed to support project planning and management. It has been described as an 'aid to thinking' because its aim is to give structure to the analysis so that important questions can be asked, weakness identified and decision makers can make informed decisions based on their improved understanding of the project rationale, its intended objectives and the means by which objectives will be achieved".

The stages of LFA

The LFA can be divided in two main macro-stages which in turn are divided in secondary steps:

- 1) macro-stage of analysis consists of:
 - I) Stakeholder analysis, in order to identify and characterize influent stakeholders within the project;
 - II) Problem analysis, in order to identify key-problems, constraints and opportunities and to determine cause-effect relationships;
 - III) Objectives analysis, in order to develop solutions from the identified problems;
 - IV) Strategy analysis, in order to identify different options to achieve solutions, with the aim of selecting the most appropriate one;
- 2) *macro-stage of planning* consists of:
 - V) Developing Logical Framework matrix (LFm), in order to define project structure, test its internal logic and risks, formulate measurable indicators of success;
 - VI) Activity scheduling, in order to determine the sequence and dependency of activities, estimate their duration and assign responsibility;
 - VII)Resource scheduling, in order to develop input schedules and a budget.

The scheme above has not to lead someone to think that LFA is a banal algorithm, a set of linear step to execute in sequence one after one other: rather, analysis and planning are iterative processes which expect that one returns back at a certain phase more and more time during the study.

In the followings, the first five phases of LFA are briefly illustrated and exemplified, referring to an issue of river water pollution and its impact on income and health.

I) Stakeholder analysis

With the word *stakeholder* are considered all those individuals, groups of people, institutions or firms that may have a significant interest in the success or failure of the project in exam. During this step of the analysis macro-stage, the key questions are 'Whose problems or opportunities are we analysing?' and 'Who will benefit or loose-out, and how, from a proposed project intervention?'.

To support stakeholder analysis a variety of tools can be used: some suggested options are stakeholder analysis matrix, SWOT matrix, Venn diagrams and spider diagrams.

The stakeholder analysis matrix (Table 1) is a flexible tool because the type of information collected, analysed and presented in the columns of such a matrix can be adapted to meet the needs of different circumstances.

Stakeholder and basic characteristics	Interests and how affected by the problem(s)	Capacity and motivation to bring about change	Possible actions to address stakeholder interests
Fishing families: c.20,000 families, low income earners, small scale family businesses, organised into informal cooperatives, women actively involved in fish processing and marketing	Maintain and improve their means of livelihood Pollution is affecting volume and quality of catch Family health is suffering, particularly children and mothers	Keen interest in pollution control measures Limited political influence given weak organizational structure	 Support capacity to organize and lobby Implement industry pollution control measures Identify/develop alternative income sources for women and men
Industry X: Large scale industrial operation, poorly regulated and no-unions, influential looby group, poor environmental record	Maintain/increase profits Some concern about public image Concern about costs if environmental regulations enforced	Have financial and technical resources to employ new cleaner technologies Limited current motivation to change	Raise their awareness of social and environmental impact Mobilise political pressure to influence industry behaviour Strengthen and enforce environmental laws
Households: c.150,000 households discharge waste and waste water into river, also source some drinking water and eat fish from the river	Aware of industrial pollution and impact on water quality Want to dispose of own waste away from the household Want access to clean water	Limited understanding of the health impact of their own waste/ waste water disposal Potential to lobby government bodies more effectively Appear willing to pay for improved waste management services	 Raise awareness of households as to implications of their own waste disposal practices Work with communities and local government on addressing water and sanitation issues
Environmental protection agency: Etc	etc	etc	etc

Table 1. Stakeholder analysis matrix.

The SWOT matrix (Table 2) is used to analyse the internal strengths and weaknesses of an organization and the external opportunities and threats that it faces; in the reported example - related to the river water pollution issue - the organization considered is a fishing cooperative.

Strengths	Weaknesses
 Grassroots based and quite broad membership Focused on the specific concerns of a relatively homogenous group Men and women both represented Provide a basic small scale credit facility 	 Limited lobbying capacity and environmental management skills Lack of formal constitutions and unclear legal status Weak linkages with other organizations Internal disagreements on limiting fishing effort in response to declining fish stocks
Opportunities	Threats
 Growing public/political concern over health impacts of uncontrolled waste disposal New government legislation in preparation on Environmental Protection – largely focused on making polluters pay The river is potentially rich in resources for local consumption and sale New markets for fish and fish products developing as a result of improved transport infrastructure to nearby population centers 	 Political influence of industrial lobby groups who are opposed to tighter environmental protection laws (namely waste disposal) New environmental protection legislation may impact on access to traditional fishing grounds and the fishing methods that can be employed

Table 2. SWOT matrix.

The Venn diagram (Figure 1) is useful for analysing and illustrating the nature of relationships between key stakeholder groups. The dimension of circles indicates the

relative power of each group, whilst the spatial separation indicates the relative strength or weakness of the interaction between different groups. In the practice of LFA, Venn diagrams are commonly used as a participatory planning tool with target groups to help them profile their concept of such relationships: in this way, the dimension of circles becomes the *perceived* relative power of each group and the spatial separation becomes the *perceived* relative strength or weakness of the interaction between different groups. In the reported example the perspective chosen is that of fishing families.



Figure 1. Venn diagram.

The spider diagram (Figure 2) can be used to help analyse and provide a visual summary of institutional capacity; in the reported example there is the analysis of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The result of the analysis is that the EPA has relatively strong (~3) technical and financial management skills, that its policy and planning systems are also fairly robust (~2) but that has some critical shortcomings (~1) in terms of transparency and accountability, its relationship with other agencies and with its clients.



Figure 2. Spider diagram.

The stakeholder analysis and the subsequent phase of problem analysis are closely connected as part of the initial situation analysis; because of this mutual connection between them, they should in practice be conducted in tandem rather than one after the other.

II) Problem analysis

The main goal of this step of the analysis stage is to identify the negative aspects of an existing situation and establish the cause-effect relationships between the identified problems, answering the question 'What are the problems?' and 'Whose problems?'. After having define the framework and the subject of analysis, it follows the identification of the major problems faced by target groups and beneficiaries, then the problems are visualized in form of a diagram called a 'problem tree' which summarize the cause-effect relationships. The tree (Figure 3) should provide a robust but simplified version of reality: it does not contain nor explain the complexity of every cause-effect relationship because, if it did, it would be useless in addressing the subsequent steps in the analysis.



Figure 3. Problem tree.

III) Objectives analysis

After having analysed stakeholders and problems, it is necessary to describe the situation in the future, once identified problems have been removed. In this phase, the first thing to do is reformulating all negative situations of the problem analysis into positive situations that are at the same time desirable and realistically achievable; secondly, the cause-effect relationships of the problem tree are transformed in means-ends linkages that ensure the validity and completeness of the hierarchy of objectives. The product of this phase of analysis is a diagram called 'objective tree' (Figure 4). Its structure is the same of the problem tree in Figure 3 and the difference consists in the fact that the negative situations (like 'river water quality is deteriorating' and 'polluters are not controlled') in the problem tree are expressed as positive achievements (like 'river water quality is improved' and 'polluters are effectively controlled') in the objective tree.



Figure 4. Objective tree.

IV) Strategy analysis

This analytical stage is considered the most difficult and challenging, as it involves synthesising a lot of information in order to make a complex judgement about the best implementation strategies to pursue. The main question that can guide the strategy analysis is 'What is the combination of interventions that are most likely to bring about the desired results and promote sustainability of benefits?'. In practice, a number of compromises often have to be made to balance different stakeholder interest, political demands and practical constraints such as the likely resource availability.



Figure 5. Objective tree equipped with strategy selection.

The key criteria for strategy selection could include expected contribution to key policy objectives (e.g. poverty reduction, economic integration), benefits to target groups, complementarity with other ongoing or planned programmes, capital and operating cost implications, financial and economic cost-benefit, contribution to institutional capacity building, technical feasibility, environmental impact.

The result of the strategy analysis with regard to our specific issue is summarised in Figure 5. The starting point is the objective tree on which it is indicated the choice made to focus the project primarily on a waste-water strategy. During the moment of strategy selection, a distinction between results, purpose and overall objective is done and it is reported also on the objective tree.

V) Developing Logical Framework matrix

The result of the stakeholder, problem, objectives and strategy analysis are used as the basis for preparing the Logical Framework matrix (also called *Logframe matrix*). The basic matrix consists of four columns and three-four rows; the information contained in each column and row is summarized in the table in Table 3.

Project Description	Indicators	Source of Verification	Assumptions
Overall objective: The broad development impact to which the project contributes – at a national or sectoral level (provides the link to the policy and/or sector programme context)	Measures the extent to which a contribution to the overall objective has been made. Used during evaluation. However, it is often not appropriate for the project itself to try and collect this information.	Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it (including who and when/how frequently).	
Purpose: The development outcome at the end of the project – more specifically the expected benefits to the target group(s)	Helps answer the question 'How will we know if the purpose has been achieved'? Should include appropriate details of quantity, quality and time.	Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it (including who and when/how frequently)	Assumptions (factors outside project management's control) that may impact on the purpose-objective linkage
Results: The direct/tangible results (good and services) that the project delivers, and which are largely under project management's control	Helps answer the question 'How will we know if the results have been delivered'? Should include appropriate details of quantity, quality and time.	Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it (including who and when/how frequently)	Assumptions (factors outside project management's control) that may impact on the result-purpose linkage

Table 3. Information contained in the Logframe matrix.

It is important that the matrix includes only the project overall objectives, purpose and results, so that activities and the details of inputs and budget are described and documented separately: this is particularly useful because they are usually subject to regular review and change, and their inclusion in the Logframe matrix would mean that the matrix must be revised more frequently than is often the case to keep it current and relevant.

As all the process of LFA, also the preparation of a Logframe matrix is an iterative process; anyway, there is a general sequence which is often followed to completing the matrix: it is illustrated in Table 4, where an additional row, for a concise description of the activities, has been attached.

Project Description	Indicators	Sources of verification	Assumptions
Overall objective 1	8	9	
Purpose 2	10	0	7
Results 3	Ð	13	6
Activities (optional inclusion in the matrix)	Not included	Not included	(optional inclusion in the matrix)

Table 4. General sequence for the completion of a Logframe matrix.

The first column describes the means-ends logic of the entire project, also called the 'intervention logic'. Reading it from the top down, we can say that if we wish to contribute to the overall objective, then we must achieve the purpose; if we wish to achieve the purpose, then we must deliver the specified results; if we wish to deliver the results, then the specified activities must be implemented; if we wish to implement the specified activities, then we must apply identified inputs or resources. Some example,

about our issue of river water pollution, of how to write statements in the first column are written in Table 5.

Objective hierarchy	Example of how to write statements
Overall objective	To contribute to improved family health, particularly of under 5s, and the general health of the riverine eco-system
Purpose	1. Improved river water quality
Results	1.1 Reduced volume of waste-water directly discharged into the river system by households and factories
	1.2 Waste-water treatment standards established and effectively enforced
Activities	1.1.1 Conduct baseline survey of households and businesses
(may not be included in the matrix itself,	1.1.2 Complete engineering specifications for expanded sewerage network
but rather presented in an activity	1.1.3 Prepare tender documents, tender and select contractor
schedule format)	1.1.4 Identify appropriate incentives for factories to use clean technologies
	1.1.5 Prepare and deliver public information and awareness program
	1.1.6 etc

Table 5. Examples of how to write statements in the first column of theLogframe matrix.

The fourth column regards the assumptions, that are external factors that have the potential to influence the success of a project, but lie outside the direct control of project managers. Once the activities have been carried out and if the assumptions at this level hold true, results will be achieved; once these results and the assumptions at this level are fulfilled, the project purpose will be achieved; once the purpose has been achieved and the assumption at this level are fulfilled, contribution to the achievement of the overall objectives will have been made by the project.

The probability of these assumptions holding true needs to be further analysed to help assess not only the project's feasibility but also how risky the project is. A useful way of assessing the importance of assumptions during design is with the assumptions assessment flowchart shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Assumptions assessment flowchart.

An example of assumptions that can be made about our issue of river water pollution is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Example of assumptions.

The second column of the Logframe matrix contains the indicators that might be used to measure and report on the achievement of objectives; their complete name is Objectively Verifiable Indicators because their aim is giving information that is the same if collected by different people. They are formulated in response to the questions 'How would we know whether or not what has been planned is actually happening or happened? How do we verify success?'. In order to write good OVIs, it is suggested to think to them as SMART: indeed they have to be Specific to the objective it is supposed to measure, Measurable, Available at an acceptable cost, Relevant to the information needs of managers and Time-bound. A specification about the measurable feature has to be done: the indicators may provide not only quantitative but also important qualitative information, such as the opinions of target groups.

The third column gives the sources of verification that help to test whether or not the indicators can be realistically measured at the expense of a reasonable amount of time, money and effort. A well-written source of verification should specify how the information should be collected and the available documented source, who should collect the information and how regularly it should be provided.

The most important indicators and means of verifications, from the project manager's perspective, are the result and purpose ones; indeed it is not generally the responsibility of the project itself to collect information on the contribution of the project to the overall objectives. In Table 6 in shown an example of possible indicators and sources of verification for the purpose of the river water pollution reduction project.

After having briefly described each column and row, an example of how key elements of the draft Logframe matrix might look for the river water pollution reduction project is shown in Table 7.

Project description	Indicator	Source of Verification
Project description Purpose Improved quality of river water	The Indicator: Concentration of heavy metal compounds (Pb, Cd, Hg) and untreated sewerage The Quantity: Is reduced by 25% compared to levels in 2003 The Quality: And meets established national health/pollution control standards	Weekly water quality surveys, jointly conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency and the River Authority, and reported monthly to the Local Government Minister for Environment (Chair of Project Steering Committee).
	The Time: By end of 2006	

Table 6. Example of an indicator and a source of verification.

Project description	Indicators	Means of Verification	Assumptions
Overall objective To contribute to improved family health, particularly the under 5s, and to improve the general health of the riverine eco-system	- Incidence of water borne diseases, skin infections and blood disorders caused by heavy metals, reduced by 50% by 2008, specifically among low- income families living along the river	- Municipal hospital and clinic records, including maternal and child health records collected by mobile MCH teams. Results summarized in an Annual State of the Environment report by the EPA.	
Purpose Improved quality of river water	- Concentration of heavy metal compounds (Pb, Cd, Hg) and untreated sewerage; reduced by 25% (compared to levels in 2003) and meets established national health/pollution control standards by end of 2007	- Weekly water quality surveys, jointly conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency and the River Authority, and reported monthly to the Local Government Minister for Environment (Chair of Project Steering Committee)	 The pubic awareness campaign conducted by the Local Government impacts positively on families sanitation and hygiene practices Fishing cooperatives are effective in limiting their members exploitation of fish 'nursery' areas
Result 1 Volume of waste-water directly discharged into the river system by households and factories reduced	- 70% of waste water produced by factories and 80% of waste water produced by households is treated in plants by 2006	- Annual sample survey of households and factories conducted by Municipalities between 2003 and 2006	 River flows maintained above X mega litres per second for at least 8 months of the year Upstream water quality remains stable
Result 2 Waste-water treatment standards established and effectively enforced	- Waste water from 4 existing treatment plants meets EPA quality standards (heavy metals and sewerage content) by 2005	 - EPA audits (using revised standards and improved audit methods), conducted quarterly and reported to Project Steering Committee 	- EPA is successful in reducing solid waste disposal levels by factories from X to X tons per year
Etc			

Table 7. Example of key elements of a draft Logframe matrix.

Evaluation criteria developed with LFA

With the development of its analysis tools, LFA provides also some criteria for the evaluation of the project itself. They are described in the followings:

- relevance: measures the appropriateness of project objectives to the problems that it was supposed to address, and to the physical and policy environment within which it operated;
- efficiency: indicates the fact that the project results have been achieved at reasonable cost (this criterion requires comparing alternative approaches to achieving the same results, to see whether the most efficient process has been adopted);
- effectiveness: is an assessment of the contribution made by results to achievement of the project purpose and how assumptions have affected project achievements;
- impact: measures the effect of the project on its wider environment and its contribution to the wider policy or sector objectives;
- sustainability: refers to the assessment of the likelihood of benefits produced by the project to continue to flow after external funding has ended, and with particular reference to factors of ownership by beneficiaries, policy support, economic and financial factors, socio-cultural aspects, gender equality, appropriate technology, environmental aspects and institutional and management capacity.

The links between the evaluation criteria and the Logframe objective hierarchy is represented in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Representation of the links between evaluation criteria and the Logframe.

Strengths and common problems with the application of the LFA

The LFA does not presume to provide magic solutions to complex problems because, like every other analytical tool, has not only strengths but also difficulties. Some of these points are summarised in Table 8.

Element	Strengths	Common problems/difficulties
Problem analysis and objective setting	 Requires systematic analysis of problems, including cause and effect relationships Provides logical link between means & ends Places the project within a broader development context (overall objective and purpose) Encourages examination of risks and management accountability for results 	 Getting consensus on priority problems Getting consensus on project objectives Reducing objectives to a simplistic linear chain Inappropriate level of detail (too much/too little)
Indicators and source of verification	 Requires analysis of how to measure the achievement of objectives, in terms of both quantity and quality Helps improve clarity and specificity of objectives Helps establish the monitoring and evaluation framework 	 Finding measurable and practical indicators for higher level objectives and for projects with 'capacity building' and 'process' objectives Establishing unreaslistic targets too early in the planning process Relying on 'project reports' as the main 'source of verification', and not detailing where the required information actually comes from, who should collect it and how frequently
Format and application	 Links problem analysis to objective setting Emphasises importance of stakeholder analysis to determine 'whose problems' and 'who benefits' Visually accessible and relatively easy to understand 	 Prepared mechanistically as a bureaucratic 'box-filling' requirement, not linked to problem analysis, objective setting or strategy selection Used as a means of top-down control – too rigidly applied Can alienate staff not familiar with the key concepts Becomes a 'fetish' rather than a help

Table 8. Strengths and common problems with the application of the LFA.